

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 60, No. 194

Friday, October 6, 1995

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration

Opportunity to Comment on the Applicant for the Grand Forks (ND) Area

AGENCY: Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: GIPSA request comments on the applicant for designation to provide official services in the geographic area currently assigned to Grand Forks Grain Inspection, Inc. (Grand Forks).

DATES: Comments must be postmarked, or sent by telecopier (FAX) or electronic mail by November 2, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments must be submitted in writing to Janet M. Hart, Chief, Review Branch, Compliance Division, GIPSA, USDA, Room 1647 South Building, P.O. Box 96454, Washington, DC 20090-6454. SprintMail users may respond to [A:ATTMAIL,O:USDA,ID:A36JHART]. ATTMAIL and FTS2000MAIL users may respond to !A36JHART. Telecopier (FAX) users may send comments to the automatic telecopier machine at 202-690-2755, attention: Janet M. Hart. All comments received will be made available for public inspection at the above address located at 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., during regular business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet M. Hart, telephone 202-720-8525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

This action has been reviewed and determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12866 and Departmental Regulation 1512-1; therefore, the Executive Order and Departmental Regulation do not apply to this action.

In the September 5, 1995, Federal Register (60 FR 46108), GIPSA asked persons interested in providing official

services in the geographic area assigned to Grand Forks to submit an application for designation. Grand Forks Grain Inspection Department, Inc., the only applicant, applied for designation to provide official services in the entire available area.

GIPSA is publishing this notice to provide interested persons the opportunity to present comments concerning the applicant. Commenters are encouraged to submit reasons and pertinent data for support or objection to the designation of this applicant. All comments must be submitted to the Compliance Division at the above address. Comments and other available information will be considered in making a final decision. GIPSA will publish notice of the final decision in the Federal Register, and GIPSA will send the applicants written notification of the decision.

Authority: Pub. L. 94-582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*)

Dated: September 29, 1995

Neil E. Porter

Director, Compliance Division

[FR Doc. 95-24862 Filed 10-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-F

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-820]

Ferrosilicon From Brazil; Termination In-Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of termination in-part of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On April 14, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ferrosilicon from Brazil. The Department is now terminating this review in-part with respect to Companhia Ferroligas Minas Gerais-Minasligas (Minasligas) and Companhia Brasileira Carbureto de Calcio (CBCC).

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 6, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Arthur N. DuBois or Thomas Futtner,

Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482-6312/3814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19017), and on May 15, 1995 (60 FR 25886), the Department published in the Federal Register notices of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ferrosilicon from Brazil. These notices stated that the Department would review merchandise sold in the United States by Minasligas, CBCC, and Companhia de Ferroligas da Bahia (Ferbasa) during the period March 1, 1994 through February 28, 1995. The correct period is August 16, 1993 through February 28, 1995.

The petitioners in this case withdrew their request for review of Minasligas and CBCC on July 13, 1995. Under CFR 353.22(a)(5) (1994), a party requesting a review may withdraw that request no later than 90 days after the date of publication on the notice of initiation. Because the withdrawal occurred within the time frame specified in 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5), and no other interested party has requested an administrative review for Minasligas or for CBCC for this period, the Department is now terminating this review in-part for Minasligas and CBCC. The review of Ferbasa will continue.

This notice is published pursuant to 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5).

Dated: September 28, 1995.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 95-24924 Filed 10-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-588-814]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From Japan; Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke Order

September 29, 1995.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke Order.

SUMMARY: On June 5, 1991, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published an antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from Japan. On July 7, 1995, E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Company, Hoechst Celanese Corporation and ICI American Inc. (together, the petitioners) in this proceeding, submitted a request for a changed circumstances administrative review and revocation of the order on the basis that the order no longer is of interest to the petitioners. On July 21, 1993; July 15, 1994; and July 14, 1995, the Department initiated administrative reviews of the antidumping order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from Japan, covering the periods: June 1, 1992 through May 31, 1993; June 1, 1993 through May 31, 1994; and June 1, 1994 through May 31, 1995, respectively. We are now revoking the order, based on the fact that this order is no longer of interest to domestic parties; accordingly we are also terminating the above-mentioned administrative reviews.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 6, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Arthur N. DuBois or Thomas Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6312/3814.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 5, 1991, the Department published in the Federal Register an antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from Japan. (*Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Japan*, 56 FR 25669, June 5, 1991).

On June 30, 1993, one respondent, Toray, requested an administrative review and one U.S. producer, Toray Plastics America (TPA), requested an administrative review for two other Japanese manufacturers/exporters of PET film, Teijin and Diafoil. We initiated the review, covering entries from June 1, 1992 through May 31, 1993, on July 21, 1993 (*Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 58 FR 39007, July 21, 1993).

On June 30, 1994, the petitioners, one respondent, and one U.S. producer, TPA, requested an administrative review of three Japanese manufacturers/exporters of PET film, Toray, Teijin, and Magphane. We initiated a review of these three firms covering entries in the period June 1, 1993 through May 31, 1994, on July 15, 1994 (*Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 59 FR 36160, July 15, 1994).

On June 30, 1995, one respondent, Toray, requested an administrative review. We initiated a review of Toray covering entries in the period June 1, 1994 through May 31, 1995 on July 14, 1995. (*Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 60 FR 36260, July 14, 1995).

On July 7, 1995, E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Company, Hoechst Celanese Corporation and ICI American Inc. (together, the petitioners) in this proceeding, submitted a request for a changed circumstances administrative review and revocation of the order on the basis that the order no longer is of interest to the petitioners.

In accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(d)(2) the Department found that petitioners affirmative statement of no interest was a reasonable basis to believe that the requirements for revocation based on changed circumstances were met. Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 353.25(d)(2) and 353.22(f)(1), on August 18, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances to determine whether to revoke the order with an effective date of June 1, 1992. (*Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Japan; Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent to Revoke Order*, 60 FR 43119, August 18, 1995). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of this changed circumstances review. We received no comments.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed PET film, sheet, and strip, whether extruded or coextruded. The films excluded from the scope of this order are metallized films and other finished films that have had a least one of their surfaces modified by the application of performance-enhancing resin or inorganic layer more than 0.00001 inches (0.254 micrometers) thick. Roller

transport cleaning film which has at least one of its surfaces modified by the application of 0.5 micrometers of SBR latex has also been ruled as not within the scope of the order.

PET film from Japan is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item number 3920.62.0000. The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for Customs purposes only. The written descriptions remain dispositive.

This changed circumstance administrative review covers all manufacturers/exporters of pet film from Japan.

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review; Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order; Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

Since the antidumping order on PET film is no longer of interest to the interested parties, as defined in paragraphs (k)(3), (k)(4), (k)(5), and (k)(6) of section 353.2, the Department concludes that the requirements for revocation based on changed circumstances are met.

Therefore, the Department is revoking the order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip from Japan in accordance with sections 751 (b) and (c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), and 19 CFR 353.25(d)(1). This revocation applies to all entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after June 1, 1992. Accordingly, the Departments is also terminating the reviews covering entries made in the following periods: June 1, 1992 through May 31, 1993; June 1, 1993 through May 31, 1994; and June 1, 1994 through May 31, 1995.

The Department will instruct the Customs Service to proceed with liquidation, without regard to antidumping duties, of all unliquidated entries of subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after June 1, 1992. The Department will further instruct the Customs Service to refund with interest any estimated duties collected with respect to unliquidated entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after June 1, 1992, in accordance with section 778 of the Act.

This changed circumstances review, revocation of the antidumping duty order, termination of second, third and fourth reviews, and notice are in accordance with sections 751 (b) and (c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675 (b) and (c)) and sections 353.22(f) and 353.25(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: September 29, 1995.
 Paul L. Joffe,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
 [FR Doc. 95-24923 Filed 10-5-95; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 3510-25-P

[A-834-802, A-835-802, A-844-802]

Notice of Price Determination; Uranium From Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Uzbekistan

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Price Dermination; Uranium from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Uzbekistan.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section IV.C.1. of the antidumping suspension agreements on uranium from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Uzbekistan, the Department calculated a price for uranium of \$12.25/lb. On the basis of this price, the export quota for uranium pursuant to Section IV.A. of the Uzbek and Kyrgyz agreements is zero. The export quota for uranium pursuant to Section IV.A. of the Kazakhstani agreement, as amended on March 27, 1995, is 500,000 lbs. for the period October 1, 1995, through March 31, 1996. Exports pursuant to other provisions of the agreements are not affected by this price.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 2, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Doyle or Daniel Miller, Office of Agreements Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0172 or (202) 482-1102, respectively.

Price Calculation

Background

Section IV.C.1. of each agreement specifies that the Department of Commerce (DOC) will issue its observed market price on October 1, 1995, and use it to determine the quota applicable to exports from the various republics during the period October 1, 1995 to March 31, 1996. Consistent with the Department's letters of interpretation dated February 22, 1993, we provided interested parties our preliminary price determination on September 15, 1995.

Calculation Summary

Section IV.C.1. of each agreement specifies how the components of the market price are reached. In order to determine the spot market price, the

Department utilized the monthly average of the Uranium Price Information System Spot Price Indicator (UPIS SPI) and the weekly average of the Uranium Exchange Spot Price (Ux Spot). In order to determine the long-term market price, the Department utilized the weighted average long-term price as determined by the Department on the basis of information provided by market participants and a simple average of the UPIS Base Price for the months in which there were new contracts reported.

Our letters to market participants provided a contract summary sheet and directions requesting the submitter to report his/her best estimate of the future price of merchandise to be delivered in accordance with the contract delivery schedules (in U.S. dollars per pound U₃O₈ equivalent). Using the information reported in the proprietary summary sheets, the Department calculated the present value of the prices reported for any future deliveries assuming an annual inflation rate of 2.65 percent, which was derived from a rolling average of the annual GNP Implicit Price Deflator index from the past four years. The Department used the base quantities reported on the summary sheet for the purpose of weight-averaging the prices of the long-term contracts submitted by market participants. We then calculated a simple average of the UPIS Base Price and the long-term price determined by the Department.

Weighting

The Department used the average spot and long-term volumes of U.S. utility and domestic supplier purchases, as reported by the Energy Information Administration (EIA), to weight the spot and long-term components of the observed price. In this instance, we have used purchase data from the period 1989-1992, as in the previous determination. During this period, the spot market accounted for 31.39 percent of total purchases, and the long-term market for 68.61 percent. We were not able to include data from the 1993 and 1994 EIA Uranium Industry Annuals because it has been withheld due to its proprietary nature.

Calculation Announcement

The Department determined, using the methodology and information described above, that the observed market price is \$12.25. This reflects an average spot market price of \$11.60, weighted at 31.39 percent, and an average long-term contract price of \$12.54, weighted at 68.61 percent. Since this price is below the \$13.00/lb.

minimum expressed in Appendix A of the Uzbek and Kyrgyz agreements, there will be no quota under Section IV.A. of the agreements available to these republics for the period October 1, 1995 to March 31, 1996. However, since this price is above the \$12.00/lb. minimum expressed in Appendix A of the amended Kazakhstani agreement, Kazakhstan receives a quota of 500,000 lbs. for the period October 1, 1995 to March 31, 1996. We have determined that the observed market price for uranium is \$12.25/lb. The Department invites parties to provide pricing information for use in the next price determination. Any such information should be provided for the record and should be submitted to the Department by March 5, 1996.

Dated: October 2, 1995.
 Joseph A. Spetrini,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.
 [FR Doc. 95-24925 Filed 10-5-95; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-588-815]

Gray Portland Cement and Clinker From Japan; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from the Ad Hoc Committee of Southern California Producers of Gray Portland Cement (the petitioner) and Onoda Cement Company, Ltd. (the respondent), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Japan. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Onoda Cement Co., Ltd. (Onoda), and the period May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994 (POR). The review indicates the existence of dumping margins during this period.

We have preliminarily determined that sales have been made below the foreign market value (FMV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to assess antidumping duties equal to the difference between the United States price (USP) and FMV. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.