

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25543 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP96-6-000]

#### **ANR Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

October 10, 1995.

Take notice that on October 4, 1995, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed in Docket No. CP96-6-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to modify and operate an existing interconnection between ANR and Continental Natural Gas, Inc. (CNG) in Beaver County, Oklahoma for delivery of natural gas to CNG under ANR's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-480-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

ANR proposes to modify an existing interconnection (CNG "A" Station) in Beaver County, Oklahoma and to operate this interconnection under Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act. Currently, ANR receives gas at the CNG "A" Station. ANR's proposed modification would change the direction of the gas flow and allow ANR to deliver natural gas at this station. The proposed modification to CNG "A" station would consist of a new valve, an electronic measurement system, a gas sampler and appurtenant facilities. ANR states that the cost of the proposed facilities is approximately \$25,000.

ANR would provide CNG with deliveries at the CNG "A" Station under

Rate Schedule IT of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1. The maximum capacity of the CNG "A" Station would be 6,000 Mcf per day. ANR states that the volumes to be delivered will be within the certificated entitlements of the customer.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25533 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### **Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. ER95-1444-000; Docket No. ER95-1465-000]

#### **IES Utilities, Inc., Industrial Energy Applications, Inc.; Notice of Issuance of Order**

October 10, 1995.

On August 1, 1995, Industrial Energy Applications, Inc. (Industrial Energy), a power marketing affiliate of IES Utilities, Inc.<sup>1</sup> filed an application in Docket No. ER95-1465-000, requesting Commission approval to sell electricity at market-based rates, and requesting various waivers and authorizations. Under Industrial Energy's proposed rate schedule for its marketing activities, it would sell both capacity and energy to prospective purchasers at such market-based rates as may be agreed upon by the parties.

In particular, Industrial Energy requested that the Commission grant blanket approval under 18 CFR Part 34 of all future issuances of securities and assumptions of liabilities by Industrial Energy. On September 28, 1995, the Commission issued an Order Denying Motions to Reject and for Investigation and Technical Conference, Accepting

for Filing Proposed Transmission Tariffs, Accepting for Filing Market-Based Rate Schedule, and Granting Requests for Waivers and Authorizations (Order), in the above-docketed proceedings.

The Commission's September 28, 1995 Order granting the request for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the conditions found in Ordering Paragraphs (I), (J), and (L):

(I) Within 30 days of the date of this order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the Commission's blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities by Industrial Energy should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214.

(J) Absent a request to be heard within the period set forth in Ordering Paragraph (I) above, Industrial Energy is hereby authorized, pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act, to issue securities and assume obligations and liabilities as guarantor, executor, security, or otherwise in respect to any security of another person; provided that such issue or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of Industrial Energy, compatible with the public interest, and reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

(L) The Commission reserves the right to modify this order to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued Commission approval of Industrial Energy's issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities.

\* \* \*

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is October 30, 1995.

Copies of the full text of the Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, Room 3308, 941 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25544 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

<sup>1</sup> Industrial Energy is a wholly-owned subsidiary of IES Industries, Inc.

**[Docket No. CP96-3-000]****K N Interstate Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization**

October 10, 1995.

Take notice that on October 3, 1995, K N Interstate Gas Transmission (K N Interstate), P.O. Box 281304, Lakewood, Colorado 80228, filed in Docket No. CP96-3-000 a request pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, as amended, and Sections 157.205, 157.212, 157.216(b) for authorization to relocate three existing town border stations, located in the State of Kansas, which are currently used to deliver gas to town distribution systems operated by K N Energy, Inc. Specifically, K N Interstate proposes to install and operate new delivery facilities for the town of Albert, Healy and Leoti, Kansas. K N Interstate states that relocation of the town border stations is in the public interest due to safety concerns resulting from encroachment and the locations of roadways. K N Interstate further states that no customer would experience any change in service as a result of the proposal herein. This request is made in accordance with the authority granted to K N Interstate in its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-140-000 pursuant to 18 CFR, Part 157, Subpart F of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

K N Interstate states that it proposes to install the: (1) Albert town border station facilities in Rush County, Kansas; (2) Healy town border station facilities in Lane County, Kansas; and (3) Leoti town border station facilities in Wichita County, Kansas. It is stated that each of the proposed facilities would consist of a meter, regulator, and overpressure protection and appurtenant facilities.

K N Interstate states that the estimated cost of these facilities would be: Albert, \$47,500; Healy, \$50,000; and Leoti, \$60,000. It is further stated that the estimated cost of retiring the existing facilities would be: Albert, \$4,000; Healy, \$6,000; and Leoti, \$9,000.

K N Interstate further states that the average daily capacity and the maximum daily design capacity for each town border would be: Albert, 34 Mcf and 200 Mcf, respectively; Healy 65 Mcf and 275 Mcf, respectively; and Leoti, 410 Mcf and 1,560 Mcf, respectively.

K N Interstate states that once the new town border stations have been placed into service it would abandon in place the existing town border stations. K N Interstate further proposes to remove all

aboveground facilities and restore the site consistent with the surrounding land use.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25532 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP95-785-000]****NorAm Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Application**

October 10, 1995.

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NGT), 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP95-785-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon by sale and transfer to Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (MRT) Line JT-5 with appurtenances in White County, Arkansas, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

NGT proposes to sell and transfer to MRT, at net book value of \$6.3 million Line JT-5 and its appurtenances, which consist of 53,306 feet of 24-inch pipe, one 2470 Solar Flow Computer, one Teledine 1085 RTU and appurtenant valving and equipment which was constructed as an interconnection between Ozark Gas Transmission Company and MRT. NGT provided open access transportation service through this line and upon abandonment of these facilities, and as stated by NGT, all transportation service conducted through this line will be abandoned by NGT; however, such transportation will continue to be available under MRT's tariff.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before October 31, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for NGT to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25531 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP95-650-000; Docket No. CP95-658-000]****Questar Pipeline Company; Questar Gas Management Company; Notice of Technical Conference**

October 10, 1995.

Take notice that on October 31, 1995, at 10 a.m., the Commission Staff will convene a technical conference to discuss the issues raised in the above-captioned dockets. The proceedings involve Questar Pipeline Company's proposed spin-down of all jurisdictional and non-jurisdictional gathering facilities to Questar Gas Management