

proceeding without further litigation is appropriate and in the public interest.

#### IV. Remedies Available to Potential Private Litigants

Section 4 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 15, provides that any person who has been injured as a result of conduct prohibited by the antitrust laws may bring suit in federal court to recover three times the damages the person has suffered, as well as costs and reasonable attorneys fees. Entry of the proposed Final Judgment will neither impair nor assist the bringing of any private antitrust damage action. Under the provisions of Section 5(a) of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(a), the Final Judgment has no *prima facie* effect in any subsequent private lawsuit that may be brought against the defendant.

#### V. Procedures Available for Modification of the Proposed Final Judgment

The United States and the defendant have stipulated that the proposed Final Judgment may be entered by the Court after compliance with the provisions of the APPA, provided that the United States has not withdrawn its consent.

The APPA provides a period of at least 60 days preceding the effective date of the proposed Final Judgment within which any person may submit to the United States written comments regarding the proposed Final Judgment. Any person who wants to comment should do so within 60 days of the date of publication of this Competitive Impact Statement in the Federal Register. The United States will evaluate the comments, determine whether it should withdraw its consent, and respond to the comments. The comments and the response of the United States will be filed with the Court and published in the Federal Register.

Written comments should be submitted to: Mary Jean Moltenbrey, Chief, Civil Task Force II, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 315 7th Street, NW., Room 300, Washington, DC. 20530.

Under Section X of the proposed Final Judgment, the Court will retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enabling either of the parties to apply to the Court for such further orders or directions as may be necessary for the construction, implementation, modification, or enforcement of the Final Judgment, or for the punishment of any violations of the Final Judgment.

#### VI. Alternatives to the Proposed Final Judgment

The only alternative to the proposed Final Judgment considered by the Government was a full trial on the merits and on relief. Such litigation would involve substantial cost to the United States and is not warranted, because the proposed Final Judgment provides appropriate relief against the violations alleged in the Complaint.

#### VII. Determinative Materials and Documents

No particular materials or documents were determinative in formulating the proposed Final Judgment. Consequently, the Government has not attached any such materials or documents to the proposed Final Judgment.

Dated: September 20, 1995.  
Respectfully submitted,

Mary Jean Moltenbrey,  
Chief.

Robert J. Zastrow,  
Assistant Chief.

Minaksi Bhatt,

Susan L. Edelheit,  
D.C. Bar #250720.

Theodore R. Bolema,

Attorneys, Civil Task Force II Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 325 7th Street, NW., Room 300, Washington, DC. 20530.

[FR Doc. 95-24380 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Certification Records for Tests, Inspections, Maintenance Checks and Training

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Labor.

**SUMMARY:** The Director, Office of Information Resources Management Policy, invites comments on the following proposed expedited review information collection request as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, as amended.

**DATES:** This expedited review is being requested in accordance with the Act, since allowing for the normal review period would adversely affect the public interest. Approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has been requested by September 29, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs,

Attention: Dan Chenok, Desk Officer, 725 17th St., NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503. Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Theresa M. O'Malley, Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW Room N-1301, Washington, DC 20210.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Theresa M. O'Malley, (202) 219-5095. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY/TDY) may call (202) 219-4720 between 1:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3517 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 3517) requires that the Director of OMB provide interested persons an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with the agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations.

The Director, Office of Information Resources Management Policy, publishes this notice simultaneously with the submission of this request to OMB. This notice contains the following information:

**Type of Review:** Expedited Review  
**Title:** Certification Records For Tests, Inspections, Maintenance Checks and Training

**Frequency of Response:** Varies

**Affected Public:** Business or other for-profit; Federal Government; State Government

**Number of Respondents:** 6 Million

**Estimated Time per Response:** Five minutes to two hours

**Total Annual Burden Hours:** 8.7 million  
**Respondents Obligation to Reply:**

**Description:** There are 33 provisions in OSHA's safety standards (Parts 1910, 1915, and 1926) that require employers to conduct tests, inspections, maintenance checks or training, and to prepare a certification record which indicates the date of the test, inspection, maintenance check or training was done and what was inspected, etc. The record must be signed and kept on file.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 26th day of September 1995.

Theresa M. O'Malley,

Acting Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-24399 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-M

## Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-29,571; TA-W-29,571A]

### AT&T Microelectronics, Allentown, Pennsylvania and Orlando, Florida; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued an Amended Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 16, 1994, applicable to all workers at AT&T Microelectronics located in Allentown, Pennsylvania.

At the request of an affected worker, the Department reviewed the certification for the subject firm. New findings show that worker separations have occurred at the subject firm's production facility in Orlando, Florida. The workers produce integrated circuits.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of AT&T Microelectronics adversely affected by increased imports. Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to cover the workers of the subject firm in Orlando, Florida.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-29,571 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of AT&T Microelectronics, Allentown, Pennsylvania (TA-W-29,571) and Orlando, Florida (TA-W-29,571A) engaged in employment related to the production of integrated circuits who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 17, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 19th day of September 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

*Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 95-24396 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-29,496]

### Electronix Servicenter, Irving, Texas; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

The Department, on its own motion, has reconsidered its negative determination in *Former Employees of Electronix Service Center v. Robert Reich*, No. 94-06-00356, U.S. Court of International Trade. As a result of this reconsideration, the Department is now certifying the workers of Electronix Servicenter ("Electronix"), in Irving,

Texas, as eligible to apply for trade adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

As stated in the Department's July 13, 1994, denial on reconsideration for the subject firm, Electronix's customers reported no imports of computers like or directly competitive with those produced by Electronix. Administrative Record ("AR") at 43. New investigation findings, however, show that a major customer of Electronix changed its earlier statement to the Department and reported that, during the base period in question, it purchased computers competitive with those produced by Electronix workers, at discount retail stores. When combined with nationwide import figures, this new finding suggests that Electronix customers could be purchasing indirect imports of computers. These import figures show that the value of U.S. imports of data processing equipment and parts increased absolutely and relative to domestic shipments in the time period relevant to the investigation. AR at 13-15.

The Irving facility was closed August 31, 1993 and all workers separated at that time.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, it is concluded that increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with computers produced at Electronix Servicenter, Irving, Texas contributed importantly to the decline in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act of 1974, I make the following revised determination:

"All workers of Electronix Servicenter, Irving, Texas who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 29, 1992 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 20th day of September 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

*Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 95-24394 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-30,880]

### G.E. Power Systems Including Corporate Research and Development and G.E. Computer Services Schenectady, New York; Amend Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on May 18, 1995, applicable to all workers of G.E. Power Systems, Schenectady, New York. The certification was amended June 9, 1995 to include the Corporate Research and Development Division of the subject firm. The amended notice was published in the Federal Register on June 21, 1995 (60 FR 32347).

At the request of the petitioners the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show some workers were transferred from the subject firm to G.E. Capital Computer Services but continued to provide ongoing support services for G.E. Power Systems. Layoffs subsequently occurred at G.E. Capital Computer Services. The Department is amending the certification to include workers of G.E. Capital-Computer Services that provided support services related to the production of steam turbines and generators.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of G.E. Power Systems adversely affected by imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-30,880 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of G.E. Power Systems, including Corporate Research and Development, and workers of G.E. Capital Computer Services providing support services related to the production of steam turbines and generators at G.E. Power Systems, Schenectady, New York who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 19, 1993 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of September 1995.

Victor J. Trunzo,

*Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 95-24393 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M