For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. John F. Stolz,

Director, Project Directorate I-2, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-23176 Filed 9-18-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket Nos. 50-338 and 50-339]

Virginia Electric & Power Company, North Anna Power Station Units 1 and 2; Exemption Amendment

T

The Virginia Electric and Power Company (VEPCO, the licensee) is the holder of Operating License No. NPF-4 which authorizes operation of North Anna Power Station Unit 1 and Operating License No. NPF-7 which authorizes operation of Unit 2. These operating licenses provide, among other things, that the North Anna Power Station is subject to all rules, regulations, and Orders of the Commission now or hereafter in effect.

The station comprises two pressurized water reactors at the Licensee's site located in Louisa County, Virginia.

II

By letter to the licensee dated November 6, 1986, Exemption 1 (among others) was approved by the NRC. Exemption 1 was from the technical requirements of Section III.G.3 of Appendix R to 10 CFR Part 50 to the extent that fire detection and fixed suppression systems were not installed throughout the Auxiliary, Fuel, and Decontamination Building (Fire Area 11). The original Safety Evaluation supporting Exemption 1 stated the charging pump cubicles had 3-hour firerated walls, and that the penetrations in these walls were sealed to a rating of 3 hours. By letter dated December 11, 1992, the licensee requested an addendum (exemption amendment) which revises the original Exemption 1 to account for non-fire-rated penetration seals and unprotected openings located in the south wall of the charging pump cubicles. The lack of penetration seals was identified in an NRC Inspection Report 50-338, 339/92-18 dated October 19, 1992.

The Commission's staff has evaluated the information provided by the licensee to support the addendum to Exemption 1. The Commission's Safety Evaluation relating to an Addendum to Exemption 1 From Certain Requirements of Appendix R to 10 CFR Part 50 is being issued concurrently with this exemption amendment. The

Safety Evaluation concludes that the lack of fire-rated penetration seals in the south wall of the pump cubicles does not present an undue risk to the public health and safety and that special circumstances are present in that application of the regulation in the particular circumstances is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule.

III

The underlying purpose of Section III.G.3 of Appendix R to 10 CFR Part 50 is to ensure that safe shutdown capability is maintained.

Notwithstanding the lack of three hour rated penetration seals, the circumstances, as fully described in the Safety Evaluation, are such that the installation of fire detection and fixed suppression systems throughout Fire Area 11 is not necessary to provide reasonable assurance that safe shutdown capability is maintained.

Therefore, the staff concludes that "special circumstances" exist for the licensee's requested exemption amendment in that application of the regulation in these particular circumstances is not necessary to achieve the underlying purposes of Section III.G.3 of Appendix R to 10 CFR Part 50. The Commission hereby grants an amendment to Exemption 1 granted November 6, 1986, and authorizes the subject addendum (attached) to revise Exemption 1 to account for non-firerated penetrations in the south wall of the charging pump cubicles.

IV

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 50.12(a), (1) the exemption amendment as described in Section II is authorized by law and will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety and is consistent with common defense and security, and (2) special circumstances are present for the exemption amendment in that application of the regulation in this particular circumstance is not necessary to achieve the underlying purposes of Appendix R to 10 CFR Part 50.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the issuance of the exemption amendment will have no significant impact on the environment (60 FR 45747).

This exemption amendment is effective upon its issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 12th day of September 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Ledyard B. Marsh,

Acting Director, Division of Reactor Projects— I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 95–23174 Filed 9–18–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

Notice of Meeting of the Trade Advisory Committee on Africa

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice that the September 28, 1995 meeting of the Trade Advisory Committee on Africa will be held from 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. The meeting will be closed to the public from 11:00 a.m. to 1:15 p.m. The meeting will be open to the public from 1:15 p.m. to 2:00 p.m.

SUMMARY: The Trade Advisory Committee on Africa will hold a meeting on September 28, 1995 from 11:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. The meeting will be closed from 11:00 a.m. to 1:15 p.m. when the meeting will include a review and discussion of current issues which influence U.S. trade policy. Pursuant to Section 2155(f)(2) of Title 19 of the United States Code, I have determined that this portion of the meeting will be concerned with matters the disclosure of which would seriously compromise the development by the United States Government of trade policy, priorities, negotiating objectives or bargaining positions with respect to the operation of any trade agreement and other matters arising in connection with the development, implementation and administration of the trade policy of the United States. The meeting will be open to the public and press from 1:15 p.m. to 2:00 p.m. when trade policy issues will be discussed. Attendance during this part of the meeting is for observation only. Individuals who are not members of the committee will not be invited to comment.

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for September 28, 1995, unless otherwise notified.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Jefferson Hotel at 16th and M Street, Washington, D.C., unless otherwise notified.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michaelle Burstin, Director of Public Liaison, Office of the United States Trade Representative, (202) 395–6120. Michael Kantor,

United States Trade Representative. [FR Doc. 95–23172 Filed 9–18–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3190–01–M

POSTAL SERVICE

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice of new system of records.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this document is to publish notice of a new Privacy Act system of records, USPS 120.154, Personnel Records—Employee Survey Process System Records. The new system contains a collection of statistical data compiled from surveys completed by employees on various topics and issues related to their employment. Some surveys within the system relate directly to individual managers or supervisors whose performance has been rated by the questionnaire respondents. Other surveys concern particular work locations rather than individual managers, but these surveys have the potential for being associated, though indirectly, with the particular manager or supervisor who is responsible for the work location.

DATES: This proposal will become effective without further notice October 30, 1995, unless comments are received on or before that date that result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this proposal should be mailed or delivered to Payroll Accounting/Records, United States Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW, Room 8650, Washington, DC 20260–5242. Copies of all written comments will be available for public inspection and photocopying between 8 a.m. and 4:45 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Betty E. Sheriff, (202) 268-2608. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Postal Service has, in various stages of development, a number of employee survey processes that are intended to help improve postal operations, employee-management relations and communications, and the leadership skills of managers and supervisors. These survey processes involve the collection of data from employees by means of printed questionnaires or by telephone interviews, tabulation and analysis of the responses, and feedback of the results to the particular manager or supervisor concerned. The manager or supervisor is then expected to develop and implement plans for improvement based upon the problems identified by the responding employees. While intended primarily for developmental purposes, some information also may be used to evaluate a manager or supervisor's

success in improving the survey results over time. Records maintained as a result of the data collection contain primarily statistical information and do not identify individual respondents. They are retrieved by reference to a particular manager, supervisor, or work location.

Two examples of surveys that relate to identifiable managers or supervisors are the "Employee Feedback" questionnaire, in which employees are asked to rate their supervisors, and the "360 Degree Feedback" instrument, which is completed by a manager, and his superiors, peers, and subordinates. Respondents to the 360 Degree questionnaire are asked to rate numerically the extent to which the manager has exhibited certain jobrelated behaviors. In all instances, respondents participate in these surveys voluntarily and anonymously. The results are tabulated and provided to the manager or supervisor in statistical form. The manager or supervisor is instructed how to use the information as a tool for improving his or her leadership skills. Survey results are reported and maintained by reference to the manager's or supervisor's name and social security number.

The Postal Service also periodically asks its employees to complete the Employee Opinion Survey. This survey contains questions that concern a particular work location rather than particular managers or supervisors. The questions cover general topics such as leadership and supervision, communication practices, treatment of employees, working conditions, and attention to quality. As with the other surveys, care is taken to preserve the anonymity of the employees surveyed. Managers and supervisors receive the results in the form of ratings for their own offices. They are instructed to analyze the results, share them with their employees, and prepare a list of strengths and opportunities for improvement from which an action plan may be developed and monitored. Because the results of the Employee Opinion Survey are reported and kept by work location, rather than by an individual manager or supervisor's name or other personal identifier, the information is not maintained or retrieved in a manner that prompts its establishment as a Privacy Act system of records. Since, however, there is the possibility, as noted above, that survey results for a specific work location may become associated with the responsible manager and may also be used in evaluating the manager's progress in implementing an action plan, the Postal Service deems it appropriate to include

results of the Employee Opinion Survey in this system of records.

Maintenance of these records is not expected to have a significant effect on individual privacy rights. Individual respondents to the various surveys are assured anonymity. Information kept is limited to aggregate ratings and analyses associated with a particular work location or manager or supervisor. Managers and supervisors are provided the survey results that relate to themselves or to the work locations for which they are responsible. Because the survey results are intended for internal, developmental use, only three of the following Postal Service's general routine uses are being applied to this system of records: Disclosure to a Postal Service contractor, litigation, or storage. The information will be kept in a secured environment, with automated data processing (ADP) physical and administrative security and technical software applied to information on computer media. Contractors who maintain information collected by this system will be made subject to subsection (m) of the Privacy Act and be required to apply appropriate protections subject to audit and inspection by the Postal Inspection Service.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(11), interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, or arguments on this proposal. A report of the following proposed system has been sent to Congress and to the Office of Management and Budget for their evaluation.

USPS 120.154

SYSTEM NAME:

Personnel Records—Employee Survey Process System Records, USPS 120.154.

SYSTEM LOCATIONS:

Human Resources at Headquarters, and at a contractor site.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Supervisors and managers who are rated under an employee survey process or have responsibility for a rated work location.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Work location, name and social security number of manager or supervisor, aggregate data and analyses of data, and national feedback reports.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM: 39 U.S.C. 401, 1001.

PURPOSE(S):

To improve the quality of postal services, employee-management

relations, and communication between managers and employees by soliciting employee feedback on job-related issues; to provide management and supervisors with information needed to improve their leadership skills; and to provide information for evaluating manager and supervisor performance.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

General routine use statements b, f, and g listed in the prefatory statement at the beginning of the Postal Service's published system notices apply to this system.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STODAGE:

Paper and computer storage media.

RETRIEVABILITY:

Some survey information in the system is retrieved only by work location. Other information is retrieved by manager or supervisor name or social security number.

SAFEGUARDS:

Hardcopy records are maintained in a secured environment, with access limited to those individuals whose official duties require such access. Access to automated records is restricted by authorized user identification codes. Information on computer storage media maintained at a contractor site is protected by ADP physical security, technical software, and administrative security subject to audit and inspection by the Postal Inspection Service.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

a. Employee Opinion Survey Process Records—Retain for 20 years. Destroy paper records by shredding or burning. Destroy computer records by erasure or degaussing.

b. Management Development Process Records: (1) Paper Survey Feeder Records—Cut off at the end of the calendar year and destroy by shredding or burning 3 years from cutoff date; (2) Computer Records—Retain for 20 years and then erase or degauss.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Vice President, Human Resources, United States Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant PLZ SW, Washington DC 20260–4200.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Individuals wanting to know whether information about them is maintained in this system of records must address

inquiries in writing to the system manager.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Requests for access must be made in accordance with the notification procedure above and the Postal Service Privacy Act regulations regarding access to records and verification of identity under 39 CFR 266.6.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

See Notification Procedure and Record Access Procedures above.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Postal employees.
Stanley F. Mires,
Chief Counsel, Legislative.
[FR Doc. 95–23134 Filed 9–18–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Forms Under Review by Office of Management and Budget

Agency Clearance Officer: Michael E. Bartell, (202) 942–8800.

Upon written request copy available from: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, D.C. 20549.

Extensions:

Form N-8A—File No. 270–135 Rule 6c-6—File No. 270–160 Proposed Rule 0–5—File No. 270–378

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. §§ 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted requests for approval of extension for the following:

Form N–8A is the form that investment companies file with the Commission pursuant to Section 8(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("1940 Act"), which provides that an investment company may register by filing with the Commission a notification of registration. It is estimated that 610 respondents file form N–8A annually. The form requires approximately one hour of reporting per respondent annually.

Rule 6c–6 continues exemptive relief granted by Commission order to certain investment companies that responded to Internal Revenue Service Revenue Ruling 81–225 (September 25, 1981) by organizing new companies and substituting them for existing companies without prior Commission approval. No respondents incur a burden complying with the rule.

Proposed Rule 0–5 under the 1940 Act would establish an expedited review procedure for certain exemptive applications filed by registered investment companies with the Commission. It is estimated that 60 respondents may expend an estimated 300 total burden hours annually meeting the proposed requirements for receiving expedited review of their exemptive applications.

General comments regarding the estimated burden hours should be directed to the OMB Clearance Officer at the address below. Any comments concerning the accuracy of the estimated average burden hours for compliance with Commission rules and forms should be directed to Michael E. Bartell, Associate Executive Director, Office of Information Technology, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549 and Clearance Officer, Project Numbers: 3235–0175 (Form N–8A), 3235-0245 (Rule 6c-6), and 3235-0432 (Proposed Rule 0-5), Office of Management and Budget, Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: September 11, 1995.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–23166 Filed 9–18–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[Rel. Nos. 33-7215; 34-36220]

Changes and Corrections to EDGAR Phase-In List

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is publishing a list of changes and corrections to the EDGAR phase-in list for companies whose filings are processed by the Division of Corporation Finance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sylvia J. Reis, Assistant Director, CF EDGAR Policy, Division of Corporation Finance at (202) 942–2940.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In connection with the adoption of the final rules fully implementing the Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis, and Retrieval ("EDGAR") system, on December 19, 1994 the Commission published a list of companies whose filings are processed by the Division of Corporation Finance to place registrants on notice as to when they would become subject to mandated electronic