

Register on August 24, 1995 (60 FR 44079).

The certification was amended August 18, 1995, to cover workers of the subject firm located in South Bend, Indiana. The notice will soon be published in the Federal Register.

New information received from the State Agency shows that worker separations have occurred at Wirekraft Industries, Incorporated, Burcliff Industries Division in Lakeville, Indiana. The workers produce electrical wire harnesses for appliances.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Wirekraft Industries adversely affected by imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-31,119 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of the Burcliff Industries Division of Wirekraft Industries, Incorporated, Cardington, Ohio (TA-W-31,119), South Bend, Indiana (TA-W-31,119A), and Lakeville, Indiana (TA-W-31,119B) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 26, 1994 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 7th day of September 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-23183 Filed 9-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Public Meeting; Federal Committee on Apprenticeship

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463; 5 U.S.C. App. 1), notice is hereby given that the Federal Committee on Apprenticeship (FCA) will conduct an open meeting on October 1, 1995, at the Washington Hilton Hotel, 1919 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20009.

The agenda will include:

12:00 a.m. Call to Order
Administrative Matters

- Meeting Logistics
- Approval of Minutes

Remarks: Assistant Secretary for ETA
Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training Report

Work Group Reports and Recommendations

- Briefing on National Apprenticeship Conference

- Reauthorization/funding Carl Perkins Vocational Education Act
- Pilot test projects for promotion/expansion of registered apprenticeship
- National Registered Apprenticeship Award Program
- Regulatory Barriers to Expansion of Registered Apprenticeship
- Legislation affecting registered apprenticeship
- National Association of State and Territorial Apprenticeship Directors (NASTAD) Report
- National Association of Governmental Labor Officials (NAGLO) Report
- Public Comments
- Other Business

4:00 p.m. Adjournment

The agenda is subject to change due to time constraints and priority items which may come before the Committee between the time of this publication and the scheduled date of the FCA meeting.

Members of the public are invited to attend the proceedings. Individuals with disabilities should contact Marion M. Winters at (202) 219-5921, Ext. 114 no later than September 19, 1995, if special accommodations are needed.

Any member of the public who wishes to file written data, views or arguments pertaining to the agenda may do so by furnishing it to the Designated Federal Official at any time prior to the meeting. His address is: Mr. Anthony Swoope, Director, Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training, ETA, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room N-4649 Washington, D.C. 20210.

Fifteen duplicate copies are needed for the members and for inclusion in the minutes of the meeting.

Any member of the public who wishes to speak at this meeting should so indicate the nature of intended presentation and the amount of time needed by furnishing a written statement to the Designated Federal Official by September 23, 1995. The Chairperson will announce at the beginning of the meeting the extent to which time will permit the granting of such requests.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 13th day of September 1995.

Timothy M. Barnicle,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Employment and Labor.

[FR Doc. 95-23187 Filed 9-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-286]

Power Authority of the State of New York; Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of a one time schedular exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) for the submittal of the periodic Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) update from Facility Operating License No. DPR-64, issued to the Power Authority of the State of New York (the licensee) for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 3 (IP3), located at the licensee's site in Westchester County, New York.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed schedular exemption would reschedule the required FSAR update from July 22, 1995, to December 27, 1995 (i.e. 6 months after restart from the extended shutdown). Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) requires that FSAR revisions must be submitted annually or 6 months after a refueling outage provided the interval between updates does not exceed 2 years. In addition, 10 CFR 50.54(a)(3) requires that changes to the quality assurance program description that do not reduce commitments must be submitted to the NRC in accordance with the FSAR update requirements of 10 CFR 50.71(e).

The licensee shut down IP3 in February 1993 for a performance improvement outage. The plant was recently restarted on June 27, 1995. Although this extended shutdown was not a refueling outage, the number of facility changes made by the licensee during the shutdown equates it to one. As such, a one time FSAR update schedular exemption would enable the licensee to include most of the modifications, technical specifications amendments, and other changes completed during the extended shutdown in the next FSAR update.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for exemption dated June 20, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed schedular exemption is needed to permit the licensee to include most of the modifications, technical specifications amendments, and other changes completed during the extended shutdown in the next FSAR update.

Literal compliance with the FSAR update schedule of 10 CFR 50.71(e)(4) would result in an FSAR update which would be incomplete and not adequately reflect the actual design of the facility.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The proposed action to allow the licensee an additional 6 months to update the IP3 FSAR is administrative in nature. The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the probability or consequences of accidents will not increase, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action is administrative in nature and involves features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. However, as an alternative

to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action considered are the same.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Station Unit No. 3," dated February 1975.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on August 17, 1995, the staff consulted with the New York State official, Jack Spath of the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated June 20, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC, and at the White Plains Public Library, 100 Martine Avenue, White Plains, New York.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of September 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Ledyard B. Marsh,
Director, Project Directorate I-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-23177 Filed 9-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Application for a License To Export a Utilization Facility

Pursuant to 10 CFR 110.70(b) "Public notice of receipt of an application", please take notice that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has received the following application for an export license. Copies of the application are on file in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room located at 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC.

A request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene may be filed within 30 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Any request for hearing or petition for leave to intervene shall be served by the requestor or petitioner upon the applicant, the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555; the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission; and the Executive Secretary, U.S. Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20520.

In its review of the application for a license to export a utilization facility as defined in 10 CFR Part 110 and noticed herein, the Commission does not evaluate the health, safety or environmental effects in the recipient nation of the facility to be exported. The information concerning this application follows:

Name of applicant	ABB Combustion Engineering, Inc.	Description of facility	End use
Date of Application	10 August 1995	2 (Two) Nuclear Power Reactors/ 1000 MWe (ea) pressurized water reactors/Ulchin units 5 & 6.	Commercial Generation of Electricity.
Date Received	15 August 1995		
Application Number	XR 163		
Country of Destination	Republic of Korea		

Dated this 7th day of September 1995 at Rockville, Maryland.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Ronald D. Hauber,

Director, Division of Nonproliferation, Exports and Multilateral Relations, Office of International Programs.

[FR Doc. 95-23180 Filed 9-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Generic Letter 88-20, Supplement 5, Individual Plant Examination of External Events for Severe Accident Vulnerabilities

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of issuance.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued Generic

Letter 88-20, Supplement 5 to (1) notify all holders of operating licenses (except those licenses that have been amended to possession-only status) or construction permits for nuclear power reactors of modifications in the recommended scope of seismic reviews that are performed as part of individual plant examinations of external events (IPEEE) for the focused-scope and full-