

Dated: September 11, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-22885 Filed 9-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

Special Emphasis Panel In Geosciences; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Geosciences (1756).

Date: October 4 & 5, 1995.

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. each day.

Place: IRIS Data Management Center, 1408 NE 45th Street, Seattle WA 98105-4505.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Daniel F. Weill, Program Director, Instrumentation & Facilities Program, Division of Earth Sciences, Room 785, National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA, (703) 306-1558.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning the proposal submitted to NSF by the Incorporated Research Institutions for Seismology (IRIS).

Agenda: To review and evaluate the IRIS proposal.

Reason for Closing: The proposal being reviewed includes information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposal. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: September 11, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-22886 Filed 9-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

[Docket No. A95-18; Order No. 1074]

Notice and Order Accepting Appeal and Establishing Procedural Schedule Under 39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)

Issued September 8, 1995.

Before Commissioners: Edward J. Gleiman, Chairman; W.H. "Trey" LeBlanc III, Vice-Chairman; George W. Haley; H. Edward Quick, Jr.; Wayne A. Schley.

In the Matter of: Hetland, South Dakota 57244 (Fern Melstad, Petitioner).

Docket Number: A95-18

Name of Affected Post Office: Hetland, South Dakota 57244

Name(s) of Petitioner(s): Fern Melstad

Type of Determination: Consolidation

Date of Filing of Appeal Papers: August 28, 1995

Categories of Issues Apparently Raised:

1. Effect on postal services [39 U.S.C. 404(b)(2)(C)].
2. Effect on the community [39 U.S.C. 404(b)(2)(A)].

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth above. Or, the Commission may find that the Postal Service's determination disposes of one or more of those issues.

The Postal Reorganization Act requires that the Commission issue its decision within 120 days from the date this appeal was filed (39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)). In the interest of expedition, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service to submit memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. If requested, such memoranda will be due 20 days from the issuance of the request and the Postal Service shall serve a copy of its memoranda on the petitioners. The Postal Service may incorporate by reference in its briefs or motions, any arguments presented in memoranda it previously filed in this docket. If necessary, the Commission also may ask petitioners or the Postal Service for more information.

The Commission Orders

(a) The Postal Service shall file the record in this appeal by September 12, 1995.

(b) The Secretary of the Postal Rate Commission shall publish this Notice and Order and Procedural Schedule in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Margaret P. Crenshaw,
Secretary.

Appendix

August 28, 1995

Filing of Appeal letter

September 8, 1995

Commission Notice and Order of Filing of Appeal

September 22, 1995

Last day of filing of petitions to intervene [see 39 C.F.R. 3001.111(b)]

October 2, 1995

Petitioner's Participant Statement or Initial Brief [see 39 C.F.R. 3001.115 (a) and (b)]

October 23, 1995

Postal Service's Answering Brief [see 39 C.F.R. 3001.115(c)]

November 7, 1995

Petitioner's Reply Brief should Petitioner choose to file one [see 39 C.F.R. 3001.115(d)]

November 14, 1995

Deadline for motions by any party requesting oral argument. The Commission will schedule oral argument only when it is a necessary addition to

the written filings [see 39 C.F.R. 3001.116]

December 26, 1995

Expiration of the Commission's 120-day decisional schedule [see 39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)]

[FR Doc. 95-22790 Filed 9-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P-M

POSTAL SERVICE

Manifest Analysis and Certification (MAC)

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice of program.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Postal Service proposes to implement a voluntary annual certification program that evaluates the accuracy of certain manifest mailing system software products that calculate postage payment for specific mail class and rate categories.

To ensure the most effective design and implementation of the proposed program, the Postal Service is seeking comments from developers of manifest software products, users of such products, and other interested parties.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 16, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed or delivered to the Manager, Business Mail Acceptance, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW, Room 8430, Washington, DC 20260-6808. Copies of all written comments will be available at the above address for inspection and photocopying between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cheryl Beller, (202) 268-5166, or Tom Amonette, (317) 464-6599.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Manifest mailing systems have been used for several years to calculate and document postage for mailings of identical- and/or nonidentical-weight mailpieces paid by permit imprints. Mailers rely on the computer software of these systems to accurately list the mailpieces by unique identification and to calculate individual postage rates and the total postage owed for the mailing of those pieces.

In April 1993, the Postal Service redesigned the manifest mailing system program to make it more flexible for mailers. These manifesting standards are described in USPS Publication 401, Guide to the Manifest Mailing System. There is no standardized process to approve manifest mailing software products for quality and accuracy. To