

determination of rolled-in versus incremental rate treatment is required in light of Transwestern's use of its existing Part 284 rates. Accordingly, Transwestern respectfully requests that the Commission provide for a shorter notice period for the filing of protests or motions to intervene so that the Commission could issue a preliminary determination by January 19, 1996, and a final certificate by March 31, 1996.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before October 26, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held with further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Transwestern to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25637 Filed 10-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Project No. 459-051 & -060 Missouri]**

**Union Electric Company; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment**

October 11, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47910), the Office of Hydropower Licensing (OHL) reviewed two applications for dredging two sites on the Lake of the Ozarks at the Osage Project. The applicants propose to excavate:

459-051: approximately 1,700 cubic yards (cy) of material from two areas, for the purpose of providing boat access to an existing boat dock and proposed boat ramp.

459-060: approximately 1,284 cy of material for three existing single family boat docks, one existing multi-family boat dock, and boat access lanes for each dock.

The proposed excavations will occur on project lands on the Lake of the Ozarks, in Camden and Morgan Counties, Missouri. The primary purpose of the excavation activities is to provide boat access to project waters for private recreational use. The staff prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the actions. In the EA, staff concludes that approval of the non-project use of project lands would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the EA are available for review in the Reference and Information Center, Room 3308, of the Commission's offices at 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25634 Filed 10-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Project No. 11442-001 Washington]**

**Weeden's Hydro; Notice of Surrender of Preliminary Permit**

October 11, 1995.

Take notice that Weeden's Hydro, Permittee for the West Cady Creek Project No. 11442, has requested that its preliminary permit be terminated. The preliminary permit for Project No. 11442 was issued February 3, 1994, and would have expired January 31, 1997. The project would have been located in the Snoqualmie—Mt. Baker National Forest, on West Cady Creek, in Snohomish County, Washington.

The Permittee filed the request on September 18, 1995, and the preliminary permit for Project No. 11442 shall remain in effect through the thirtieth day after issuance of this notice unless that day is a Saturday, Sunday, or holiday as described in 18 CFR 385.2007, in which case the permit shall remain in effect through the first business day following that day. New applications involving this project site, to the extent provided for under 18 CFR Part 4, may be filed on the next business day.

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-25633 Filed 10-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**Western Area Power Administration**

**Parker-Davis Project—Notice of Rate Order No. WAPA-68**

**AGENCY:** Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

**ACTION:** Notice of Rate Order—Parker-Davis Project Firm Electric Service Rate and Firm and Non-Firm Transmission Rate Adjustments.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is given of the confirmation and approval by the Deputy Secretary of the Department of Energy (DOE) of Rate Order No. WAPA-68 and Rate Schedules PD-F5, PD-FT5, PD-NFT5, and PD-FCT5 placing decreased firm power rates for capacity and energy and decreased firm and non-firm transmission rates from the Parker-Davis Project (P-DP) of the Western Area Power Administration (Western) into effect on an interim basis. The interim rates, called the provisional rates, will remain in effect on an interim basis until the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) confirms, approves, and places them into effect on a final basis, or until they are replaced by other rates.

Western is requesting approval to place into effect a rate decrease in the firm power rates for capacity and energy and a rate decrease for firm and nonfirm transmission service from the P-DP. Four major changes are affecting the rates for the P-DP system.

The first change is in the costs apportionment study. This change was suggested by the P-DP customers and was a collaborative effort between all of Western's P-DP customers, Western and the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation). The new costs apportionment study more accurately allocates the P-DP's total power related costs and revenue between generation and transmission. In the previous