

average ocean freight rate by dividing the ocean freight charge for each shipment by non-PRC-owned companies by the weight of the finished product; then, the results were summed for those shipments, and the total divided by the total number of pieces shipped by non-PRC-owned companies. This methodology is more accurate than respondents' methodology because it

allocates the weight of each shipment to the charge for that shipment. Conversely, respondents' methodology, by which the total of the ocean freight charges for shipments by non-PRC-owned companies would be divided by the total weight of those shipments, allocates the weight of each shipment over all ocean freight charges. Therefore, we have not changed our calculation of

the average ocean freight rates, except to include the additional shipments, as discussed in our response to comment 18.

Final Results of Reviews

As a result of our reviews, we have determined that the following margins exist:

Manufacturer/exporter	Time period	Margin (percent)
Fujian Machinery & Equipment Import & Export Corporation		
Axes/Adzes	2/1/92-1/31/93	21.92
Bars/Wedges	2/1/92-1/31/93	66.32
Hammers/Sledges	2/1/92-1/31/93	44.41
Picks/Mattocks	2/1/92-1/31/93	108.20
Shandong Machinery Import & Export Corporation		
Axes/Adzes	2/1/92-1/31/93	21.92
Bars/Wedges	2/1/92-1/31/93	49.69
Hammers/Sledges	2/1/92-1/31/93	35.57
Picks/Mattocks	2/1/92-1/31/93	49.64

The Department shall determine, and the Customs service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between United States price and foreign market value may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of reviews for all shipments of HFHTs from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies named above which have separate rates will be the rates for those firms as stated above; (2) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rates will be the rates established in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigations; and (3) the cash deposit rates for non-PRC exporters of the subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. The rates established in the LTFV investigations are 45.42 percent for hammers/sledges, 31.76 percent for bars/wedges, 50.81 percent for picks/mattocks, and 15.02 percent for axes/adzes. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative reviews.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility

under section 353.26 of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These administrative reviews and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: September 13, 1995.
Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95-23580 Filed 9-21-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-122-814]

Pure Magnesium From Canada, Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On July 5, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada. The review covers one company, Norsk Hydro Canada, Inc. (NHCI), for the period August 1, 1993, through July 31, 1994. Since there were no shipments of the subject merchandise during the period of review, we have assigned NHCI the 21 percent cash deposit rate established for all entries of pure magnesium in *Pure Magnesium From Canada: Amendment of Final Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value and Order in Accordance with Decision on Remand* (58 FR 62643), November 29, 1993.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 22, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Trentham or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution

Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4793 or 48204114, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Background

On July 5, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register the preliminary results (60 FR 34967) of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from Canada. The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act).

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is pure magnesium. Pure unwrought magnesium contains at least 99.8 percent magnesium by weight and is sold in various slab and ingot forms and sizes. Granular and secondary magnesium are excluded from the scope of this review. Pure magnesium is currently classified under subheading 8104.11.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Final Results of Review

The Department received no comments on its preliminary results. The first administrative review of this order has not yet been completed. Therefore, we have assigned NHCI the cash deposit established for "all other" producers and exporters in *Pure Magnesium From Canada: Amendment of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Order in Accordance with Decision on Remand* (58 FR 62643), November 29, 1993. The rate is 21 percent.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed firm will be that firm's rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate

published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in any review or the original less-than-fair value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) for all other producers and/or exporters of this merchandise, the cash deposit shall be 21 percent, the "all others" rate from the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until the publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: September 15, 1995.
Paul L. Joffe,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. 95-23579 Filed 9-21-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[(A-821-802), (A-834-802), (A-844-802)]

Suspension Agreements on Uranium from the Russian Federation, Kazakhstan, and Uzbekistan.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Information solicitation of U.S. electric utilities concerned with third country enrichment issue.

SUMMARY: In order to facilitate its evaluation of the application of the Agreements Suspending the Antidumping Investigations on

Uranium from the Russian Federation, Kazakhstan, and Uzbekistan, the Department is requesting that those U.S. electric utilities which have contracts that may be affected by implementation of amendments to these agreements provide certain information to the Department, as outlined below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 22, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Doyle or Alexander Braier, Office of Agreements Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3793.

NOTICE: On March 27, 1995, the Department and the Republic of Kazakhstan finalized an amendment to the Kazakhstan uranium suspension agreement. This amendment, in part, provided that the quantitative restraints on Kazakhstani-origin uranium includes all uranium mined and milled in Kazakhstan and enriched in a third country prior to exportation to the United States. On June 21, 1995, the Department and the Government of Uzbekistan initialed an amendment to the Uzbekistan uranium suspension agreement which expanded the definition of Uzbek-origin uranium in a manner similar to the Kazakhstan amendment. The Department expects to sign this amendment with Uzbekistan soon. In addition, the Department expects to accelerate consultations with the Russian Federation regarding an amendment to the Russian uranium suspension agreement. In the amendment that results from these consultations, the Department expects to treat Russian-origin uranium in a manner similar to the Kazakhstan-origin amendment. As a result of these changes, uranium from these countries that has undergone enrichment in a third country prior to importation into the United States will be subject to the export limits of the suspension agreements.

The Department is interested in considering the precise effects on U.S. utilities regarding the implementation of the Kazakhstani amendment, and upcoming Uzbek and Russian amendments. In order to facilitate its evaluation, the Department needs certain contract-specific information from the U.S. utilities that hold these contracts. Therefore, the Department hereby notifies all U.S. electric utilities that have contracts that they believe will be affected by these changes to submit for the record the information pertaining to these contracts. The Department requests that all affected utilities