

as applicable, a description of the reasons why action is being considered, the objectives of and legal basis for the proposed action, the class and number of small entities affected, the projected reporting, recordkeeping and other compliance requirements being proposed, any existing federal rules which may duplicate, overlap or conflict with the proposed action, and any significant alternatives to the proposed action that accomplish its objectives and, at the same time, minimize its impact on small entities.

A description of the reasons why action is being considered and the objectives of the proposed repeal of the Rule have been explained elsewhere in this Notice. Repeal of the Rule would appear to have little or no effect on any small business. The Commission is not aware of any existing federal laws or regulations that would conflict with repeal of the Rule.

In light of these reasons, the Commission certifies, pursuant to section 605 of RFA, 5 U.S.C. 605, that if the Commission determines to repeal the Rule that action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. To ensure that no substantial economic impact is being overlooked, however, the Commission requests comments on this issue. After reviewing any comments received, the Commission will determine whether it is necessary to prepare a final regulatory flexibility analysis.

VII. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Sleeping Bag Rule does not impose "information collection requirements" under the Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA"), 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* The Rule, however, does contain disclosure requirements, which specify that certain additional information must be given whenever the words "cut-size" are used to describe the dimensions of a sleeping bag.⁴ Accordingly, repeal of the Rule would eliminate any burdens on the public imposed by these disclosure requirements.

the Commission has no reason to believe that repealing the Rule will have a "significant" economic or regulatory impact, either beneficial or detrimental, upon persons subject to the Rule or upon consumers.

⁴ Under amendments to the P.R.A. in the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 109 Stat. 163, to be codified at 44 U.S.C. 3501-20), which will become effective on October 1, 1995, these third-party disclosures may constitute a "collection of information" for which OMB clearance must be sought.

VIII. Additional Information for Interested Persons

A. Motions or Petitions

Any motions or petitions in connection with this proceeding must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission.

B. Communications by Outside Parties to Commissioners or Their Advisors

Pursuant to Rule 1.18(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 1.18(c), communications with respect to the merits of this proceeding from any outside party to any Commissioner or Commissioner's advisor during the course of this rulemaking shall be subject to the following treatment. Written communications, including written communications from members of Congress, shall be forwarded promptly to the Secretary for placement on the public record. Oral communications, not including oral communications from members of Congress, are permitted only when such oral communications are transcribed verbatim or summarized at the discretion of the Commissioner or Commissioner's advisor to whom such oral communications are made, and are promptly placed on the public record, together with any written communications relating to such oral communications. Memoranda prepared by a Commissioner or Commissioner's advisor setting forth the contents of any oral communications from members of Congress shall be placed promptly on the public record. If the communication with a member of Congress is transcribed verbatim or summarized, the transcript or summary will be placed promptly on the public record.

List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 400

Advertising, Trade practices, Sleeping bags.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 41-58.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-23041 Filed 9-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

16 CFR Part 402

Rule Concerning Deception as to Non-Prismatic and Partially Prismatic Instruments Being Prismatic Binoculars

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission")

announces the commencement of a rulemaking proceeding for the trade regulation rule concerning deception as to non-prismatic and partially prismatic instruments being prismatic binoculars ("Binocular Rule"), 16 CFR Part 402. The proceeding will address whether or not the Binocular Rule should be repealed. The Commission invites interested parties to submit written data, views, and arguments on how the Rule has affected consumers, businesses and others, and on whether there currently is a need for the Rule. This notice includes a description of the procedures to be followed, an invitation to submit written comments, a list of questions and issues upon which the Commission particularly desires comments, and instructions for prospective witnesses and other interested persons who desire to participate in the proceeding.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before October 18, 1995.

Notifications of interest in testifying must be submitted on or before October 18, 1995. If interested parties request the opportunity to present testimony, the Commission will publish a notice in the **Federal Register** stating the time and place at which the hearings will be held and describing the procedures that will be followed in conducting the hearings. In addition to submitting a request to testify, interested parties who wish to present testimony must submit, on or before October 18, 1995, a written comment or statement that describes the issues on which the party wishes to testify and the nature of the testimony to be given.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and requests to testify should be submitted to Office of the Secretary, Federal Trade Commission, Room H-159, Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580, telephone number (202) 326-2506. Comments and requests to testify should be identified as "16 CFR Part 402—Comment—Binocular Rule" and "16 CFR Part 402—Request to Testify—Binocular Rule," respectively. If possible, submit comments both in writing and on a personal computer diskette in Word Perfect or other word processing format (to assist in processing, please identify the format and version used). Written comments should be submitted, when feasible and not burdensome, in five copies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phillip Priesman, Attorney, Bureau of Consumer Protection, Division of Advertising Practices, Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington,

DC 20580, telephone number (202) 326-2484.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

On May 23, 1995 the Commission published an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("ANPR") seeking comment on the proposed repeal of the Binocular Rule, 60 FR 27240. In accordance with mandates of section 18 of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. 57a, the ANPR was sent to the Chairman of the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, United States Senate and the Chairman of the Subcommittee on Commerce, Trade and Hazardous Materials, United States House of Representatives. The ANPR comment period closed on June 22, 1995. The Commission received one public comment.

Pursuant to the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 41-58, and the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551-59, 701-06, by this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPR") the Commission initiates a proceeding to consider whether the Binocular Rule should be repealed or remain in effect, and solicits public comments.¹ The Commission is also interested in comments on whether the Rule should be streamlined or otherwise amended. If the Commission determines, based on the data, views and arguments submitted, that the Commission should consider additional alternatives, it will publish a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking and will request public comments on those alternatives.

The Commission is undertaking this rulemaking proceeding as part of the Commission's ongoing program of evaluating trade regulation rules and industry guides to determine their effectiveness, impact, cost and need. This proceeding also responds to President Clinton's National Regulatory Reinvention Initiative, which, among other things, urges agencies to eliminate obsolete or unnecessary regulations.

II. Background Information

The Binocular Rule was published in final form in the **Federal Register** on June 5, 1964, and became effective on December 2, 1964. The Rule requires a clear and conspicuous disclosure on any

¹In accordance with mandates of section 18 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 57a, the Commission submitted this NPR to the Chairman of the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, United States Senate and the Chairman of the Subcommittee on Commerce, Trade and Hazardous Materials, United States House of Representatives, 30 days prior to publication of the NPR.

advertising or packaging for non-prismatic or partially prismatic binoculars that the instruments are not fully prismatic. Fully prismatic binoculars rely on a prism within the instrument to reverse the visual image entering the lens so that it appears right-side up to the user. Other binoculars rely partially or entirely on mirrors to reverse the visual image. When the rule was promulgated, the Commission was concerned that consumers could be misled into believing that non-prismatic binoculars were in fact prismatic, absent such a disclosure.

To prevent consumer deception, the rule proscribed the use of the term "binocular" to describe anything other than a fully prismatic instrument, unless the term was modified to indicate the true nature of the item. Under the Rule, non-prismatic instruments could be identified as binoculars only if they incorporated a descriptive term such as "binocular-nonprismatic," "binocular-mirror prismatic," or "binocular-nonprismatic mirror."

Following publication of the ANPR, the Commission received one public comment regarding the Binocular Rule. The comment, from an importer and manufacturing company, suggested that there may be a continuing need for the Rule because field glasses and opera glasses, both of which are non-prismatic, are still advertised and sold today. The comment acknowledged, however, that present-day binoculars are fully prismatic, while the non-prismatic instruments are identified as either field glasses or opera glasses rather than binoculars. Thus, since it appears that all instruments sold as binoculars are prismatic, the Commission believes that the Binocular Rule may no longer be needed. Repeal of the Rule will also further the objectives of reducing obsolete government regulation.

III. Rulemaking Procedures

The Commission finds that the public interest will be served by using expedited procedures in this proceeding. First, there do not appear to be any material issues of disputed fact to resolve in determining whether to repeal the Rule. Second, the use of expedited procedures will support the Commission's goal of eliminating obsolete or unnecessary regulations without an undue expenditure of resources, while ensuring that the public has an opportunity to submit data, views and arguments on whether the Commission should repeal the Rule.

The Commission, therefore, has determined, pursuant to 16 CFR 1.20, to

use the procedures set forth in this notice. These procedures include: (1) publishing this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; (2) soliciting written comments on the Commission's proposal to repeal the Rule; (3) holding an informal hearing, if requested by interested parties; (4) obtaining a final recommendation from staff; and (5) announcing final Commission action in a notice published in the **Federal Register**.

IV. Invitation To Comment and Questions for Comment

Interested persons are requested to submit written data, views or arguments on any issue of fact, law or policy they believe may be relevant to the Commission's decision on whether to repeal the Rule. The Commission requests that commenters provide representative factual data in support of their comments. Individual firms' experiences are relevant to the extent they typify industry experience in general or the experience of similar-sized firms. Commenters opposing the proposed repeal of the Rule should explain the reasons they believe the Rule is still needed and, if appropriate, suggest specific alternatives. Proposals for alternative requirements should include reasons and data that indicate why the alternatives would better protect consumers from unfair or deceptive acts or practices under section 5 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 45.

Although the Commission welcomes comments on any aspect of the proposed repeal of the Rule, the Commission is particularly interested in comments on questions and issues raised in this Notice. All written comments should state clearly the question or issue that the commenter is addressing.

Before taking final action, the Commission will consider all written comments timely submitted to the Secretary of the Commission and testimony given on the record at any hearings scheduled in response to requests to testify. Written comments submitted will be available for public inspection in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, and Commission regulations, on normal business days between the hours of 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at the Federal Trade Commission, Public Reference Room, Room H-130, Federal Trade Commission, Sixth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20580, telephone number (202) 326-2222.

Questions

(1) Is any manufacturer currently manufacturing non-prismatic or partially-prismatic binoculars?

(2) Is any individual or business entity currently marketing non-prismatic or partially-prismatic binoculars?

(3) Do any retail stores or suppliers still maintain stocks of non-prismatic or partially-prismatic binoculars?

(4) Is any manufacturer or marketer identifying non-prismatic field glasses or opera glasses as binoculars?

(5) Has technology changed so that the Rule is no longer needed?

(6) Are there any other federal or state laws or regulations, or private industry standards, that eliminate the need for the Rule?

(7) What are the benefits and costs of the rule to consumers?

(8) What are the benefits and costs of the Rule to firms subject to the Rule's requirements?

(9) Should the Rule be kept in effect or should it be repealed?

V. Requests for Public Hearings

Because there does not appear to be any dispute as to the material facts or issues raised by this proceeding and because written comments appear adequate to present the views of all interested parties, a public hearing has not been scheduled. If any person would like to present testimony at a public hearing, he or she should follow the procedures set forth in the **DATES** and **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

VI. Preliminary Regulatory Analysis

The Regulatory Flexibility Act ("FRA") 5 U.S.C. 601-11, requires an analysis of the anticipated impact of the proposed repeal of the Rule on small business.² The analysis must contain, as applicable, a description of the reasons why action is being considered, the objectives of and legal basis for the proposed action, the class and number of small entities affected, the projected reporting, recordkeeping and other compliance requirements being proposed, any existing federal rules which may duplicate, overlap or conflict with the proposed action, and any significant alternatives to the

²Section 22 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 57b-3, also requires the Commission to perform "regulatory impact analyses" of a proposed rule, but only if the rule will have certain "significant" economic or regulatory effects. The Commission has determined that a preliminary regulatory analysis is not required by section 22 in this proceeding because the Commission has no reason to believe that repealing the Rule will have a "significant" economic or regulatory impact, either beneficial or detrimental, upon persons subject to the Rule or upon consumers.

proposed action, any significant alternatives to the proposed action that accomplish its objectives and, at the same time, minimize its impact on small entities.

A description of the reasons why action is being considered and the objectives of the proposed repeal of the Rule have been explained elsewhere in this Notice. Repeal of the Rule would appear to have little or no effect on any small business. The Commission is not aware of any existing federal laws or regulations that would conflict with repeal of the Rule.

In light of these reasons, the Commission certifies, pursuant to section 605 of RFA, 5 U.S.C. 605, that if the Commission determines to repeal the Rule that action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. To ensure that no substantial economic impact is being overlooked, however, the Commission requests comments on this issue. After reviewing any comments received, the Commission will determine whether it is necessary to prepare a final regulatory flexibility analysis.

VII. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Binocular Rule does not impose "information collection requirements" under the Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA"), 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* The Rule, however, does contain a disclosure requirement, which calls for a clear and conspicuous disclosure on any advertising or packaging for non-prismatic or partially prismatic binoculars that the instruments are not fully prismatic.³ Accordingly, repeal of the Rule would eliminate any burdens on the public imposed by those disclosure requirements.

VIII. Additional Information for Interested Persons**A. Motions or Petitions**

Any motions or petitions in connection with this proceeding must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission.

B. Communications by Outside Parties to Commissioners or Their Advisors

Pursuant to Rule 1.18(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 16 CFR 1.18(c), communications with respect to the merits of this proceeding from any outside party to any Commissioner or Commissioner's advisor during the

³Under amendments to the PRA in the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 109 Stat. 163, to be codified at 44 U.S.C. 3501-20), which will become effective on October 1, 1995, these third-party disclosures may constitute a "collection of information" for which OMB Clearance must be sought.

course of this rulemaking shall be subject to the following treatment. Written communications, including written communications from members of Congress, shall be forwarded promptly to the Secretary for placement on the public record. Oral communications, not including oral communications from members of Congress, are permitted only when such oral communications are transcribed verbatim or summarized at the discretion of the Commissioner or Commissioner's advisor to whom such oral communications are made, and are promptly placed on the public record, together with any written communications relating to such oral communications. Memoranda prepared by a Commissioner or Commissioner's advisor setting forth the contents of any oral communications from members of Congress shall be placed promptly on the public record. If the communication with a member of Congress is transcribed verbatim or summarized, the transcript or summary will be placed promptly on the public record.

List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 402

Binoculars, Trade practices.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 41-58.

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-23046 Filed 9-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

16 CFR Part 404**Rule Concerning Deceptive Advertising and Labeling as to Size of Tablecloths and Related Products**

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") announces the commencement of a rulemaking proceeding for the trade regulation rule concerning Deceptive Advertising and Labeling as to Size of Tablecloths and Related Products ("Tablecloth Rule" or "Rule"), 16 CFR Part 404. The proceeding will address whether or not the Tablecloth Rule should be repealed. The Commission invites interested parties to submit written data, views, and arguments on how the Rule has affected consumers, businesses and others, and on whether there currently is a need for the Rule. This notice includes a description of the procedures to be followed, an invitation to submit written comments, a list of questions and issues upon which the Commission particularly desires