

listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA Regional Airports Office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Northwest Mountain Region, Airports Division ANM-600, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Suite 540, Renton, WA 98055-4056.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Sheridan County Airport.

Issued in Renton, Washington on September 20, 1995.

David A. Field,

*Manager, Planning, Programming and Capacity Branch, Northwest Mountain Region.*

[FR Doc. 95-24124 Filed 9-27-95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-M**

### Federal Highway Administration

#### Environmental Impact Statement; Franklin, Gasconade and Osage Counties, Missouri

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement will be prepared for a proposed highway project in Franklin, Gasconade and Osage Counties, Missouri.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Donald Neumann, Programs Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, P.O. Box 1787, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, Telephone: (314) 636-7104; or Mr. Bob Sfreddo, Design Engineer, Missouri Highway and Transportation Department, P.O. Box 270, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, Telephone: (314) 751-2876.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FHWA, in cooperation with the Missouri Highway and Transportation Department will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for a proposal to improve transportation in the US-50 corridor in Franklin, Gasconade and Osage Counties, Missouri. A Major Transportation Investment Analysis will be required for the Franklin County portion which lies within the St. Louis metropolitan area. The study area extends from Interstate Route 44 to US-63, a distance of 110 km along the US-50 corridor. Improvements to the corridor are considered necessary to provide for the existing and projected traffic demand. Alternatives will be determined subsequent to all scoping meetings.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have expressed interest in this proposal. A series of public meetings will be held in Linn, Osage County and Union, Franklin County, between November 1995 and July 1996. In addition, public hearings will be held. Public notice will be given of the time and place of the meetings and hearings. The draft EIS will be made available for public and agency review and comment.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to the proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA or to the MHTD at the addresses provided above.

Don Neumann,

*Programs Engineer, Jefferson City, Missouri.*

[FR Doc. 95-24132 Filed 9-27-95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-22-M**

#### Intelligent Transportation Society of America; Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Intelligent Transportation Society of America (ITS America) will hold a meeting of its Coordinating Council on October 24, 1995. The session is expected to focus on: (1) Federal Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) reports; (2) ITS America Executive Director's report; (3) Report of ITS America ITS Planning Committee; (4) Report on ITS America International activities; (5) Report from the ITS America Commercial Vehicle Operations Committee; (6) ITS America Committee Action Plan discussion; (7) Discussion of study recommendations on requirements for a map data base Spatial Data Transfer Standard for ITS applications; (8) Discussion of the ITS America Coordinating Council retreat, including advanced rural transportation systems and intermodalism; (9) Discussion of Education and Training Workshop recommendations; (10) Report on system architecture development activities; (11) Discussion of privacy issues. ITS America provides a forum for national discussion and recommendations on ITS activities including programs, research needs, strategic planning, standards, international liaison, and priorities. The charter for the utilization of ITS

America establishes this organization as an advisory committee under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C. app. 2, when it provides advice or recommendations to DOT officials on ITS policies and programs. (56 FR 9400, March 6, 1991).

**DATES:** The Coordinating Council of ITS America will meet on October 24 from 9:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. (Rocky Mountain time).

**ADDRESSES:** Hotel Santa Fe, 1501 Paseo de Peralta, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 87501, (505) 982-1200.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Materials associated with this meeting may be examined at the offices of ITS America, 400 Virginia Avenue, SW., Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20024. Persons desiring further information or to request to speak at this meeting should contact Mr. Chris Body at ITS America by telephone at (202) 484-4131, or by FAX at (202) 484-3483. The DOT contact is Mr. Gary Euler, FHWA, HVH-1, Washington, D.C. 20590, (202) 366-2201. Office hours are from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except for legal holidays.

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 315; 49 CFR 1.48.

Issued on: September 25, 1995.

Rodney E. Slater,

*Federal Highway Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-24128 Filed 9-27-95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-22-P**

### DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

#### [Treasury Directive Number 12-36]

#### Redelegation of Agency Head Review Authority

Dated: September 21, 1995.

1. *Delegation.* By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Treasury by 5 U.S.C. 7114(c); and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by Treasury Order (TO) 101-08, there is hereby delegated to the Director, Office of Personnel Policy, the authority to conduct agency head review of collective bargaining agreements negotiated at the national and bureau level.

2. *Authorities.*

a. 5 U.S.C. 7114(c).

b. TO 101-08, "Delegation of Authority to Conduct Agency Head Review of Labor Agreements Under 5 U.S.C. 7114(c)—Assistant Secretary (Administration) and Bureau Heads," dated December 30, 1980.

3. *Cancellation.* Treasury Directive 12-36, "Redelegation of Agency Head Review Authority," dated February 3, 1989, is superseded.

4. *Expiration Date.* This Directive expires three years after the date of issuance unless superseded or cancelled by that date.

5. *Office of Primary Interest.* Office of Personnel Policy, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary (Departmental Finance and Management), Office of the Assistant Secretary for Management & CFO.

George Muñoz,

*Assistant Secretary for Management & CFO.*

[FR Doc. 95-24093 Filed 9-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-P

## Customs Service

### List of Foreign Entities Violating Textile Transshipment and Country of Origin Rules

**AGENCY:** Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** General notice.

**SUMMARY:** This document notifies the public of foreign entities identified by Customs as having violated the textile transshipment rules. This list is authorized to be published by section 333 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information regarding any of the operational aspects, contact Michael Compeau, Branch Chief, Seizures and Penalties Division, at 202-927-0762. For information regarding any of the legal aspects, contact Lars-Erik Hjelm, Office of Chief Counsel, at 202-927-6900.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Section 333 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA)(Public Law 103-465, 108 Stat. 4809)(signed December 12, 1994), entitled Textile Transshipments, amended Part V of title IV of the Tariff Act of 1930 by creating a new section 592A (19 U.S.C. 1592A), which authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to publish in the Federal Register, on a biannual basis, a list of the names of any producers, manufacturers, suppliers, sellers, exporters, or other persons located outside the Customs territory of the United States, when these entities have been issued a penalty claim under section 592 of the Tariff Act, for certain violations of the customs laws, provided that certain conditions are satisfied.

The violations of the Customs laws referred to above are the following: (1) Using documentation, or providing documentation subsequently used by the importer of record, which indicates

a false or fraudulent country of origin or source of textile or apparel products; (2) Using counterfeit visas, licenses, permits, bills of lading, or similar documentation, or providing counterfeit visas, licenses, permits, bills of lading, or similar documentation that is subsequently used by the importer of record, with respect to the entry into the customs territory of the United States of textile or apparel products; (3) Manufacturing, producing, supplying, or selling textile or apparel products which are falsely or fraudulently labelled as to country of origin or source; and (4) Engaging in practices which aid or abet the transshipment, through a country other than the country of origin, of textile or apparel products in a manner which conceals the true origin of the textile or apparel products or permits the evasion of quotas on, or voluntary restraint agreements with respect to, imports of textile or apparel products.

If a penalty claim has been issued with respect to any of the above violations, and no petition in response to the claim has been filed, the name of the party to whom the penalty claim was issued will appear on the list. If a petition, supplemental petition or second supplemental petition for relief from the penalty claim is submitted under 19 U.S.C. 1618, in accord with the time periods established by sections 171.32 and 171.33, Customs Regulations (19 CFR 171.32, 171.33) and the petition is subsequently denied or the penalty is mitigated, and no further petition, if allowed, is received within 30 days of the denial or allowance of mitigation, then the administrative action shall be deemed to be final and administrative remedies will be deemed to be exhausted. Consequently, the name of the party to whom the penalty claim was issued will appear on the list. However, provision is made for an appeal to the Secretary of the Treasury by the violator named on the list, for the removal of its name from the list. If the Secretary finds that such person or entity has not committed any of the enumerated violations for a period of not less than 3 years after the date on which the person or entity's name was published, the name will be removed from the list as of the next publication of the list.

#### Reasonable Care Required

New section 592A also requires any importer of record entering, introducing, or attempting to introduce into the commerce of the United States textile or apparel products that were either directly or indirectly produced, manufactured, supplied, sold, exported,

or transported by such named person to show, to the satisfaction of the Secretary, that such importer has exercised reasonable care to ensure that the textile or apparel products are accompanied by documentation, packaging, and labelling that are accurate as to its origin. Reliance solely upon information regarding the imported product from a person named on the list is clearly not the exercise of reasonable care. Thus, the textile and apparel importers who have some tangential relationship with one or more of the listed parties must exercise a degree of reasonable care in ensuring that the documentation covering the imported merchandise, as well as its packaging and labelling, is accurate as to the country of origin of the merchandise. This degree of reasonable care must rely on more than information supplied by the named party.

In meeting the reasonable care standard when importing textile or apparel products and when dealing with a party named on the list published pursuant to new section 592A of the Tariff Act of 1930, an importer should consider the following questions in attempting to ensure that the documentation, packaging, and labelling is accurate as to the country of origin of the imported merchandise. The list of questions is not exhaustive but is illustrative.

(1) Has the importer had a prior relationship with the named party?

(2) Has the importer had any detentions and/or seizures of textile or apparel products that were directly or indirectly produced, supplied, or transported by the named party?

(3) Has the importer visited the company's premises and ascertained that the company has the capacity to produce the merchandise?

(4) Where a claim of substantial transformation is made, has the importer ascertained that the named party actually substantially transforms the merchandise?

(5) Is the named party operating from the same country as is represented by that party on the documentation, packaging or labelling?

(6) Have quotas for the imported merchandise closed or are they nearing closing from the main producer countries for this commodity?

(7) What is the history of this country regarding this commodity?

(8) Have you asked questions of your supplier regarding the origin of the product?

(9) Where the importation is accompanied by a visa, permit, or license, has the importer verified with the supplier or manufacturer that the