

KGRC, Channel 225C1, Hannibal, Missouri.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before November 13, 1995, and reply comments on or before November 28, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Larry K. and Cathy M. Price, WKXQ Radio, P.O. Box 196, 123 North Liberty Street, Rushville, Illinois 62681 (Petitioners).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 95-147, adopted September 7, 1995, and released September 19, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

*Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 95-23526 Filed 9-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 95-148; RM-8693]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Big Sky, MT

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document requests comments on a petition filed by George Russell & Associates, Inc. proposing the allotment of Channel 283A to Big Sky, Montana, as that community's first local service. Channel 283A can be allotted to Big Sky without a site restriction at coordinates 45-16-03 and 111-18-04.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before November 13, 1995, and reply comments on or before November 28, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: Brian M. Madden, Deborah R. Coleman, Leventhal, Senter & Lerman, 2000 K Street, NW., Suite 600, Washington, DC 20006-1809.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's *Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, MM Docket No. 95-148, adopted September 8, 1994, and released September 19, 1994. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, International Transcription Services, Inc., 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037, (202) 857-3800.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contact.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

*Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 95-23528 Filed 9-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-F

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 260

[Docket No. 950915231-5231-01; I.D. 091495E]

RIN 0648-AI45

#### Privatization of In-plant Seafood Inspections and Related Services

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of inquiry.

**SUMMARY:** NOAA announces that it will change the way it delivers in-plant seafood inspections and related services under the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (the Act). Currently, these services are provided by NOAA employees on a fee-for-service basis which enables NOAA to fully recover the service costs. NOAA is considering that some of these services would no longer be provided directly by NOAA employees, but rather be offered by private parties. This document outlines the action NOAA contemplates to assure that the privatized program conducted under Federal oversight will be the full equivalent of the current program. NOAA is issuing this notice to inform the public of its ideas on restructuring the way it provides services under the Act; to describe the method by which it would assure continued availability of the benefits of these services through private inspectors certified by NOAA; and to invite submission of written recommendations and comments.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before November 21, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Director, Office of Industry Services, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 12553, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James W. Brennan, NOAA Deputy General Counsel at (202) 482-3044.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Comments should take into account the following criteria that will fundamentally affect the viability of a privatized inspection program: (i) Fair treatment of Government inspectors currently