

on the above projects. Instead, it is anticipated that the main focus of the discussions will be overview and planning for managing the workload and focus of the RAC on the likely charges to be addressed among these topics in the course of the coming fiscal year. It is anticipated that the RAC will have a dialogue with the Agency staff on each of these topics, and if time permits, other possible review topics may be discussed.

To Obtain More Information on or Participate in These SAB Teleconference Meetings

These teleconference meetings are open to the public, telephone lines are limited and available on a first come basis. Any member of the public desiring to participate in the teleconferences, desiring additional information about the meetings, or desiring to obtain copies of the agendas and other information about the conduct of the meetings, or to request time on the agenda for public comments, please contact Ms. Diana Pozun, Staff Secretary, Science Advisory Board (1400F), US EPA, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington D.C. 20460, by telephone at (202) 260-6552 or FAX at (202) 260-7118, or via the INTERNET at: Pozun.Diana@EPAMAIL.EPA.GOV. For questions regarding technical issues to be discussed, please contact Dr. K. Jack Kooyoomjian, Designated Federal Official, Science Advisory Board (1400F), USEPA, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, tel. (202) 260-2560, FAX (202) 260-7118, or via the INTERNET: Kooyoomjian.Jack@EPAMAIL.EPA.GOV.

Providing Oral or Written Comments at SAB Meetings

The Science Advisory Board expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements. In general, for teleconference meetings, opportunities for oral comment will be limited to no more than three minutes per speaker and no more than fifteen minutes total. Written comments (at least 35 copies) received in the SAB Staff Office sufficiently prior to a meeting date (usually one week prior to a meeting or teleconference), may be mailed to the relevant SAB committee or subcommittee prior to its meeting; comments received too close to the meeting date will normally be provided to the committee at its meeting, or as soon as possible following a teleconference. Written comments may be provided to the relevant committee

or subcommittee up until the time of the meeting.

Dated: September 26, 1995.

A. Robert Flaak,

Acting Staff Director, Science Advisory Board.

[FR Doc. 95-24788 Filed 10-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

[OPP-50812; FRL-4978-4]

Issuance of Experimental Use Permits

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has granted experimental use permits to the following applicants. These permits are in accordance with, and subject to, the provisions of 40 CFR part 172, which defines EPA procedures with respect to the use of pesticides for experimental use purposes.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

In person or by telephone: Contact the product manager at the following address at the office location, telephone number, or e-mail address cited in each experimental use permit: 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA has issued the following experimental use permits:

33688-EUP-2. Issuance. CFPI, c/o Registration and Regulatory Services, 5116 Wood Valley Drive, Raleigh, NC 27613. This experimental use permit allows the use of 4,510 pounds (2,255 pounds each year) of the herbicide 4-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-N-(1-methylpropyl)-2,6-dinitrobenzeneamine on 1,000 acres of tobacco (flue-cured) to evaluate the control of tobacco sucker. The program is authorized only in the States of Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Virginia. The experimental use permit is effective from August 3, 1995 to August 3, 1997. (Joanne Miller, PM 23, Rm. 237, CM #2, 703-305-7830, e-mail: miller.joanne@epamail.epa.gov)

11312-EUP-100. Issuance. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Rm. 358, Washington, DC 20250-0108. This experimental use permit allows the use of 192 pounds of the insecticides phloxine B and uranine on 1,150 acres of coffee, oranges, and grapefruit to evaluate the control of Mediterranean, Oriental, and Mexican fruit flies. The program is authorized only in the States of California, Hawaii, and Texas. The experimental use permit

is effective from August 10, 1995 to August 10, 1997. A temporary exemption from the requirement of a tolerance has been established. (Robert Forrest, PM 14, Rm. 218, CM #2, 703-305-6600, e-mail: forrest.robert@epamail.epa.gov)

279-EUP-131. Extension. FMC Corporation, Agricultural Chemical Group, 1735 Market St., Philadelphia, PA 19103. This experimental use permit allows the use of 1,000 pounds of the herbicide N-[2,4-dichloro-5-[4-(difluoromethyl)-4,5-dihydro-3-methyl-5-oxo-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl]phenyl]methanesulfonamide on 2,000 acres of corn, sorghum, soybeans, and wheat to evaluate the control of broadleaf weeds, grasses, and sedges. The program is authorized only in the States of Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin. The experimental use permit is effective from January 1, 1996 to January 1, 1997. Temporary tolerances for residues of the active ingredient in or on corn, sorghum, soybeans, and wheat have been established. (Joanne Miller, PM 23, Rm. 237, CM #2, 703-305-7830)

279-EUP-134. Extension. FMC Corporation, Agricultural Chemical Group, 1735 Market St., Philadelphia, PA 19103. This experimental use permit allows the use of 1,000 pounds of the herbicide N-[2,4-dichloro-5-[4-(difluoromethyl)-4,5-dihydro-3-hydroxymethyl-5-oxo-1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl]phenyl]methanesulfonamide on 2,000 acres of corn, sorghum, soybeans, and wheat to evaluate the control of broadleaf weeds, grasses, and sedges. The program is authorized only in the States of Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin. The experimental use permit is effective from January 1, 1996 to January 1, 1997. Temporary tolerances for residues of the active ingredient in or on corn, sorghum, soybeans, and wheat have been established. This permit and the one above will use the same active ingredient but different formulations. (Joanne Miller, PM 23, Rm. 237, CM #2, 703-305-7830, e-mail: miller.joanne@epamail.epa.gov)

264-EUP-97. Issuance. Rhone-Poulenc Ag Company, P.O. Box 12014, 2 T.W. Alexander Dr., Research Triangle Park, NC 27709. This experimental use permit allows the use of 3,200 pounds of the harvest aid ethephon and 400 pounds of cyclanilide on 3,000 acres of cotton to evaluate its effectiveness as a boll opening and defoliation treatment. The program is authorized only in the States of Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia. The experimental use permit is effective from August 11, 1995 to August 14, 1996. A temporary tolerance for residues of the active ingredient in or on cotton has been established. (Terri Stowe, PM 22, Rm. 261, CM #2, 703-305-6117, e-mail: stowe.terri@epamail.epa.gov)

Persons wishing to review these experimental use permits are referred to the designated product managers. Inquires concerning these permits should be directed to the person cited above. It is suggested that interested persons call before visiting the EPA office, so that the appropriate file may be made available for inspection purposes from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection,
Experimental use permits.

Dated: September 25, 1995.

Stephen L. Johnson,
*Director, Registration Division, Office of
Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 95-24587 Filed 10-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[FRL 5312-3]

Proposed Administrative Settlement Under 122(h)(1) of CERCLA, Layton Salvage Yard Site, Layton, Davis County, UT

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement Request for Public Comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (CERCLA), notice is

hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement concerning Layton Salvage Yard Site in Layton, Davis County, Utah. The proposed administrative settlement resolves an EPA claim under section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607, against Marvin L. Allgood, the U.S. Air Force, and the U.S. Defense Logistics Agency. The settlement requires the settling parties to pay \$450,936.28 to the Hazardous Substances Superfund

For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at EPA Regional VIII's Superfund Records Center, located on the 8th floor of the North Tower at 999 18th Street, Denver, Colorado.

DATE: Comments must be submitted on or before November 6, 1995.

ADDRESS: An original and two copies of comments must be sent to Robin E. Shearer, Enforcement Specialist, Layton Salvage Yard Site, EPA Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado, 80202-2466.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Suzanne Bohan, Office of Regional Counsel (303) 294-7568

EPA alleges that Marvin L. Allgood, the U.S. Air Force, and the U.S. Defense Logistics Agency are responsible parties pursuant to section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), and is jointly and severally liable for response costs incurred at or in connection with the Layton Salvage Yard Site. By the terms of the proposed settlement, Marvin L. Allgood will pay \$5,000.00 and the U.S. Air Force, and the U.S. Defense Logistics Agency will pay \$445,936.28, for a total of \$450,936.28 to the Hazardous Substances Superfund. In return, EPA agrees that these responsible parties shall have resolved any and all civil liability to EPA under section 107(a) of CERCLA, for reimbursement of response costs incurred at or in connection with the Site up through the date upon which EPA signs this Administrative Settlement Agreement.

John R. Giedt,

Chief, Emergency Response Branch.

[FR Doc. 95-24790 Filed 10-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Banc One Corporation, et al.; Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies; and Acquisitions of Nonbanking Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied under § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.14) for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) to become a bank holding company or to acquire voting securities of a bank or bank holding company. The listed companies have also applied under § 225.23(a)(2) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies, or to engage in such an activity. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The applications are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than October 30, 1995.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland (John J. Wixted, Jr., Vice President) 1455