

distribution, and consideration at the meeting.

(b) Persons desiring to make oral statements at the meeting should make a request to do so to the Designated Federal Official prior to the beginning of the meeting and summarize the content of the oral statements for the Designated Federal Official. If possible, the request should be made five days before the meeting, identifying the topics to be discussed and the amount of time needed for presentation, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. The Committee will hear oral statements on topics being reviewed at an appropriate time during the meeting scheduled by the Chairman.

(c) Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether a meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, and the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting, at least two days prior to the meeting, Chief of the Nuclear Reactors Branch, ACRS (telephone: 301/415-7364) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m., Eastern Time.

(d) During the ACRS meeting presentations and discussions, questions may be asked by ACRS members, Committee consultants, the NRC staff, and the ACRS staff.

(e) The use of still, motion picture, and television cameras will be permitted both before the meeting and during any recess, subject to the condition that the physical installation and presence of such equipment will not interfere with the conduct of the meeting. The Designated Federal Official will have to be informed prior to the installation or use of such equipment. The use of such equipment will be allowed while the meeting is in session at the discretion of the Chairman to a degree that it is not disruptive. When use of such equipment is permitted, appropriate measures will be taken to protect proprietary or privileged information that may be in documents, folders, etc., being used during the meeting. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public.

(f) A transcript is kept for certain open portions of the meeting and will be available in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555, for use within one week following the meeting. A copy of the certified minutes of the meeting will be available at the same location on or before three months following the meeting. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

ACRS Subcommittee meetings will also be conducted in accordance with these procedures, as appropriate. When Subcommittee meetings are held at locations other than at NRC facilities, reproduction facilities are usually not available. Accordingly, 25 additional copies of the materials to be used during the meeting should be provided for distribution at such meetings.

Special Provisions When Proprietary Sessions Are To Be Held

If it is necessary to hold closed sessions for the purpose of discussing matters involving proprietary information, persons with agreements permitting access to such information may attend those portions of the ACRS meetings where this material is being discussed upon confirmation that such agreements are effective and related to the material being discussed.

The Designated Federal Official should be informed of such an agreement at least five working days prior to the meeting so that it can be confirmed, and a determination can be made regarding the applicability of the agreement to the material that will be discussed during the meeting. The minimum information provided should include information regarding the date of the agreement, the scope of material included in the agreement, the project or projects involved, and the names and titles of the persons signing the agreement. Additional information may be requested to identify the specific agreement involved. A copy of the executed agreement should be provided to the Designated Federal Official prior to the beginning of the meeting for admittance to the closed session.

Dated: September 21, 1995.

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-23925 Filed 9-26-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Docket No. 50-251

Florida Power and Light Company, Turkey Point Unit 4; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from Facility Operating License No. DPR-41, issued to Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee), for operation of Turkey Point Unit 4 located in Dade County, Florida.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

This Environmental Assessment has been prepared to address potential environmental issues related to the licensee's application of August 8, 1995, and revised by letter dated September 6, 1995. The proposed action would exempt the licensee from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, Paragraph III.D.1.(a), to the extent that a one-time interval extension for the Type A test (containment integrated leak rate test) by one refueling outage from the March 1996 refueling outage to the October 1997 refueling outage would be granted.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to permit the licensee to defer the Type A test from the March 1996 refueling outage to the October 1997 refueling outage. The exemption would permit a more flexible schedule for containment leak rate testing than provided for under the current regulations and result in significant cost savings.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed one-time exemption would not increase the probability or consequences of accidents previously analyzed and the proposed one-time exemption would not affect facility radiation levels or facility radiological effluents. The licensee will continue to be required to conduct the Type B and C local leak rate tests which historically have been shown to be the principal means of detecting containment leakage paths with the Type A tests confirming the Type B and C test results. It is also noted that the licensee, as a condition of the proposed exemption, will perform the visual containment inspection although it is only required by Appendix J to be conducted in conjunction with Type A tests. The NRC staff considers that these inspections, though limited in scope, provide an important added level of confidence in the continued integrity of the containment boundary. The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement dated July 1972 for Turkey Point Unit 4.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 16, 1995 the NRC staff consulted with the Florida State official, Dr. Lyle Jerrett of the State Office of Radiation Control, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letters dated August 8, 1995, and September 6, 1995, which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Florida International University, University Park, Miami, Florida 33199.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of September 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
David B. Matthews,
Director, Project Directorate II-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
[FR Doc. 95-23930 Filed 9-26-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251]

Florida Power and Light Company, Turkey Point Units 3 and 4; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-31 and DPR-41, issued to Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee), for operation of Turkey Point Unit 3 and 4, respectively, located in Dade County, Florida.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

This Environmental Assessment has been prepared to address potential environmental issues related to the licensee's application of July 26, 1995. The proposed action consists of administrative corrections and clarifications.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to achieve consistency throughout the Technical Specifications (TS) by (a) removing outdated material, (b) incorporating administrative clarifications and corrections, and (c) correcting typographical errors. These changes represent an administrative update to the Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 TS.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed changes would not increase the probability or consequences of accidents previously analyzed and the proposed changes would not affect facility radiation levels or facility radiological effluents. The proposed TS changes are administrative, more conservative than existing specifications, or do not require NRC approval (Bases changes). The changes will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the NRC staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement dated July 1972 for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 16, 1995 the NRC staff consulted with the Florida State official, Dr. Lyle Jerrett of the *State Office of Radiation Control*, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated July 26, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Florida International University, University Park, Miami, Florida 33199.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th day of September 1995.