

developing effluent limitations guidelines and standards under the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the metal products and machinery industry. The information being transferred was collected under the authority of Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. Interested persons may submit comments on this intended transfer of information to the address noted below.

DATES: Comments on the transfer of data are due September 25, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent to Mark Ingle, Engineering and Analysis Division (4303), Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Ingle at the above address or at (202) 260-7191.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA has previously transferred to its contractor Radian Corporation of Herndon, Virginia (and subcontractors) information, including confidential business information (CBI), concerning the metal products and machinery industry collected under the authority of the Clean Water Act, Section 308.

The information transferred included: Questionnaire data collected during a two phase survey of the metal products industry; the first phase consisted of the screener questionnaire or the Mini-Data Collection Portfolio (MDCP) which was conducted in 1990 (OMB No. 2040-0148); the second phase was a more detailed questionnaire or Data Collection Portfolio (DCP) that was sent in 1991 to a randomly selected sample identified through the responses to the (OMB No. 2040-0148). EPA also transferred site visit and field sampling data collected during 1990 through 1993. In addition, Radian has received similar records and data developed in support of the following effluent guidelines regulations:

- Porcelain Enameling (data collection 1977 through 1979),
- Coil Coating (data collection 1977 through 1979),
- Aluminum Forming (data collection 1978 through 1981),
- Battery Manufacturing (data collection 1978 through 1983),
- Copper Forming (data collection 1978 through 1979),
- Electroplating (data collection 1974 through 1979),
- Metal Finishing (data collection 1974 through 1979),
- Metal Molding and Casting (data collection 1977 through 1983),
- Nonferrous Metals Forming and Metal Powders (data collection 1983 through 1985),

- Nonferrous Metals Manufacturing, Phases I and II (data collection 1978 through 1985),
- Plastics Molding and Forming (data collection 1980 through 1987), and
- Hot Dip Coating Subcategory of the Iron and Steel regulation (data collection 1986).

Radian has also received files gathered during studies of the beryllium copper forming industry (data collection during 1986), the platemaking industry (data collection during 1984), and the printing and publishing industry (data collection 1977 through 1979). EPA determined that this transfer was necessary to enable the contractor and subcontractors to perform their work under EPA Contract No. 68-C4-0024 and related subcontracts by assisting EPA in developing effluent limitations guidelines and standards for the metal products and machinery industry. Notice to this effect was provided to the affected companies at the time the data was collected or through **Federal Register** notice.

Today, EPA is giving notice that it has entered into a new contract, Contract No. 68-C5-0005 with Radian Corporation of Herndon, VA and Radian Corp. has entered into additional contracts with its subcontractors: Westat, Inc. of Rockville, MD; CAI Engineering of Oakton, VA; GeoLogics Corp. of Bethesda, MD; TN Associates of Milwaukee, WI; Tetra Tech of Fairfax, VA; and VIGYAN Corp. of Vienna, VA. to develop effluent limitations guidelines and standards for the metal products and machinery phase II industry. The effective date of the new contract was June 5, 1995. Radian Corp. will provide technical support such as completion of the public docket for the proposed rulemaking and completion of the work on the draft proposed technical development document. The contractor shall also provide support on post proposal efforts, including assisting with public meetings, responding to comments, filling data gaps that arise through comments on the proposed rule, and assisting with the assembly of the rulemaking record for the final rule.

The subcontractors will assist the prime by providing specific expertise. Westat, Inc. will assist with any surveys that may be required in future work, data management and statistical analysis. CAI Engineering provides metal products industrial wastewater and hazardous waste engineering expertise, surface treatment process design and pollution prevention expertise, and wastewater treatment system design expertise. GeoLogics Corp. provides data entry and clerical

services. TN Associates provides experience in the design and management of wastewater treatment systems. Tetra Tech provides capabilities in risk management and public outreach. VIGYAN Corp. provides services related to database development and management.

In accordance with 40 CFR part 2, subpart B, the previously collected information described above (including confidential business information) will be transferred to Radian Corp. EPA has determined that this transfer is necessary to enable the contractor to perform their work under EPA Contract No. 68-C5-0005.

The metal products and machinery manufacturing, rebuilding and maintenance industry financial and economic data that were collected through the DCP survey in 1991 (OMB No. 2040-0148) will be transferred to Abt Associates under Contract No. 68-C4-0060. In accordance with 40 CFR part 2, subpart B, the previously collected information described above (including confidential business information) will be transferred to ERG, Contract No. 68-C3-0302. ERG has subcontracted with Abt Associates to conduct the economic analysis for the metal products and machinery industry. EPA has determined that this transfer is necessary to enable the contractor to perform their work under EPA contract No. 68-C3-0302.

Anyone wishing to comment on the above matters must submit comments to the address given above by September 25, 1995.

Dated: September 6, 1995.

Tudor T. Davies,

Director, Office of Science and Technology.

[FR Doc. 95-22956 Filed 9-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[ER-FRL-5228-8]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared August 28, 1995 Through September 01, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-J65238-MT Rating EC2, Bull Sweats Vegetation Manipulation Project, Implementation, Helena National Forest, Helena Ranger District, Lewis and Clark Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential air quality impacts of proposed prescribed burning, and believes additional information on air quality impacts, timber harvest prescriptions, and water quality/wetlands impacts are needed to fully assess and mitigate all potential impacts of the management actions.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65239-MT Rating EC2, South Fork Yaak Salvage Project, Implementation, Kootenai National Forest, Three Rivers Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the existing condition, sensitivity to disturbance, and slow recovery of Fowler and Can Creeks, and potential air quality impacts of proposed activities. The EPA also believes additional information is needed to fully assess and mitigate all potential environmental impacts of the management actions.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65172-ID Rating EC2, Idaho Panhandle National Forests Noxious Weed Management Projects, Implementation, Bonners Ferry Ranger District, Boundary County, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and believes that information is required to effectively address risks associated with the proposed herbicide treatments related to specific management objectives. Potential impacts to ground and surface water should be expanded.

ERP No. D-BLM-J01073-WY Rating EC2, Jackpot Underground Uranium Mine Project, Construction and Operation, Plan of Operation Approval, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Fremont and Sweetwater Counties, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and believes that air dispersion modeling in a screening mode should be performed to estimate impacts to NAAQS. The final EIS should address procedures when PM-10 has been triggered and include a contingency plan for the permit.

ERP No. D-BLM-L65243-OR Rating EC2, Lake Abert Area Designation as an Area of Critical Environmental Concerns (ACEC), High Desert Management Framework Amendment Plan, Right-of-Way Grant and Drilling Permit, Valley Falls, Lake County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns and

recommends that a grazing-prohibition alternative be considered, and that potential noise impacts should be addressed in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-NOA-A29004-00 Rating LO, Programmatic EIS—Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Control Program, Implementation, Approval for 29 States and Territories Coastal Nonpoint Program.

Summary: EPA rated the draft EIS as "LO" (lack of objections). As a cooperating agency on the EIS EPA provided some suggestions to strengthen the document in providing a thorough explanation of the program and the problems it is designed to address.

ERP No. D-NPS-K65171-CA Rating EC2, Cabrillo National Monument, General Management Plan/Development Concept Plans, Implementation, San Diego County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns in these the major areas: air quality, National Pollution Discharge Elimination System permitting, and Coastal Zone Management Act requirements. The draft EIS lacked discussion of air impacts and EPA provided extensive comments and guidance for conformity determinations and analyzing air impacts to be included in the final EIS.

ERP No. DR-DOE-L91009-WA Rating EC2, Yakima River Basin Fisheries Project, Updated and Additional Information, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Funding, COE Section 10/404 Permits and NPDES Permit, Yakima Indian Nation, Yakima County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns based on the potential impacts to fisheries resources and the need to ensure that the BPA and other responsible parties are evaluating opportunities to complement their respective management actions/objectives within the Yakima River Basin. EPA has requested additional information on fish habitat conditions, and clarification on the relationship of the proposed action with the Bureau of Reclamation's water enhancement project.

ERP No. DS-NPS-L65229-AK Rating EC2, Brooks River Area, Katmai National Park and Preserve Development Concept Plan, Updated Information Concerning a New Proposal Alternative for Beaver Pond Terrace, Implementation, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about wetlands, water quality and mitigation.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-BLM-K60026-CA, Mesquite Regional Landfill Project,

Implementation, Federal Land Exchange, Right-of-Way Approval, Conditional-Use-Permit and General Plan Amendment, Imperial County, CA.

Summary: The final EIS satisfactorily addressed issues raised in EPA's comments on the draft EIS. EPA believed it may be possible to further reduce air quality impacts by implementing EPA's proposed New Source Performance Standard for MSW landfills (56 FR 24476, May 30, 1991).

ERP No. F-NPS-D61036-DC, Rock Creek Park Tennis Center and Associated Recreation Fields, Implementation, Northwest Quadrant of Washington, DC.

Summary: EPA believes the documentation and range of alternatives discussed are sufficient and no further comments are warranted. EPA had no objections to the preferred alternative described in the FEIS.

ERP No. F-NPS-K61133-CA, Joshua Tree National Monument General Management Plan and Development Concept Plan, Implementation, Riverside and San Bernardino Counties, CA.

Summary: The final EIS has been responsive to concerns expressed in EPA's comments on the draft EIS.

ERP No. F-NPS-K61135-AZ, Grand Canyon National Park General Management Plan, Implementation, Coconino and Mohave Counties, AZ.

Summary: EPA had environmental concerns with the potential adverse impacts with road realignment on the east rim, development of area services on the south rim and compliance with drinking water standards.

ERP No. F-NPS-L61197-OR, Fort Clatsop National Memorial General Management and Development Concept Plans, Implementation, Astoria, Clatsop County, OR.

Summary: Review of the final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. EPA provided no formal written comments. EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the FEIS.

ERP No. F-USN-E11035-SC, Charleston Naval Base Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Charleston and Dorchester Counties, SC.

Summary: EPA environmental concerns with the DEIS were addressed. However, EPA expressed environmental concerns with water quality and radiological issues in the FEIS.

ERP No. FS-AFS-J65183-UT, East Fork Blacks Fork Multiple Use Management Project, Implementation, Additional Information, Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Evanston Ranger District, Summit County, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed lack of objections to the proposed action.

Regulations

ERP No. R-AFS-A65162-00, Forest Service Handbook for Review of FERC Hydropower Authorizations on National Forest Service Lands—RIN 0596-AA47.

Summary: EPA agrees that the USFS and FERC should streamline their process and interactions concerning hydropower projects, however it is critical that the USFS maintain sufficient, independent authority to ensure that its environmental responsibilities with regard to National Forest Lands are met.

Dated: September 12, 1995.

B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-23007 Filed 9-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[ER-FRL-5228-7]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260-5076 OR (202) 260-5075.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed September 04, 1995 Through September 08, 1995, Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 950415, Final EIS, GSA, CA, Fresno—United States Courthouse, Site Selection and Construction, City of Fresno, Fresno County, CA, Due: October 16, 1995, Contact: Javad Soltani (415) 744-5255.

EIS No. 950416, Draft EIS, NPS, PA, Independence National Historical Park, General Management Plan, Implementation, Site Specific, Philadelphia County, PA, Due: November 20, 1995, Contact: Annmarie DiSerafino (215) 597-0060.

EIS No. 950417, Final EIS, GSA, AZ, Evo A. Deconcini Federal Building—United States Courthouse, Construction and Site Selection, Central Business Area (CBA), City of Tucson, Pima County, AZ, Due: October 16, 1995, Contact: Sheryll White (415) 744-5252.

EIS No. 950418, Final EIS, FHW, RI, Quonset Point/Davisville Industrial Park Highway Access Improvement, RI-4 Freeway between North Kingstown and East Greenwich, Funding, Kent and Washington Counties, RI, Due: October 20, 1995, Contact: Kenneth R. R. Sikora (401) 528-4551.

EIS No. 950419, Draft EIS, AFS, PA, Allegheny National Wild and Scenic

River Management Plan, Implementation, Allegheny National Forest, Venango, Warren and Forest Counties, PA, Due: October 30, 1995, Contact: Donna McDonald (814) 723-5150.

EIS No. 950420, Draft EIS, NOA, HI, Hawaiian Islands Humpback Whales and Their Habitat National Marine Sanctuary Management Plan, Implementation, Honolulu, Kauai and Maui Counties, HI, Due: December 15, 1995, Contact: James P. Lawless (301) 713-3155.

EIS No. 950421, Draft EIS, USA, CA, Miramar Naval Air Station (NAS) Realignment or Conversion to Miramar Marine Corps Air Station, Implementation, San Diego, CA, Due: October 30, 1995, Contact: Lt. George Martin (619) 537-6678.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 950331, Draft EIS, AFS, MT, Checkerboard Land Exchange, Plan of Approval and Implementation, Kootenai, Lolo and Flathead National Forest, Lincoln, Flathead and Sanders Counties, MT, Due: October 10, 1995, Contact: Ted Andersen (406) 293-6211.

Published FR 08-04-95—Review period extended.

EIS No. 950335, Draft EIS, AFS, AK, Lab Bay Project Area Timber Harvest, Implementation, COE Section 404, EPA NPDES and Coast Guard Bridge Permits Issuance, Thorne Bay Ranger District, Ketchikan Administrative Area, Tongass National Forest, Prince of Wales Island, AK, Due: September 30, 1995, Contact: Dave Arrasmith (907) 225-3101.

Published FR 08-04-95—Review period extended.

Dated: September 12, 1995.

B. Katherine Biggs,

Associate Director, NEPA Compliance Division Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-23006 Filed 9-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[FRL 5296-3]

Underground Injection Control Program; Nonhazardous Waste Disposal Injection Restriction; Petition for Exemption—Class I Nonhazardous Waste Injection Air Products, Wichita, Kansas

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of final decision.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that an exemption to the land disposal restrictions under the 1984 Hazardous

and Solid Waste Amendments to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act has been granted to Air Products Manufacturing Corporation for their Class I Nonhazardous Waste injection well located in Wichita, Kansas. As required by title 40, Code of Federal Regulations part 148, the company has adequately demonstrated to the satisfaction of the United States Environmental Protection Agency by petition and supporting documentation that, to a reasonable degree of certainty, there will be no migration of the restricted, formerly ignitable, greater than 10 percent high total organic carbon (TOC) constituents from the injection zone. This final decision allows the underground injection by Air Products of the specific restricted waste, identified in the petition, into the Class I waste injection well at the Wichita, Kansas facility, for as long as the basis for granting an approval of the petition remains valid, under provisions of title 40, Code of Federal Regulations part 124. A public notice was issued on July 10, 1995. A public comment period, requesting written comments ended on August 10, 1995, and further, a public hearing was held on August 24, 1995. No comments were received during the comment period. This decision constitutes final Agency action and there is no administrative appeal process that can be applied to a final petition decision.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This action is effective as of September 7, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the petition and all pertinent information relating thereto, including the Agency's response to comments, are on file at the following location: Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7, Water and Pesticides Division, Drinking Water Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas, 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ralph N. Langemeier, Chief, Drinking Water Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7, Telephone (913) 551-7032.

Dated: September 11, 1995.

Diane K. Callier,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-22955 Filed 9-14-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreement(s) Filed

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) pursuant to section 5 of the Shipping Act of 1984.