Comment date: October 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

7. Southern Natural Gas Company [Docket No. CP95–747–000]

Take notice that on September 8, 1995, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), P.O. Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP95-747-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point for service to Anchor Glass Container Corporation (Anchor Glass) in Twiggs County, Georgia, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-406-000,1 all as more fully set forth in the request for authorization on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

Southern proposes to construct and operate certain measurement and other appurtenant facilities in order to provide interruptible transportation service to Anchor Glass at a new delivery point, at or near Mile Post 23.2, on Southern's 12-inch Brunswick Line. Specially, the facilities will consist of a dual 3-inch orifice meter, tap, tie-in piping, electric custody transfer equipment and the necessary appurtenant facilities. The estimated cost of the facilities is approximately \$341,271, and Anchor Glass will reimburse Southern for the cost of the facilities. Southern states that the station has been designed to provide up to 4,802 Mcf per day.

Southern holds a blanket transportation certificate pursuant to Part 284 of the Commission's Regulations issued in Docket No. CP88–316–000.² Southern states that construction of the proposed delivery point is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to deliver the requested gas volumes without detriment or disadvantage to it's other customers.

Comment date: October 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and

Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-23270 Filed 9-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP62-205-002, et al.]

Washington Gas Light Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

September 12, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Washington Gas Light Company [Docket No. CP62–205–002]

Take notice that on September 7, 1995, Washington Gas Light Company (WGL), 1100 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20080, filed in Docket No. CP62–205–002 a petition pursuant to Section 7(f) of the Natural Gas Act to amend its certificate issued in Docket No. CP62–205, as amended, authorizing a service area determination, all as more fully set forth in the petition on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WGL proposes to amend its service area by adding Frederick County, Maryland, as a result of its merger with Frederick Gas Company, Inc. (Frederick), a wholly owned subsidiary of WGL. It is stated that the merger will be effective January 1, 1996. It is asserted that Frederick is a Maryland corporation engaged in the transportation, sale and distribution of natural within the state of Maryland, receiving all of its gas within Maryland and with no facilities which cross state lines. It is explained that WGL's gas distribution service in Frederick County will be regulated by the Public Service Commission of Maryland (PSCMD) and will be provided at the same rates and under the same terms and conditions as those offered to WGL's existing customers in Maryland and already on file with the PSCMD.

Comment date: October 3, 1995, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company [Docket No. CP95–725–000]

Take notice that on September 1, 1995, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP95–725–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to establish a bi-directional point in Iberia Parish, LA, in order to receive and deliver gas to a storage facility operated by Equitable Storage Company (Equitable) under Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in

¹ See, 20 FERC ¶ 62,414 (1982).

² See, 43 FERC ¶ 61,233 (1988).

Docket No. CP82-413-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open

to public inspection.

Tennessee proposes to install a 12 inch hot tap, approximately 50 feet of interconnecting pipe on Tennessee's right-of-way, a chromatograph and electronic gas measurement. 1 Tennessee also asserts that gas will be moved to and from the bi-directional point under existing agreements for firm or interruptible transportation on Tennessee's system. Tennessee also states that the bi-directional point will accommodate up to 200,000 Dth/d of receipts from and deliveries to Tennessee's system.

Comment date: October 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. Williams Natural Gas Company [Docket No. CP95-732-000]

Take notice that on September 5, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP95-732-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon by reclamation the Enid Compressor Station in Garfield County, Oklahoma, which was authorized in Docket No. CP70-53, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG seeks authority to abandon the 1,000 horsepower compressor unit, the compressor building, and appurtenant facilities, which compose the Enid Compressor Station. The cost of the proposed abandonment is approximately \$47,300 with an estimated salvage value of \$25,000.

Comment date: October 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-736-000]

Take notice that on September 7, 1995, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), 200 North Third Street, Suite 300, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, filed with the Commission in Docket No. CP95-736-000 pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act

(NGA) for authorization to add a new metering station and associated appurtenant facilities to provide transportation service in Cass County, North Dakota, authorized in blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-487–000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Williston Basin proposes to add a new metering station and associated appurtenant facilities which would be used for delivering gas into facilities to be owned by Prairielands Energy Marketing, Inc. (PEMI). The gas would ultimately be delivered to the National Sun sunflower plant, in Enderlin, North Dakota. The facilities to be constructed at the metering station would consist of a new tap to the pipeline, a 12 x 14 foot building to house the meter, regulator and miscellaneous gages and valves and a 5 x 7 foot building to house the SCADA system, and would be enclosed with a security fence. The total estimated cost of the proposed metering station and lateral pipeline would be \$110,000. The actual cost of the facilities would be reimbursed to Williston Basin by PEMI.

Comment date: October 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

5. Williams Natural Gas Company [Docket No. CP95-739-000]

Take notice that on September 7, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74101, filed an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for an order permitting and approving the abandonment by reclaim of five horizontal compressor units and appurtenant facilities at the Welda compressor station located in Anderson County, Kansas, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, WNG seeks authority to abandon by reclaim five Cooper horizontal compressor units totaling 5,400 horsepower at the Welda compressor station. The units were installed in the late 1940's and early 1950's and have not been used since the winter of 1992-93. The compressor units remaining at the Welda station will continue to provide the same level of service. The total cost of the proposed abandonment is approximately \$25,000, with an estimated salvage value of \$0.

Comment date: October 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

6. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

Docket No. CP95-740-000

Take notice that on September 7, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314–1599, filed in Docket No. CP95-740-000 a request pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations to construct and operate facilities for nine new points of delivery for Mountaineer Gas Company (Mountaineer) to serve nine residential customers located in various counties in West Virginia under Columbia's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-76-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to

public inspection.

Columbia proposes to construct and operate a 4-inch by 1-inch saddle tap and less than 20 feet of pipe for each of the nine residential customers on Columbia's existing right-of-way in order to provide firm service of up to 1.5 dekatherms (dth) per day and up to 150 dth annually for each customer under Columbia's Rate Schedules SST and FTS within certificated entitlements. Columbia states that Mountaineer would set the meter and regulator at each location. The new delivery points would be located in Lincoln (2), Kanawha, Clay, Wayne (2), Randolph, and Roane (2) Counties, West Virginia to serve individual residential customers, it is stated. Columbia states that there is no impact on Columbia's existing design day and annual obligations to its other customers as a result the construction and operation of these facilities. Columbia states that the estimated cost of each delivery point is \$150 and would be treated as an O&M expense.

Comment date: October 27, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties

¹Tennessee states that Equitable will install, own and maintain measuring facilities and will install, own operate, and maintain the interconnecting pipe between Tennessee's right-of-way and the meter

to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-23271 Filed 9-19-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 11077-0001]

Alaska Power and Telephone Company; Errata Notice to Notice of Application

August 16, 1995.

In the notice issued August 9, 1995, published at 60 FR 4872 (August 29, 1995), item "j" should read: "Deadline for comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, and prescriptions: October 10, 1995."

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–23272 Filed 9–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. PR95-11-000]

Egan Hub Partners, L.P.; Order to Show Cause

Issued September 14, 1995.

On May 11, 1995, Egan Hub Partners, L.P. (Egan) filed a petition, supplemented on August 11 and August 18, 1995, in Docket No. PR95-11-000 for authority to charge and collect individually-negotiated, market-based rates for interstate storage and transportation services performed under section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA). The instant order establishes a show cause proceeding, pursuant to sections 5, 7, and 16 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), to investigate the jurisdictional implications of Egan's proposed construction of storage facilities for NGPA "section 311 only." As discussed below, the Commission is requiring Egan to show cause why the proposed storage facilities should not be subject to the Commission's NGA jurisdiction.

Background and Description of the Facilities

Egan is owned by Egan Hub Partners, Inc., its sole general partner and Market Hub Partners, L.P., its sole limited partner. Tejas Power Corporation (Tejas) indirectly owns a 66 percent interest in Egan. Egan provides intrastate transportation services through its intrastate pipeline facilities located in Calcasieu, East Baton Rouge, and Pointe Coupee Parishes, Louisiana. Egan currently provides intrastate transportation services to three gas customers: an electric utility, a university, and an industrial user.

On February 3, 1994, Egan filed a notice with the Commission under 18 CFR 284.11 stating that it intended to commence construction of an underground salt dome storage cavern

and appurtenant facilities, including pipeline facilities in Acadia Parish, Louisiana, to be used solely for the purpose of providing services pursuant to section 311(a)(2) of the NGPA. Egan anticipated that construction of the facilities would cost approximately \$56 million and take approximately two years to complete, with the facilities available for service during the 1995–1996 winter heating season.

Egan states that the storage facilities are approximately nine miles from Louisiana Gas System Inc.'s (LGS) intrastate pipeline facilities. According to Egan, an interconnection with LGS has not been pursued because of a lack of firm demand for Egan's services. Egan adds that if both intrastate and section 311 gas are stored in the field, the gas may become subject to state regulatory control in the event of a curtailment. As a result of these uncertainties, Egan states that it elected to construct the storage and transportation facilities as "section 311 only" facilities.

On May 11, 1995, Egan filed a petition in Docket No. PR95-11-000 for authority to charge and collect individually-negotiated, market-based rates for interstate storage and transportation services performed under section 311. Egan states that construction of the first cavern is nearing completion and will be ready for service on or about September 1, 1995. The cavern will have a capacity for 4.5 Bcf of working gas, with an additional 1.2 Bcf of pad gas. The maximum injection rate is expected to be 135,000 Mcf/d and the maximum deliverability rate is expected to be 750,000 Mcf/d. Two compressors, having a total of 6,260 horsepower, will also be installed. Egan states that as many as four additional caverns could be located at the site, if future demand justifies a need for such additional storage.

Egan states that it has constructed approximately 9,240 feet of dual 20-inch pipeline, and 19,117 feet of dual 24-inch pipeline, as well as other related pipeline facilities in Acadia Parish to provide the "section 311 only" services.¹ These facilities will enable Egan to transport, store and/or deliver gas to and from the interstate pipeline systems of ANR Pipeline Company, Trunkline Gas Company, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, and Texas Gas Transmission Corporation.

Egan states that pursuant to an open season conducted between January 3

 $^{^{\}rm l}$ The facilities are the subject of a 30-day prior notice filed with the Commission on February 3, 1994 in Docket CP94–217–000.