

interruptible natural gas transportation service for South Jersey Exploration Company (SJEX), a producer, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Natural states that it proposes to abandon an interruptible transportation service authorized in Natural's Docket No. CP78-89, as amended, and performed under Natural's Rate Schedule X-98. Natural further states that under the arrangement, dated September 30, 1977, SJEX made available up to 5,000 Mcf of natural gas per day to Natural in Nacogdoches County, Texas via a joint venture gathering line to Natural in Nacogdoches County, Texas, and Natural redelivered an equivalent volume of natural gas for the account of SJEX to Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) at the outlet of Mobil Oil Corporation's Cameron Gas Processing Plant in Cameron Parish, Louisiana, for further transportation and ultimate distribution to certain of Transco's customers or their producing affiliates.

Natural indicates that it had an option, which Natural exercised, to purchase up to ten percent of the total amount of gas it received in Nacogdoches County, Texas for the account of SJEX. Natural also indicates that SJEX sold a part of such gas to Natural in Docket No. CS76-818. It is also indicated that the gas that Natural was transporting for SJEX as gas that was not all owned by SJEX as SJEX was also acting as agent for six other companies. It is further indicated that the six other companies and the docket numbers regarding their sales to Natural were Delmarva Energy Corporation in Docket No. CS76-1086, Dover Exploration Company in Docket No. CI77-34, NCNG Exploration Corporation in Docket No. CS77-39, Piedmont Exploration Company, Inc. in Docket No. CS76-1125, Rockingham Exploration Company in Docket No. CS77-339, Tar Heel Energy Corporation in Docket No. CS76-982, and U.G.C. Energy Corporation in Docket No. CS77-464.

Natural states that by a letter of South Jersey Industries, Inc. (SJI) ¹ dated July 20, 1995, Natural was notified that its transportation service for SJEX was no longer required. Therefore, Natural requests authority to abandon its transportation service for SJEX under the agreement.

¹ Natural indicates that SJI is the parent company of South Jersey Gas Company (South Jersey). Natural states that SJEX was the producing affiliate of South Jersey. It is further indicated that SJEX dissolved in 1992.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before October 10, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, and if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that the abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provide for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Natural to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-23511 Filed 9-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-710-000, et al.]

**Southern Natural Gas Company, et al.;
Natural Gas Certificate Filings**

September 15, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Southern Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-710-000]

Take notice that on August 25, 1995, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), P.O. Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP95-710-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to

abandon by sale certain gas supply facilities in Knoxo Field, Walthall County, Mississippi, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Southern proposes to abandon by sale two receiving stations and associated 6-inch and 4-inch supply lines in the Knoxo Field. The facilities were constructed in the 1960's to connect gas supplies to Southern's system. Because of decreased production in the field, the facilities are no longer economical to maintain, according to Southern. An agreement has been entered with the operator of the upstream wellhead production facilities J.R. Pounds, Inc., to acquire the facilities. No impact on Southern's system capacity or its customers would result.

Comment date: October 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

**2. CNG Transmission Corporation and
Texas Eastern Transmission
Corporation**

[Docket No. CP95-668-001]

Take notice that on September 8, 1995, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301 and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056, collectively referred to as Applicants, filed a joint amended application in Docket No. CP95-668-001 pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of certain pipeline facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

On August 7, 1995, in Docket No. CP95-668-000, Applicants filed an application pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon certain facilities at the Jeannette Compressor Station and a certificate of public convenience to add horsepower at the South Oakford Compressor Station. Applicants also proposed to install two new, parallel storage pipelines consisting of 3,158 feet of 30-inch storage suction pipe and 3,158 feet of 20-inch storage discharge pipe. Applicants state that updated engineering studies have indicated that the 20-inch storage discharge pipe may be replaced with the same length of 16-inch pipe at a cost savings. Applicants therefore propose to install a 16-inch storage discharge pipe in lieu of the 20-inch pipe.

Comment date: October 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-722-000]

Take notice that on August 31, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314, filed in Docket No. CP95-722-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon an exchange service under its Rate Schedule X-99 with Equitable Gas Company (Equitable), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that, under the exchange, Columbia delivered up to 1,000 Mcf/d of gas to Equitable in Braxton County, and up to 1,000 Mcf/d of gas in Upshur County, West Virginia. Equitable redelivered equivalent volumes, received at the above points, in Wetzel County West Virginia. This was accomplished by reducing volumes which Columbia delivered to Equitable in Wetzel County, West Virginia. It is stated that the service was on thermally equivalent basis, and therefore there was no charge, however any imbalances were treated as transportation volumes and an appropriate rate was charged the party receiving the benefit. It is stated that the service is no longer required, since such have been terminated and alternative service has been offered Equitable under a Part 284 Firm Transportation Rate Schedule.

Comment date: October 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Carnegie Interstate Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP95-731-000]

Take notice that on September 5, 1995, Carnegie Interstate Pipeline Company (Carnegie), 800 Regis Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15236, filed in Docket No. CP95-731-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point to an end user, Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania, Inc. (Columbia Gas), under Carnegie's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP88-248-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with

the Commission and open to public inspection.

Carnegie proposes to construct and operate a new delivery point to an end user, Columbia Gas, in Fayette County, Pennsylvania, in order to provide up to 600,000 Mcf annually, under its Rate Schedules FTS and ITS.

Comment date: October 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

5. Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-737-000]

Take notice that on September 7, 1995, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), 5400 Westheimer Court, P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251 filed in Docket No. CP95-737-000 a joint application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon certain transportation services which were authorized in Docket Nos. CP80-311-000 et al, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Eastern proposes to abandon the firm transportation of up to 15,000 Mcf of gas per day, for Transco under Rate Schedule X-132. Transco proposes to abandon the firm transportation of up to 40,000 Mcf per day, for Texas Eastern under Rate Schedule X-129. Texas Eastern and Transco state that pursuant to their August 22, 1995 Settlement Agreement, they have agreed to terminate the above rate schedules effective September 1, 1995. Texas Eastern and Transco also mention that their Settlement Agreement provides for Texas Eastern making a lump sum payment of an exit fee for demand charges owed through March 14, 1996. Texas Eastern and Transco assert that they do not propose to abandon any facilities.

Comment date: October 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

6. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-749-000]

Take notice that on September 11, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed a request with the Commission in Docket No. CP95-749-000 pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216(b) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for approval to

abandon certain facilities and operations at the Enumclaw Meter Station in King County, Washington, and to construct and operate modified replacement facilities at the Enumclaw Meter to more efficiently accommodate existing firm maximum daily delivery obligations to the City of Enumclaw (Enumclaw) and the City of Buckley, Washington; authorized in blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest proposes to partially abandon facilities and operations at the existing Enumclaw Meter Station by replacing one existing 4-inch orifice meter with one new 6-inch turbine meter and appurtenances to more efficiently accommodate existing fluctuation of the flow rates. Northwest also proposes to disconnect the recorder from the second 4-inch orifice meter and convert the meter run into a by-pass line. Northwest states that it plans to install a 4-inch filter upstream of the new 6-inch turbine meter to decrease flow from approximately 7,333 Dth per day to approximately 6,753 Dth per day at the contractual delivery pressure of 150. Northwest states that the maximum design capacity of the meter station will remain unchanged, since it is limited by the existing regulators to 6,652 Dth per day at 150 psig. The estimated cost of modifying the facilities at the Enumclaw Meter Station would be \$81,870. Northwest states that this expenditure is necessary to allow more efficient daily delivery obligations and that Northwest will not require any cost reimbursement from Enumclaw.

Comment date: October 30, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene

in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-23542 Filed 9-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-754-000]

**NorAm Gas Transmission Company;
Notice of Request Under Blanket
Authorization**

September 18, 1995.

Take notice that on September 14, 1995, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT), P.O. Box 21734, Shreveport, Louisiana 71151, filed a prior notice request with the Commission in Docket No. CP95-754-000 pursuant to Sections 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the

Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to abandon certain pipeline facilities in Caddo Parish, Louisiana, under NGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-384-000, *et al.* pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request which is open to the public for inspection.

NGT proposes to abandon 14,627 feet of inactive 4-inch diameter pipe, an inactive 1-inch tap, and metering facilities on its Line G in Caddo Parish. NGT states that it no longer needs these inactive facilities, which were installed in 1950 at pipeline station no. 6 + 90, to serve a domestic customer served by its affiliate, Arkla Gas Company (Arkla). Arkla notified NGT via a letter dated June 14, 1995, that it concurs with NGT's abandonment of these facilities. NGT states that it would abandon the pipe and tap in place and remove all above ground metering facilities. NGT also states that it would cost approximately \$29,676 to abandon these facilities.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the Commission has issued this notice, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the allowed time, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-23513 Filed 9-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ES95-37-003]

**Texas-New Mexico Power Company
and Texas Generating Company II;
Notice of Amended Application**

September 18, 1995.

Take notice that on September 18, 1995, Texas-New Mexico Power Company (TNP) filed an amendment to the application submitted by TNP and Texas Generating Company II (TGC II) in Docket No. ES95-37-000, *et al.* The amendment deleted the proposal that TGC II be the principal obligor of borrowings under a proposed Amended Credit Facility and proposes that TNP

be the obligor of borrowings under the Amended Credit Facility.

The application was amended as follows:

(1) Subparagraph (1) of Paragraph (e) on page 2 of the referenced application is amended by deleting the fourth sentence in its entirety and substituting in its place the following sentence: "TNP will be the obligor under the Amended Credit Facility."

(2) Subparagraph (7) of Paragraph (e) on page 5 of the referenced application is amended by deleting the second sentence after the chart in its entirety and substituting in its place the following sentence: "Of all the proposals submitted to TNP, the Amended Credit Facility secured by the New Bonds will provide TNP with the lowest cost of money and the greatest net proceeds."

(3) Paragraph (f) on page 6 of the referenced application is amended by deleting the second sentence in its entirety.

(4) Paragraph (f) on page 6 of the referenced application is further amended by deleting the third sentence in its entirety and substituting in its place the following sentence: "TNP will be able to borrow and prepay funds on one to three days' notice with interest determined pursuant to TNP's election between a LIBOR rate and an alternate base or prime rate."

(5) Paragraph (f) on page 6 of the referenced application is further amended by deleting the last sentence in its entirety.

(6) Subparagraph (1)(ii) of Paragraph (g) on page 6 of the referenced application is amended by deleting the first sentence in its entirety and substituting in its place the following sentence: "Each syndicate bank will receive a commitment fee at closing, the amount of which will depend on the amount that each bank commits to loan to TNP."

(7) Subparagraph (2) of Paragraph (h) on page 9 of the referenced application is amended by deleting the first sentence in its entirety and substituting in its place the following sentence: "At closing, TNP will draw on the Amended Credit Facility to repay outstanding indebtedness under the Existing Credit Facility."

(8) Subparagraph (3) of Paragraph (h) on page 10 of the referenced application is amended by deleting the first sentence in its entirety and substituting in its place the following sentence: "In addition to repaying Existing Credit Facility borrowings and other long-term debt, TNP expects Amended Credit Facility funds to be used for general working capital on an ongoing basis."