(9) Subparagraph (2) of Paragraph (j) on page 11 of the referenced application is amended by deleting the first two sentences in their entirety and substituting in their places the following sentences:

"Approval of the Amended Credit Facility will enable TNP to borrow and repay funds as appropriate to manage normal fluctuations in cash flow experienced by a seasonal peaking utility. Enhanced flexibility in the use of proceeds under the New Credit Facility will also enable TNP to avoid arranging permanent financing before certain unfavorable conditions are removed."

(10) Paragraph (k) on page 12 of the referenced application is amended by deleting the first two sentences in their entirety and substituting in their places the following sentences:

"The Amended Credit Facility is expected to require that TNP maintain a minimum ratio of earnings before interest and taxes to interest expense ranging from 1.2 from closing through June 30, 1996, to 1.5 from July 1, 1999, until the Amended Credit Facility is repaid. TNP must also maintain a maximum ratio of debt to capitalization ranging from 77 percent from closing through June 30, 1996, to 65 percent from July 1, 1999, until the Amended Credit Facility is repaid."

TNP and TGC II request that the Commission's order in Docket No. ES94–12–000 <sup>1</sup> concerning the Existing Credit Facility remain effective until closing and funding of the Amended Credit Facility.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before September 22, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

FR Doc. 95–23544 Filed 9–21–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

#### [Docket No. CP95-723-000]

#### Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 18, 1995.

Take notice that on August 31, 1995, Tuscarora Gas Transmission Company (Tuscarora), 6100 Neil Road, P.O. Box 30057, Reno, Nevada 89520-3057 filed in Docket No. CP95-723-000, a request pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to construct and operate a new tap and meter station in Washoe County, Nevada, to be known as the La Posada Meter Site, for the delivery of gas to an existing customer, Sierra Pacific Power Company (SPPC), under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP93-685-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tuscarora proposes to install an 8inch tap and associated meter at Milepost 217.2 on its mainline in Washoe County, Nevada to establish an additional point for the delivery of natural gas to SPPC, for redelivery and resale to consumers in Reno, Nevada and environs. Tuscarora indicates that construction of the tap will enable SPPC to receive up to 12,000 MMBtu of natural gas directly into the La Posada area of its existing service area. Tuscarora asserts that the construction of this additional delivery point is consistent with Tuscarora's tariff and the total volume to be delivered to SPPC will not exceed the volume previously authorized for delivery to SPPC. Tuscarora states the projected cost of the proposed facilities is \$198,000.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for

authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–23510 Filed 9–21–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. EC95-21-000, et al.]

# Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

September 15, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. EC95-21-000]

Take notice that on September 7, 1995, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company (OG&E) has filed an application for an order authorizing a planned corporate reorganization.

OG&E is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Oklahoma, and is engaged in producing

and selling electric energy.

OG&E proposes to reorganize by causing the creation of a holding company to be named OG&E Holding Corp. (Holding Company), which will become the owner of all the common stock of OG&E. This will be accomplished through a mandatory share acquisition and exchange following shareholder approval, whereby each outstanding share of OG&E common stock (other than shares held by persons who properly exercise their appraisal rights under Oklahoma law) will be exchanged for an outstanding share of Holding Company common stock. The preferred stock and debt obligations of OG&E will not be exchanged and will remain preferred stock and debt obligations of OG&E. Following the share acquisition and exchange, OG&E will cause all of its subsidiaries to be transferred to Holding Company. Also, immediately prior to the creation of the holding company structure, OG&E will reduce its ownership of The Arklahoma Corporation from 34% to below 5%. The principal property of The Arklahoma Corporation currently consists of a 161 kV transmission line extending 166 miles from Boudinot Tap near Tahlequah, Oklahoma, to Substation A located at Lake Catherine, Arkansas.

OG&E states that the proposed transaction is consistent with the public interest.

Comment date: October 5, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 66 FERC ¶ 62,054 (1994).

#### 2. Montaup Electric Company

[Docket No. ER94-1062-003]

Take notice that on September 7, 1995, Montaup Electric Company (Montaup) filed a compliance reporting showing the credit in lieu of refunds pursuant to the settlement agreement in this docket between Montaup and its nonaffiliated customers. The credit appeared on the Montaup bills for July issued on August 9, 1995.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 3. Calpine Power Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER94-1545-002]

Take notice that on September 5, 1995, Calpine Power Marketing, Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's March 9, 1995, order in Docket No. ER94–1545–000. Copies of Calpine Power Marketing's informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

#### 4. Proven Alternatives, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-473-001]

Take notice that on September 5, 1995, Proven Alternatives, Inc. filed certain information as required by the Commission's March 9, 1995, order in Docket No. ER95–473–000. Copies of Proven Alternatives' informational filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

## 5. Public Service Company of New Mexico

[Docket No. ER95-1052-000]

Take notice that on August 18, 1995, Public Service Company of New Mexico tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 6. Appalachian Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-1315-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 1995, Appalachian Power Company tendered for filing an amendment in the abovereferenced docket.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 7. Atlantic City Energy Company

[Docket No. ER95-1319-000]

Take notice that on September 8, 1995, Atlantic City Electric Company (AE) filed supplemental information in the above-captioned docket.

AE served a copy of the filing on the City of Vineland, New Jersey and the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities. Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 8. Entergy Power, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1375-000]

Take notice that on August 28, 1995, Entergy Power, Inc. tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 9. Southern Indiana Gas & Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-1429-000]

Take notice that on August 24, 1995, Southern Indiana Gas & Electric Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Western States Power Providers, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1459-000]

Take notice that on September 8, 1995, Western States Power Providers, Inc. tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 11. Southern Indiana Gas & Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-1497-000]

Take notice that on August 24, 1995, Southern Indiana Gas & Electric Company tendered for filing an amendment in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 12. Boston Edison Company

[Docket No. ER95-1700-000]

Take notice that on September 5, 1995, Boston Edison Company (Edison), tendered for filing a Service Agreement under Original Volume No. II, Non-Firm Transmission Tariff (Tariff) for Rainbow Energy Marketing Corp. (REMC). Boston Edison requests that the Service Agreement become effective as of November 5, 1995.

Edison states that it has served a copy of this filing on REMC and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. Northeast Utilities Service Company [Docket No. ER95–1701–000]

Take notice that on September 5, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), tendered for filing, a Service Agreement with Engelhard Power Marketing, Inc. (Engelhard) under the NU System Companies System Power Sales/Exchange Tariff No. 6.

Engelhard also filed a Certificate of Concurrence as it relates to exchange transactions under the Tariff.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to Engelhard.

NUSCO requests that the Service Agreement become effective September 1, 1995.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 14. Northeast Utilities Service Company [Docket No. ER95–1702–000]

Take notice that on September 5, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), tendered for filing, a Service Agreement with Heartland Energy Services, Inc. (Heartland) under the NU System Companies System Power Sales/Exchange Tariff No. 6.

Heartland also filed a Certificate of Concurrence as it relates to exchange transactions under the Tariff.

NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to Heartland.

NUSCO requests that the Service Agreement become effective September 1, 1995.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 15. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1703-000]

Take notice that on September 5, 1995, Entergy Services, Inc. (Entergy Services), on behalf of Arkansas Power & Light Company, Gulf States Utilities Company, Louisiana Power & Light Company, Mississippi Power & Light Company, and New Orleans Public Service Inc., tendered for filing a Transmission Service Agreement (TSA) between Energy Services and Rainbow Energy Marketing Corporation (Rainbow Energy). Entergy Services states that the TSA sets out the transmission arrangements under which the Energy Operating Companies will provide Rainbow Energy non-firm transmission service under their Transmission Service Tariff.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1704-000]

Take notice that on September 5, 1995, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison), tendered for filing a Supplement to its Rate Schedule, Con Edison Rate Schedule FERC No. 123, a facilities agreement with Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation (CH). The Supplement provides for a decrease in the monthly carrying charges. Con Edison has requested that this increase take effect as of August 1, 1995.

Con Edison states that a copy of this filing has been served by mail upon CH.

Comment date: September 29, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–23543 Filed 9–21–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### [Project No. 11243 Alaska]

#### Whitewater Engineering Corporation; Notice of Scoping Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969

September 18, 1995.

The Energy Policy Act of 1992, allows applicants to prepare their own draft environmental assessment (EA) for hydropower projects and file it with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) along with their license application as part of the "applicant-prepared EA" process. Whitewater Engineering Corporation (Whitewater) intends to prepare an EA to file with the Commission for the Power Creek Hydroelectric Project No. 11243.

Whitewater will hold two public scoping meetings, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, to identify the scope of environmental issues that should be analyzed in the EA.

#### Scoping Meetings

The times and locations of the two scoping meetings are:

#### Public Meeting

Date: Tuesday, October 10, 1995. Place: Cordova High School's Library, 100 Fisherman's Way, Cordova, Alaska. Time: 7:00 p.m.

#### Agency Meeting

Date: Thursday, October 12, 1995. Place: New Federal Building, 222 W. 7th Avenue, Room 133, Anchorage, Alaska. Time: 1:30 pm.

At the scoping meetings, Whitewater will (1) summarize the environmental issues tentatively identified for analysis in the EA; (2) solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially qualified data, on the resources at issue; and (3) encourage statements from experts and the public on issues that should be analyzed in the EA.

All interested individuals, organizations, and agencies are invited and encouraged to attend either or both meetings to assist in identifying and clarifying the scope of environmental issues that should be analyzed in the EA.

To help focus discussions at the meetings, Whitewater prepared and distributed Scoping Document 1 for this project. Copies of this scoping document can be obtained by calling Mike Prewittt of Whitewater at (206) 957–1874, or can be obtained directly at either meeting.

#### Site Visit

Whitewater will also conduct a site visit for this project on Wednesday, October 11, 1995. Those attending the site visit must meet in the lobby of the Old Courthouse (Old Post Office), at 612 2nd Street, in Cordova by 9:00 am. Those planning to attend the site visit must notify Whitewater at least three days prior to that date.

#### Meeting Procedures

The meetings will be conducted according to the procedures used at Commission scoping meetings. Because this meeting will be a NEPA scoping meeting, the Commission will not conduct another NEPA scoping meeting when the application and draft EA are filed with the Commission.

Both meetings will be recorded by a stenographer, and thus will become a part of the formal record of the proceedings for this project.

Those who choose not to speak may instead submit written comments on the project. These comments should be mailed to Mike Prewitt at Whitewater's Seattle office, 1415 140th Street, #9, Bellevue, Washington 90885. All correspondence should clearly show the following caption on the first page: Scoping Comments, Power Creek Project, FERC No. 11243, Alaska.

For further information, please contact Mike Prewitt at (206) 957–1874, or Mike Strzelecki of the Commission at (202) 219–2827.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–23509 Filed 9–21–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

(ER-FRL-5229-1)

# Environmental Impact Statements And Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared September 04, 1995 Through September 08, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of FEDERAL ACTIVITIES AT (202) 260–5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

#### Draft EISs

ERP No. D-FRC-L05213-WA Rating EO2, Rocky Reach Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 2145). Operating License Amendment Issuance to Increase Lake Entiat Reservoir, Chelan and Douglas Counties, WA.

#### Summary

EPA expressed environmental objections due to potentially significant water quality, wetland and fish impacts. Additional information was requested on cumulative effects, mitigation measures and impacts on water quality, wetlands and fisheries resources.

ERP No. D-NAS-K59011-CA Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—NASA Ames Aerodynamic Testing Program, Implementation, Analyzation of the Noise Envelope of Future Wind Tunnel Testing at the National Full-Scale Aerodynamic Complex (NFAC), NASA Ames Research Center, Moffet Field, Santa Clara County, CA.

#### Summary

EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the lack of air quality mitigation measures, noise impacts to Air Force housing and dependents, and the lack of detail concerning noise mitigation.

ERP No. DS-AFS-L65183-AK Rating LO, Central Prince of Wales Ketchikan