

West Virginia 25314, Columbia Gulf Transmission (Columbia Gulf) 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, S.E. Charleston, West Virginia 25314, and Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), 1900 Fifth Avenue North, Birmingham, Alabama 35203, (collectively referred to as Companies) filed in Docket No. CP95-778-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon a certain transportation and exchange service which was once required for exchange of gas among the Companies, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The Companies seek to abandon Rate Schedules X-92, X-71, and X-73, respectively, which provided for the transportation and exchange of up to 25,000 Mcf/d of gas among the Companies. It is stated that, currently, there are no imbalances and Columbia Gulf is providing Southern Part 284 interruptible service.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 1, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for the Companies to appear or be represented at the hearing. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-25640 Filed 10-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TM96-5-23-000]

Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

October 11, 1995.

Take notice that on October 5, 1995, Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company (ESNG) tendered for filing certain revised tariff sheets included in Appendix A to the filing. Such revised tariff sheets bear proposed effective dates as indicated therein.

ESNG states that the revised tariff sheets are being filed pursuant to § 154.308 of the Commission's Regulations, and Sections 23 and 24 of the General Terms and Conditions of ESNG's Gas Tariff, to reflect changes in ESNG's jurisdictional demand sales rates and storage rates. The instant filing is being made to "track" changes in Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation's (Transco) firm transportation demand and storage rates.

As background to the instant filing, on March 1, 1995, Transco filed a Section 4 general rate case in Docket No. RP95-197-000, *et al.* Transco filed to have rates effective April 1, 1995, but the Commission suspended the rates for five months, making the effective date September 1, 1995. On August 31, 1995, Transco subsequently made a Motion Filing to revise to the March 1, 1995 rates to eliminate costs associated with facilities not in service as of August 31, 1995.

ESNG is further "tracking" Transco's Transportation By Others (TBO) Cost Adjustment Filing made September 22, 1995, to be effective November 1, 1995. Transco's TBO filing affects ESNG's firm transportation sales rates and the PS-1 demand charge.

ESNG states that copies of the filing have been served upon its jurisdictional customers and interested States Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 and Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR

385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests shall be filed on or before October 18, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-25628 Filed 10-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. OR96-1-000]

Exxon Pipeline Company, Mobil Alaska Pipeline Company, Phillips Alaska Pipeline Corporation, Unocal Pipeline Company; Petition for Declaratory Order

October 11, 1995.

Take notice that on October 2, 1995, Exxon Pipeline Company (EPC), Mobil Alaska Pipeline Company (Mobil), Phillips Alaska Pipeline Corporation (Phillips) and Unocal Pipeline Company (Unocal), four of the seven owners of the Trans Alaska Pipeline system (TAPS) (TAPS Carriers) filed a request for the Commission to issue a Declaratory Order pursuant to Section 207(a)(2), of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, 18 CFR 385.207(a)(2), to resolve a controversy between Petitioners and the three remaining TAPS Carriers, ARCO Transportation Alaska, Inc. (ARCO), Amerada Hess Pipeline Corporation (Amerada Hess) and BP Pipelines (Alaska), Inc. (BP).

EPC, Mobil, Phillips and Unocal request that the Commission issue an order declaring that the ramp-down capacity allocation scheme which ARCO, Amerada Hess and BP purport to have imposed upon the other TAPS Carriers without their assent is an illegal anticompetitive pooling arrangement prohibited by Section 5(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before November 1, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to