

United States and Libya described in section 2 of this SFAR by an aircraft authorized to conduct such operations by the United States Government in consultation with the committee established by UN Security Council Resolution 748 (1992), as affirmed by UN Security Council Resolution 883 (1993).

4. Emergency situations. In an emergency that requires immediate decision and action for the safety of the flight, the pilot in command of an aircraft may deviate from this SFAR to the extent required by that emergency. Except for U.S. air carriers and commercial operators that are subject to the requirements of 14 CFR 121.557, 121.559, or 135.19, each person who deviates from this rule shall, within ten (10) days of the deviation, excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and Federal holidays, submit to the nearest FAA Flight Standards District Office a complete report of the operations or the aircraft involved in the deviation, including a description of the deviation and the reasons therefor.

5. Duration. This SFAR No. 65-1 shall remain in effect until further notice.

Issued in Washington, DC on September 13, 1995.

David R. Hinson,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-23346 Filed 9-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Customs Service

19 CFR Parts 10, 12, 24, 123, 134, 162, 174, 177, 178, 181 and 191

[T.D. 95-68]

RIN 1515-AB33

North American Free Trade Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document makes a correction to the document published in the Federal Register that adopts as a final rule, with some changes, interim amendments to the Customs Regulations to implement the preferential tariff treatment and other Customs-related provisions of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act. The correction concerns the discussion of a comment in the Background portion of the document regarding the calculation of NAFTA drawback.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This correction is effective October 1, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Rosoff, Entry Rulings Branch (202-482-7040).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 6, 1995, Customs published in the Federal Register (60 FR 46334) T.D. 95-68 to adopt as a final rule, with some changes, interim amendments to the Customs Regulations implementing the preferential tariff treatment and other Customs-related provisions of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) and the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act. Public Law 103-182, 107 Stat. 2057. These final NAFTA implementing regulations take effect on October 1, 1995.

The **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** portion of T.D. 95-68 included a detailed discussion of the public comments submitted to Customs on the interim NAFTA implementing regulations. One such comment concerned interim § 181.44(b) and stated, with reference to a specific example, that the regulation was unclear as to the calculation of NAFTA drawback (that is, with regard to how the required duty comparison is to be made) when two or more components are used in the process of manufacture. The Customs response to this comment included a general statement of the principle to be applied and also stated that a new paragraph (b) was being added to § 181.44 to set forth the relative value calculation and individual comparison principle.

On further review of the response to the submitted comment, Customs has determined that the response neither specifically addressed the example provided in the comment nor adequately expressed the principle reflected in the new paragraph (b) text. This document corrects the Customs response in question accordingly.

Correction of Publication

In the document published in the Federal Register as T.D. 95-68 on September 6, 1995 (60 FR 46334), on page 46339, under the heading "Section 181.44(b)", the paragraph beginning at the bottom of the first column and ending at the top of the second column before the example is corrected to read as follows:

Customs response: With respect to the duty comparison referred to in the comment, the comparison should be made between the total duty paid on all imported materials or component parts and the duty paid on the finished article exported to Canada or Mexico: In the example cited by the commenter, the total duty of \$6.00 paid on the two imported parts would be compared to the \$5.00 in Canadian or Mexican duty

paid on the exported finished article, resulting in \$5.00 in drawback. Where multiple finished articles are produced from one imported component or material, relative value will be used to determine how the comparison is to be made between the duty paid on the imported component or material and the duty paid on each individual exported finished article. Section 181.44, as set forth below, has been modified by redesignating paragraphs (b)-(e) as (c)-(f) and adding a new paragraph (b) which sets forth the relative value calculation and individual comparison principle and includes the following example to illustrate the rule where multiple articles are produced from one component or material:

Dated: September 14, 1995.

Harvey B. Fox,

Acting Assistant Commissioner, Office of Regulations and Rulings.

[FR Doc. 95-23269 Filed 9-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 175

[Docket No. 88F-0303]

Indirect Food Additives: Adhesives and Components of Coatings

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the food additive regulations to provide for the safe use of the following additives as components of coatings that contact food: meta-xylylenediamine (1,3-benzenedimethanamine), para-xylylenediamine (1,4-benzenedimethanamine), 3-diethylaminopropylamine, benzyl alcohol, salicylic acid, N-beta-(aminoethyl)-gamma-aminopropyltrimethoxysilane, and castor oil, hydrogenated polymer with ethylenediamine, 12-hydroxyoctadecanoic acid, and sebacic acid. This action responds to a petition filed by Sigma Coatings.

DATES: Effective September 20, 1995; written objections and requests for a hearing by October 20, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Submit written objections to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, rm. 1-23, 12420 Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857.