

Since both the badges and hand geometry would be necessary for access into the protected areas, the proposed system would provide for a positive verification process and the potential loss of a badge by an individual, as a result of taking the badge offsite, would not enable an unauthorized entry into protected areas.

For the foregoing reasons, pursuant to 10 CFR 73.55, the NRC staff has determined that the proposed alternative measures for protection against radiological sabotage meet "the same high assurance objective," and "the general performance requirements" of the regulation and that "the overall level of system performance provides protection against radiological sabotage equivalent" to that which would be provided by the regulation.

IV

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 73.5, this exemption is authorized by law, will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and is otherwise in the public interest. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants Pacific Gas and Electric Company an exemption from those requirements of 10 CFR 73.55(d)(5) relating to the returning of picture badges upon exit from the protected area such that individuals not employed by the licensee, i.e., contractors, who are authorized unescorted access into the protected area, may take their picture badges offsite.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will have no significant impact on the environment (60 FR 49640).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of September 1995.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Jack W. Roe,

*Director, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-24406 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

1995 All Agreement States Meeting

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff plans to convene a public meeting with representatives of the 29 Agreement States to discuss technical and program management issues in the regulation of

Atomic Energy Act radioactive materials. Panel discussions will be held and individual presentations will be made to clarify and enhance a general understanding of regulatory requirements designed to protect the safety of the public and radiation workers. The progress of ongoing revisions to current NRC regulations, as well as, implementation of new regulatory requirements will be discussed. The status of reporting specific radiological incidents and the exchange of radiological safety information will also be discussed.

DATE: The public meeting will be held on Monday, October 30, 1995, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.; Tuesday, October 31, 1995, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; and Wednesday November 1, 1995, from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon.

ADDRESSES: The meeting is to be held at the Ramada Congress Hotel, 520 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, Telephone (312) 427-3800.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lloyd A. Bolling, Office of State Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Telephone (301) 415-2327, FAX (301) 415-3502 and Internet (LAB@NRC.GOV).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following topics will be covered at this meeting:

1. Implementation of the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP).

2. Business Process Reengineering—Materials Licensing.

3. Development of Implementation Procedures for New Agreement State Program Policies.

4. Materials Regulation Issues—NESHAPS, Sealed Source and Device Registry and Regulations Development—10 CFR Part 34 on Industrial Radiography, 10 CFR Part 21 on the Reporting of Defects and Non-Compliance, and Status of Revision of 10 CFR Part 40.

5. Control and Accountability of Generally Licensed Devices, Process for Accepting Abandoned Radioactive Material.

6. Operational Events, Abnormal Occurrence Reporting, Nuclear Materials Events Database, and Allegations Handling.

7. The meeting will be conducted in a manner that will expedite the orderly conduct of business. A transcript of the meeting will be available for inspection, and copying for a fee, at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W. (Lower Level), Washington, D.C. 20555 on or about November 25, 1995.

The following procedures apply to public attendance at the meeting:

1. Questions or statements from attendees other than participants, i.e., participating representatives of each Agreement State and participating NRC staff will be entertained as time permits; and

2. Seating for the public will be on a first-come, first-served basis.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 22nd day of September, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Richard L. Bangart,

Director, Office of State Programs.

[FR Doc. 95-24405 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-336]

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Northeast Nuclear Energy Company (the licensee) to withdraw its December 16, 1994, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-65 for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 2 located in New London County, Connecticut.

The proposed amendment would have revised the Technical Specifications to require the wind direction and wind speed sensors at the 142 foot elevation to identify the data to determine action required to preclude flood damage to the Service Water Pumps. Also the proposed change would have corrected a typographical error in the location of the sensors at the 374 foot elevation.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the Federal Register on February 1, 1995 (60 FR 6303). However, by letter dated September 18, 1995, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 16, 1994, and the licensee's letter dated September 18, 1995, which withdrew the application for license amendment. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Learning Resource Center, Three Rivers Community-Technical College, Thames

Valley Campus, 574 New London Turnpike, Norwich, CT 06360.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of September 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Guy S. Vissing,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate I-3, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-24407 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-443]

North Atlantic Energy Service Company, et al.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-86 issued to North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation (the licensee) for operation of the Seabrook Station, Unit No. 1 located in Rockingham County, New Hampshire.

The proposed amendment would modify the Appendix A Technical Specifications (TSs) for the Turbine Cycle Safety Valves. Specifically, the proposed amendment would change Seabrook Station Appendix A Technical Specification Table 3.7-1 to reduce the maximum allowable Power Range Neutron Flux - High setpoints with inoperable Main Steam Safety Valves (MSSVs) and Table 3.7-2 to reduce the opening setpoints of the MSSVs.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis

against the standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c). The NRC staff's review is presented below.

A. The changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated (10 CFR 50.92(c)(1)) because the proposed setpoint reductions assure that the secondary system pressure will be limited to within 110% (1320 psia) of its design pressure of 1200 psia during the most severe anticipated system operational transient. The most severe anticipated transient remains a turbine trip from 100% rated thermal power coincident with an assumed loss of condenser heat sink. No other changes are made to the design or manner of operation of structures, systems or components.

B. The changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated (10 CFR 50.92(c)(2)) because the changes do not cause the associated structures, systems, or components to be operated outside their original design envelope. No other changes are made to the design or manner of operation of structures, systems, or components, and no new failure mechanisms are introduced.

C. The changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety (10 CFR 50.92(c)(3)) because the changes will assure that the associated structures, systems and components will continue to be operated within their original design envelope, and the proposed changes assure that the acceptance criteria for previously evaluated accidents will continue to be met. The proposed changes assure that the consequences of postulated overpressure events will remain in compliance with the Basis to Technical Specification 3.7.1.1. Specifically, the Secondary System pressure will be limited to within 110% (1320 psia) of its design pressure of 1200 psia during the most severe anticipated system operational transient. The most severe anticipated transient remains a Turbine trip from 100% Rated Thermal Power coincident with an assumed loss of condenser heat sink.

Based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By November 1, 1995, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at Exeter Public Library, Founders Park, Exeter, NH 03833. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an