

concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

The final EIS is expected to be available by early May, 1996. The responsible official, who is the District Ranger for the Downieville Ranger District, will document the decision and reasons for the decision in the Record of Decision.

Dated: September 19, 1995.

Jean M. Masquelier,

District Ranger.

[FR Doc. 95-24751 Filed 10-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Conservation and Environmental Programs; Forestry Incentives Program; Implementation

AGENCY: Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The NRCS is announcing its intention to adopt the existing policies contained in (7 CFR Part 701); for implementation of the Forestry Incentives Program (FIP), P.L. 95-313, 92 Stat. 365 as amended, and the Cooperative Forestry Assistance Act of 1978; until further notice.

DATES: Effective date: October 5, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to the Conservation and Ecosystem Assistance Division (CEAD), Natural Resources Conservation Service, South Building, Post Office Box 2890, Washington, D.C. 20013, (202) 720-1845.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lloyd E. Wright, Director, CEAD (202) 720-1845.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Agriculture Reorganization Act of 1994 (the Act), Pub. L. 103-354, 108 Stat 3178, authorized the establishment of NRCS and transferred responsibility for the FIP from the Consolidated Farm Service Agency to the NRCS, formerly the Soil Conservation Service (SCS). The NRCS has decided to adopt the policies stated in the current FIP regulations, (7 CFR

Part 701). Consistent with the Act, however, all administrative, enforcement, monitoring, and management of the FIP shall be under the jurisdiction of the Chief, NRCS, or his designee. This notice does not relieve any person of any obligation or liability incurred under (7 CFR Part 701), nor otherwise deprive any person of any rights received or accrued under the provisions of (7 CFR Part 701).

Dated: September 28, 1995.

Paul W. Johnson,

Chief, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 95-24821 Filed 10-4-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Export Administration

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Jan C. Koster, Doing Business as Advanced Computing Management, Also Known as Aqua City Mij

Relating Person Order

In the Matter of: Jan C. Koster, d.b.a. Advanced Computing Management a.k.a. Aqua City Mij; World Trade Center, Strawinskylaan 59, Amsterdam Postbus 72311, 1007 VA Amsterdam, The Netherlands, Respondents.

Whereas, on August 24, 1990, the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement, Quincy M. Krosby, entered an order approving a Consent Agreement and issuing, in pertinent part, the following order:

First, that a civil penalty in the amount of \$50,000 is assessed against [Jan C.] Koster, which shall be paid to the Department as follows: \$25,000 shall be paid on or before December 31, 1990 and \$25,000 shall be paid within one year of the entry of this Order. Payment shall be made in the manner specified in the attached instructions.

Second, that Jan C. Koster, individually and doing business as Advanced Computing Management and Aqua City Mij (hereinafter collectively referred to as Koster), World Trade Center, Strawinskylaan 59, 1077 XW Amsterdam Postbus 72311, 1007 VA, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, and all his successors, assignees, officers, partners, representatives, agents and employees, shall be denied, for a period of five years from the date of this Order, all privileges of participating, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, in any transaction involving the export of U.S.-origin commodities or technical data from the United States or abroad.

* * * * *

B. After notice and opportunity for comment, such denial may be made applicable to any person, firm, corporation, or business organization with which Koster is now or hereafter may be related by affiliation, ownership, control, position of responsibility, or other connection in the conduct of trade or related services.

* * * * *

D. As authorized by Section 788.17(b) of the Regulations, the denial period herein provided against Koster shall be suspended for a period of five years beginning from the date of entry of this Order and shall thereafter be waived, provided that, during the period of suspension, Koster has not committed any violation of the [Export Administration] Act or any regulation, order under the Act.¹

Whereas, on March 5, 1991, when Koster failed to pay the civil penalty as required by the Order, the Office of Export Enforcement, Bureau of Export Administration, United States Department of Commerce (Department), revoked the five-year period of suspension as provided by the August 24, 1990 Order, and implemented the five-year denial period against Koster, all of Koster's export privileges are denied until March 5, 1996, and the denial order extended to Koster's company, Advanced Computing Management, also known as Aqua City Mij;

Whereas, a June 30, 1995 Order to Multiline Computing Amsterdam and Blue Circle B.V. directing them to show cause why the sanctions of the March 5, 1991 Order entered against Koster should not be made applicable to them because of their relationship to Koster in the conduct of export trade or related services;

Whereas, no response was made to the Order To Show Cause which was served on the respondents and the related parties on July 11, 1995;

Whereas, the Administrative Law Judge has recommended, based on the evidence of record, that I enter an Order finding that the above persons are related to Koster by affiliation, ownership, control, positions of responsibility, or other connection in the conduct of export trade or related services;

Whereas, I find, based on the evidence of record, that each of the

¹The Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (currently codified at U.S.C.A. app. §§ 2401-2420 (1991, Supp. 1993, and Pub. L. No. 103-277, July 5, 1994) (the Act), expired on August 20, 1994. Executive Order No. 12924 (59 FR 43437, August 23, 1994) continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C.A. §§ 1701-1706 (1991)).