

writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division, Division of Wage Determinations, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room S-3014, Washington, DC 20210.

Modifications to General Wage Determination Decisions

The number of decisions listed in the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts" being modified are listed by Volume and State. Dates of publication in the **Federal Register** are in parentheses following the decisions being modified.

Volume I

None

Volume II

None

Volume III

None

Volume IV

None

Volume V

Texas

Volume VI

None

General Wage Determination Publication

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon and Related Acts". This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

The general wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically by subscription to the FedWorld Bulletin Board System of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at (703) 487-4630.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402, (202) 512-1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the six separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which

includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates are distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, DC this 8th Day of September 1995.

Alan L. Moss,

Director, Division of Wage Determinations.

[FR Doc. 95-22751 Filed 9-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-27-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-293]

Pilgrim Nuclear Power Plant; Notice of Withdrawal of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request by Boston Edison Company (the licensee) to withdraw its November 22, 1995, application for an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DRP-35, for the operation of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station, located in Plymouth, Massachusetts. Notice of Consideration of Issuance of this amendment was published in the **Federal Register** on February 1, 1995, (95 FR 6297).

The purpose of the licensee's amendment request was to revise the Technical Specifications to increase the emergency diesel generator allowed out-of-service time from 72 hours to 7 days.

Subsequently, the licensee informed the staff that the amendment would be integrated with proposed changes to the Containment Cooling System and resubmitted at a later date. Thus, the amendment application is considered to be withdrawn by the licensee.

These documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the Local Public Document Room located at the Plymouth Public Library, 11 North Street, Plymouth, Massachusetts 02360.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of September 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Ronald B. Eaton,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate I-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-22995 Filed 9-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

Request for Extension of Approval Under the Paperwork Reduction Act; Collection of Information Under 29 CFR Part 2647, Reduction or Waiver of Complete Withdrawal Liability

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of request for extension of OMB approval.

SUMMARY: The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation has requested that the Office of Management and Budget extend approval, under the Paperwork Reduction Act, of the collection of information requirements (1212-0044) contained in its regulation on Reduction or Waiver of Complete Withdrawal Liability (29 CFR Part 2647). The effect of this notice is to advise the public of the PBGC's request.

DATES: The PBGC is requesting that OMB complete action on the PBGC's request by September 29, 1995. Comments must be received by September 25, 1995.

ADDRESSES: All written comments should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Washington, DC 20503. The request for extension will be available for public inspection at the PBGC's Communications and Public Affairs Department, Suite 240, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005-4026, between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. on business days.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah C. Murphy, Attorney, Office of General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005-4026, 202-326-4024 (202-326-4179 for TTY and TDD).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This collection of information is contained in the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation's regulation on Reduction or Waiver of Complete Withdrawal Liability (29 CFR Part 2647), which is promulgated pursuant to section 4207 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. Section 4208 authorizes the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation to promulgate rules for the reduction or elimination of an employer's complete withdrawal liability.

Under the regulation, a contributing employer can apply to a multiemployer plan for a determination that it has met the requirements for abatement of

complete withdrawal liability, and a multiemployer plan sponsor can apply to the PBGC for approval of individually-tailored plan rules for abatement of complete withdrawal liability. The PBGC uses information submitted to it to determine whether plan rules satisfy statutory standards.

The PBGC estimates that the total annual burden of the regulation is 125½ hours. Of this total, 125 hours represents 100 employer abatement applications and plan responses and one-half hour represents a submission to the PBGC by one plan sponsor.

Issued at Washington, DC., this 12th day of September, 1995.

Martin Slate,

Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 95-23000 Filed 9-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7708-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-36207; File No. SR-CBOE-95-38]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment Nos. 1, 2, and 3 to the Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., Relating to the Listing of Warrants Based on the CBOE Technology 50 Index

September 8, 1995.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ notice is hereby given that on August 1, 1995, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The CBOE subsequently filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change on August 2, 1995,² Amendment No. 2 on August 3, 1995,³ and Amendment No. 3 on August

29, 1995.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes list and trade warrants on the CBOE Technology 50 Index ("Tech 50 Index" or "Index"), which the Exchange represents is a broad-based index. The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Office of the Secretary, the Exchange, and at the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Section (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to permit the Exchange to list and trade cash-settled index warrants based on the Tech 50 Index ("Index Warrants"). On August 29, 1995, the Commission approved the Exchange's proposal to amend its standards for the listing and trading of currency warrants and index warrants ("Generic Warrant Listing Standards").⁵ The Exchange states that the listing and trading of warrants based on the Tech 50 Index will comply in all respects with the Generic Warrant Listing Standards.

Index Design

The Exchange represents that the Tech 50 Index is a broad-based index comprised of stocks of 50 of the largest domestic technology companies, representing various industries within that general economic category. The Index was designed by and will be

maintained by the CBOE. The Index is price-weighted and reflects changes in the prices of the component stocks relative to the Index base date, January 3, 1995, when the Index was set to an initial level of 200.00.

On August 15, 1995,⁶ the 50 stocks in the Index ranged in market capitalization from a low of approximately \$829.28 million to a high of approximately \$82.47 billion. Total market capitalization for the Index on August 15, 1995, was approximately \$578.53 billion. The highest weighted stock in the Index on that date accounted for 5.62% of the weight of the Index and the lowest weighted security in the Index accounted for 0.68% of the weight of the Index. In aggregate, the five highest weighted components on that date accounted for 21.45% of the weight of the Index. Currently, the Exchange represents that all of the component stocks are eligible for the listing of standardized options on the Exchange pursuant to CBOE Rule 5.3.

As of August 15, 1995, the Exchange represents that the industry breakdown for the Index, by weight, was as follows: (1) computer hardware—8.20%; (2) computer software—14.63%; (3) computers systems and services—11.12%; (4) integrated circuit components—10.43%; (5) semiconductors—12.66%; (6) precision instrumentation—3.15%; (7) medical technology—8.74%; (8) network and server systems—10.14%; (9) telecommunication components—12.62%; and (10) telecommunications—8.31%.⁷

Warrant Terms

Index Warrants will be direct obligations of the issuing entity and will be cash-settled in U.S. dollars. Upon exercise (or at the warrant expiration date in the case of warrants with European-style exercise), the holder of an Index Warrant structured as a "put" will receive payment in U.S. dollars to the extent that the value of the Index has declined below a pre-stated cash settlement value. Conversely, upon exercise (or at the warrant expiration date in the case of warrants with European-style exercise), the holder of an Index Warrant structures as a "call" will receive payment in U.S. dollars to the extent that the Index value has increased above a pre-stated cash settlement value. Index Warrants that are out-of-the-money at the time of expiration will expire worthless.

¹ 15 U.S.C. § 78s(b)(1) (1988).

² As a result of the Commission's approval of the Exchange's Generic Warrant Listing Standards (as defined herein), Amendment No. 1 has been rendered moot.

³ In Amendment No. 2, as discussed herein, the CBOE amended certain of the objective standards set forth in the section of its proposal entitled "Classification of the Index as Broad-Based." See Letter from Timothy Thompson, Senior Attorney, Legal Department, CBOE, to Michael Walinskas, Branch Chief, Office of Market Supervision ("OMS"), Division of Market Regulation ("Division"), Commission, dated August 3, 1995 ("Amendment No. 2").

⁴ In Amendment No. 3, as discussed herein, the Exchange amended the composition of the Index to, in the Exchange's opinion, provide better balance between the technology industry subsectors represented in the Index. See Letter from William Speth, Jr., Senior Research Analyst, Research Department, CBOE, to Brad Ritter, Senior Counsel, OMS, Division, Commission, dated August 29, 1995 ("Amendment No. 3").

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 36169 (August 29, 1995).

⁶ See Amendment No. 3, *supra* note 4.

⁷ *Id.*