FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John K. Rabiej, Chief, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC, telephone (202) 273–1820.

Dated: September 29, 1995.
John K. Rabiej,
Chief, Rules Committee Support Office.
[FR Doc. 95–24715 Filed 10–4–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 2210–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging a Final Judgment by Consent Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)

Notice is hereby given that on September 25, 1995, a proposed consent decree in United States v. Edward Azrael, et al., Civ. A. No. WN-89-2898, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. The complaint in this action seeks recovery of costs and injunctive relief under Sections 106 and 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Public Law 99-499, 42 U.S.C. 9606, 9607(a). This action involves the Kane & Lombard Superfund Site located in Baltimore, Maryland.

Under the proposed Consent Decree, AT&T Technologies, Inc.; Anchor Post, Inc.; Armco, Inc.; Baltimore Gas and Electric Company; Beatrice Companies, Inc.; Browning Ferris, Inc.; Canton Company; Canton Railroad Company; Container Corporation of America; General Motors Corporation; Crown Cork and Seal, Inc.; Exxon Corp.; H.M. Holdings, Inc.; International Paper Co.; O'Brien Corporation; the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore; Pori International; Roadway Express Co.; Sweetheart Cup Co.; and Allied Signal have agreed to pay to the United States \$5,927,038.90 for reimbursement of past response costs. A group of Defendants has also agreed to undertake the operation and maintenance of the containment/pump & treat system installed at the Site. In return the above listed parties will receive a covenant not to sue and contribution protection for the matters addressed in the Consent Decree. The Decree reserves the right of the United States to recover future response costs and seek further injunctive relief against the settling parties for conditions at the Site that are not known by the United States at the time of entry of this decree.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed consent decree for a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044, and should refer to *United States* v. *Edward Azrael, et al.*, DOJ Reference No. 90–11–2–229.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney for the District of Maryland, 101 W. Lombard Street, Eighth Floor, Baltimore, Md. 21201; Region III Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 841 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa.; and at the consent Decree Library, 1120 "G" Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624–0892. A copy of the proposed decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library at the address listed above. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and number, and enclose a check in the amount of \$140.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs including appendices), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Acting Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 95-24752 Filed 10-4-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 C.F.R. 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in United States v. Neville Chemical Company, Civil Action No. 94–288, was lodged on September 19, 1995, with the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. The proposed consent decree would settle an action brought under Section 3008(a) and (g) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, as amended ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. 6928(a) and (g), against the defendant, Neville Chemical Company ("Neville"), for alleged violations of RCRA regulations at Neville's resin and fuel oil distillate manufacturing facility located on Neville Island in the Ohio River, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. The claims that would be resolved under the proposed consent decree allege Neville's violations of certain waste management, paperwork and filing requirements for generators of hazardous waste and/or

hazardous waste treatment, storage or disposal (TSD) facilities.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Neville Chemical Company*, DOJ Ref. #90–7–1–689.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 14th Floor, Gulf Tower, 7th Avenue and Grant Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219; the Region III Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 841 Chestnut Building Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Bruce S. Gelber,

Acting Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 95–24753 Filed 10–4–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Antitrust Division

United States v. Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States* v. *Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc.,* Civil No. 95–CV01839 as to Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc.

The Complaint alleges that the defendant and Universal Shippers Association entered into a contract containing an automatic rate differential clause, which required defendant to charge competing shippers of wine and spirits from Europe to the United States rates for ocean transportation services that were at least 5% higher than