Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 60, No. 190

Monday, October 2, 1995

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

Forms Clearance Officer, (202) 482–3271, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to Maria Gonzalez, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202 New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: September 22, 1995. Gerald Tache,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.
[FR Doc. 95–24433 Filed 9–29–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–CW–F

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Agency Form Under Review by the Office of Management and Budget

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of the Census. Title: National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) Program Evaluation Survey.

Form Number: None.
Agency Approval Number: None.
Type of Request: New collection.
Burden: 2,800 hours.

Number of Respondents: 8,400.

Avg Hours Per Response: 20 minutes. Needs and Uses: The Census Bureau, acting as an agent for NIST will conduct the NIST/MEP Program Evaluation Survey. MEP centers around the country provide technical and business assistance to small and medium-sized manufacturing establishments. The survey will gather information from recent clients of MEP centers on how they have been helped by the assistance provided by the centers. The NIST will use results of the survey, together with other information, to evaluate program strengths and weaknesses, plan improvements in program effectiveness and efficiency, and initiate a programwide management information and evaluation system. The survey will be

technology.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit.

administered using Computer Assisted

Telephone Interviewing (CATI)

Frequency: One–time. Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. OMB Desk Officer: Maria Gonzalez, (202) 395–7313.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Gerald Tache, DOC

International Trade Administration [A-570-502]

Iron Construction Castings From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On August 2, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on iron construction castings from the People's Republic of China (PRC). This review covers one producer/exporter, the Liaoning Branch of the China National Machinery Import and Export Corporation (MACHIMPEX, Liaoning), and entries of the subject merchandise into the United States during the period May 1, 1993 through April 30, 1994.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We received a case brief from the petitioner, the Municipal Castings Fair Trade Counsel, supporting the preliminary results. We received no other comments. We have not changed the margin from that presented in our preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 2, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor or Maureen Flannery, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 2, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60 FR 39359) the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on iron construction castings from the PRC (51 FR 17222 (May 9, 1986)). The preliminary results indicated the existence of a dumping margin for the respondent, based on the best information available. The petitioner submitted a case brief, arguing that the Department affirm its preliminary results of review. The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Applicable Statutes and Regulations

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the statutes and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

The products covered by this review are iron construction castings, limited to: Manhole covers, rings and frames; catch basin grates and frames; cleanout covers and frames used for drainage or access purposes for public utility, water, and sanitary systems; valve, service, and meter boxes which are placed below ground to encase water, gas, or other valves, or water or gas meters. These articles must be of cast iron, not alloyed, and not malleable. Certain iron construction castings are currently classifiable under numbers 7352.10.00.00 and 7325.10.00.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the order.

This review covers sales of the subject merchandise manufactured by MACHIMPEX, Liaoning and entered into the United States during the period May 1, 1993 through April 30, 1994.

Final Results of Review

We determine that a margin of 92.74 percent exists for MACHIMPEX, Liaoning for the period May 1, 1993 through April 30, 1994.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between United States price and foreign market value may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements shall be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise that are entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company, MACHIMPEX, Liaoning, shall be the above rate; (2) for Minmetals Guangdong, which received a separate rate for the most recent period for which it was reviewed, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be 92.74 percent, the PRC country-wide rate; and (4) for non-PRC exporters of the subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d) or 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and § 353.22 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: September 22, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–24434 Filed 9–29–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-475-031]

Large Power Transformers From Italy; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to requests by the petitioner, ABB Power T&D Co., Inc. (ABB), and by Tamini Costruzioni Elettromeccaniche (Tamini), a manufacturer/exporter of transformers, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping finding on large power transformers from Italy.

The review covers exports of subject merchandise by Tamini to the United States during the period from June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994. As a result of the review, the Department has preliminarily determined that Tamini did not make sales at prices below foreign market value (FMV). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 2, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrea Chu, Kris Campbell, or Michael R. Rill, Office of Antidumping Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 7, 1994, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" (59 FR 29411). ABB and Tamini requested administrative reviews on June 29, 1994, and June 30, 1994, respectively. We initiated the review on July 15, 1994 (59 FR 36160), covering the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994. The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751 of the

Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of large power transformers (LPTs); that is, all types of transformers rated 10,000 kVA (kilovolt-amperes) or above, by whatever name designated, used in the generation, transmission, distribution, and utilization of electric power. The term "transformers" includes, but is not limited to, shunt reactors, autotransformers, rectifier transformers, and power rectifier transformers. Not included are combination units, commonly known as rectiformers, if the entire integrated assembly is imported in the same shipment and entered on the same entry and the assembly has been ordered and invoiced as a unit, without a separate price for the transformer portion of the assembly. This merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 8504.22.00. 8504.23.00. 8504.34.33. 8504.40.00, and 8504.50.00. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains

The review covers shipments of transformers by Tamini during the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Verification

In accordance with section 776 of the Act, we conducted a verification of the information submitted by Tamini to the Department at Tamini's headquarters in Melegnano, Italy, from May 22–26, 1995.

United States Price

In calculating U.S. price (USP), the Department used purchase price as defined in section 772(b) of the Tariff Act. We calculated purchase price based on the packed price to the U.S. customer. We made adjustments to USP for transportation expenses and duty drawback.

Foreign Market Value

For the purposes of the preliminary results, we determined that, due to the highly customized nature of the products under review, the LPTs sold in the United States could not reasonably be compared to any other LPTs sold by