that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–24457 Filed 9–29–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[Docket No. CP85-221-052, et al.]

# Frontier Gas Storage Company et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

September 25, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

# 1. Frontier Gas Storage Company

[Docket No. CP85-221-052]

Take notice that on September 19, 1995, Frontier Gas Storage Company (Frontier), c/o Reid & Priest, Market Square, 701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20004, in compliance with the provisions of the Commission's February 13, 1985, Order in Docket No. CP82-487-000, et al., submitted an executed Service Agreement under Rate Schedule LVS-1 providing for the possible sale of up to a daily quantity of 50,000 MMBtu, not to exceed 5 Bcf for the term of the Agreement, of Frontier's gas storage inventory on an "as metered" basis to Interenergy Resources Corporation.

Under Subpart (b) of Ordering Paragraph (F) of the Commission's February 13, 1985, Order, Frontier is "authorized to commence the sale of its inventory under such an executed service agreement fourteen days after filing the agreement with the Commission, and may continue making such sale unless the Commission issues an order either requiring Frontier to stop selling and setting the matter for hearing or permitting the sale to continue and establishing other procedures for resolving the matter."

Comment date: October 12, 1995, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

# 2. Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP93-100-002]

Take notice that on September 21, 1995, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), 5400 Westheimer Court, P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251–1642, filed an application with the Commission in Docket No. CP93–100–002 pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to amend the Commission's order issued September 22, 1993, in Docket No. CP93–100–000,¹ all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Eastern proposes to defer the currently authorized rebuilding and upgrading of the Westinghouse Electric W-52 regenerative cycle gas turbines at the Grantville and Bechtelsville compressor stations in Pennsylvania. Texas Eastern proposes to defer the authorized 1996 upgrading of two turbines at the Grantville compressor station such that one unit would be upgraded in 1996 and the other unit would be upgraded in 1997. In addition, Texas Eastern proposes to defer the currently authorized 1997 upgrading of two turbines at the Bechtelsville compressor station such that one unit would be upgraded in 1998 and the other unit would be upgraded in 1999. Texas Eastern estimates that it would cost \$16,979,000 to upgrade these four gas turbines on the proposed deferred basis.

Texas Eastern requests to amend the Commission order issued in Docket No. CP93–100–000 to better use and manage its construction resources. Texas Eastern states that the requested deferrals would not prohibit it from meeting system requirements.

Comment date: October 16, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. El Paso Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95–763–000]
Take notice that on September 19,

1995, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El

Paso), a Delaware corporation, whose mailing address is Post Office Box 1492, El Paso. Texas 79978, filed a request for authorization in Docket No. CP95-763-000, pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) to construct and operate a tie-in facility in Luna County, New Mexico. El Paso states that the tie-in facility will be installed between El Paso's existing Truth or Consequences (T-or-C) Delivery Point and El Paso's 30" O.D. Line No. 1600 (Waha-Ehrenberg Line) in Luna County, New Mexico, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso states that such connection will permit higher delivery pressures to PNM Gas Services, a division of Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM Gas Services) (formerly Gas Company of New Mexico, a division of Public Service Company of New Mexico. The request states that El Paso provides firm transportation service to PNM Gas Services pursuant to the terms and conditions of a Transportation Service Agreement (TSA) dated November 12, 1990, as amended, between the parties. This TSA provides for the firm transportation of PNM Gas Services' full requirements of natural gas (except for those delivery points which have a specific maximum daily quantity) to consumers situated within the State of New Mexico.

The request further states that PNM Gas Services has indicated to El Paso that during the periods of peak demand on El Paso's Southern System, PNM Gas Services has experienced pressure problems on its distribution system serving the community of Truth or Consequences, New Mexico. In this regard, PNM Gas Services has requested that El Paso increase the existing delivery pressure at the T-or-C Delivery Point. El Paso is also aware of new and projected load growth along the T-or-C Line and in and round the community of Truth or Consequences, New Mexico.

El Paso states that consequently, it has agreed to connect El Paso's existing T-or-C Delivery Point and El Paso's 30" O.D. Waha-Ehrenberg Line. The tie-in would be accomplished by connecting approximately 160 feet of new 4½" O.D. pipeline to an existing 4½" O.D. blow off valve assembly (physically located at El Paso's Florida Compressor Station). The tie-in facility would extend from

<sup>164</sup> FERC ¶ 61,330 (1993).

the existing blow off valve on the Waha-Ehrenberg Line at the Florida Compression Station to the 4½" O.D. Tor-C Line upstream of the existing 4½" O.D. meter facility comprising the T-or-C Delivery Point. The tie-in permits El Paso to obtain the higher pressures available from the Waha-Ehrenberg Line and thereby deliver gas to PNM Gas Services at higher pressures. Increased delivery pressures will make it possible for PNM Gas Services to serve the full requirements of the community of Truth or Consequences and its environs through its distribution system.

El Paso states that the construction of the proposed tie-in facility is not prohibited by El Paso's existing Volume No. 1–A Tariff and the volumes to be delivered through the proposed facilities and the existing meter station are within PNM Gas Services' certificated entitlements. El Paso further states that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries or receipts specified under the TSA without detriment or disadvantage to El Paso's other customers.

The request further states that El Paso's environmental analysis supports the conclusion that the construction and operation of the proposed tie-in facility will not be a major Federal action significantly affecting the human environment.

Comment date: November 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

# 4. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-770-000]

Take notice that on September 20, 1995, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E., Charleston, West Virginia 25314-1599, filed in Docket No. CP95-770-000, a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.211) for authorization to construct and operate a new point of delivery to Hope Gas, Inc. (Hope) in Braxton County, West Virginia, under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-76-000, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia states Hope has requested this new delivery point to serve its residential and industrial customers. Columbia and Hope relate that they will execute two new FTS Service Agreements to provide up to 900 Dth per day (229,950 Dth annually) of firm

transportation service. Columbia relates it will provide the firm transportation service for Hope pursuant to its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP86-240-000, under existing authorized rate schedules, and within certificated entitlements. Columbia estimates the total cost of the installation at \$125,000. Columbia says it will contribute up to approximately \$120,000 for the construction of the new point of delivery and up to \$5,000 toward the purchase of the land, with Hope responsible for any actual cost above these amounts. Columbia states it will comply with all of the environmental requirements of Section 157.206(d) of the Commission's Regulations prior to the construction of any facilities.

Comment date: November 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

5. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation [Docket No. CP95–771–000]

Take notice that on September 20, 1995, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP95-771-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to add an interconnect with Equitable Storage Company (Equitable), in Iberia Parish, Louisiana, under Texas Gas's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-407-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Gas proposes to install, own and operate an interconnect consisting of side valve, dual 10-inch bi-directional orifice meter station, electronic flow measurement, flow control and related facilities on a site it would acquire. This interconnect would be located on Texas Gas's Eunice-Thibodaux 20-inch and 26inch pipelines in Iberia Parish, Louisiana, and would be used as both a receipt and delivery point interconnecting the facilities of Texas Gas and an underground salt cavern gas storage facility, located near Lake Peignour, Louisiana, owned and operated by Equitable. Texas Gas states that Equitable would reimburse Texas Gas in full for the cost of the proposed facilities

Texas Gas states that the maximum quantity of gas that would be delivered and/or received through the proposed interconnect would be 200,000 MMBtu per day. Texas Gas also states the proposed receipt/delivery point would

be available to all existing and potential shippers receiving service under its transportation rate schedules as contained in Texas Gas's FERC Gas Tariff.

Comment date: November 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

6. Williams Natural Gas Company [Docket No. CP95–772–000]

Take notice that on September 20, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed in Docket No. CP95-772-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.208, 157.212 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216) for authorization to abandon and replace certain facilities located in Allen County, Kansas, and to convert 4 domestic customers to propane service, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

WNG proposes to abandon approximately 2.3 miles of the 8-inch North Iola lateral line by reclaim and the remaining 0.8 mile in place. WNG proposes to replace 0.85 mile of 8-inch line with 6-inch line as an extension of the South Iola line authorized in Docket No. CP95-525-000. It is stated that these abandonments would have no impact on the volumes of gas delivered, as the gas presently flowing on the North Iola pipeline would be transferred to the South Iola 6-inch line. It is stated that the smaller line operates at a higher pressure and can accommodate the same volumes.

In addition, WNG proposes to abandon a regulator setting, the South Iola town border meter setting and the Gates Rubber meter setting and replace the 2 meter settings with a single setting at the site of the South Iola town border. It is asserted that the City of Iola has agreed to the modifications proposed by WNG. It is further asserted that the proposed abandonment will have no negative impact on WNG's system operation and that no customers will lose service as a result of the abandonment.

The cost to replace the 8-inch line with 6-inch line and to install the new meter setting is estimated at \$198,360. The total reclaim cost of 8-inch line and the meter and regulator settings is estimated at \$18,500.

Comment date: November 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraphs

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for

authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–24456 Filed 9–29–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### [Docket No. TM96-1-84-000]

#### Caprock Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 26, 1995.

Take notice that on September 21, 1995, Caprock Pipeline Company (Caprock) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets, to become effective October 1, 1995:

Third Revised Sheet No. 4 Third Revised Sheet No. 5

Caprock states that the tariff sheets reflects the Commission's revised Annual Charge Adjustment unit charge.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal **Energy Regulatory Commission, 825** North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with Sections 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before October 3, 1994. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-24371 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### [Docket No. CP95-765-000]

### Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

September 26, 1995.

Take notice that on September 19, 1995, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, P.O. Box 1188, Houston, Texas, 77251–1188, filed in Docket No. CP95–765–000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216) for authorization to abandon and sell a

minor pipeline lateral located in Indian River County, Florida, under FGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–553–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

FGT proposes to abandon and sell to South Florida Natural Gas Company (South Florida) the old Vero Beach 4-inch line which is approximately 9.7 miles in length and extends from FGT's 20-inch and 26-inch mainlines to mile post 9.7 where it connects to an existing 8-inch line, approximately .2 miles upstream from the Vero Beach Generating delivery meter station. FGT states that South Florida will use the subject line as part of its distribution system.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–24372 Filed 9–29–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

## [Docket No. TM96-1-117-000]

### K N Wattenberg Transmission Limited Liability Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 26, 1995.

Take notice that on September 21, 1995, K N Wattenberg Transmission Limited Liability Company (K N Wattenberg) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets, to become effective October 1, 1995:

Third Revised Sheet No. 6 Second Revised Sheet No. 66