The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Tuesday, October 31, 1995—8:30 a.m. until the conclusion of business.

The Subcommittee will continue its review of the emergency procedure guidelines developed by the BWR Owners Group (BWROG) to mitigate an ATWS event compounded by core power instability. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS staff engineer named below five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee, along with any of its consultants who may be present, may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the balance of the meeting.

The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff, BWROG, General Electric Nuclear Energy, their consultants, and other interested persons regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the scheduling of sessions which are open to the public, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff engineer, Mr. Paul A. Boehnert (telephone 301/415-8065) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. EDT). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes in the proposed agenda, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: October 12, 1995.

Sam Duraiswamy,

Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch. [FR Doc. 95–25802 Filed 10–17–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

Correction to Biweekly Notice Applications and Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Considerations

On October 11, 1995, the Federal Register published the Biweekly Notice of Applications and Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Considerations. On page 52927, Column 2, Paragraph 2, the first line should read as follows: "By November 13, 1995, the licensee."

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of October 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Elinor G. Adensam,

Deputy Director Division of Reactor Projects-III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 95–25803 Filed 10–17–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket No. 50-289]

GPU Nuclear Corporation, et al.; Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1; Exemption

Ι

GPU Nuclear Corporation (the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License No. DPR–50, which authorizes operation of Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1 (TMI–1). The license provides, among other things, that the licensee be subject to all rules, regulations, and Orders of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facility consists of a pressurized water reactor at the licensee's site located in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania.

Π

By letter dated June 1, 1995, the licensee requested an exemption to 10 CFR 50.44, 10 CFR 50.46, and Appendix K to 10 CFR Part 50 that would enable the use of two demonstration assemblies during TMI–1 Cycles 11, 12, and 13. These regulations refer to pressurized water reactors fueled with uranium oxide pellets within cylindrical zircaloy or ZIRLO cladding. The two demonstration assemblies to be used during these fuel cycles contain fuel rods with zirconium-based claddings that are not chemically identical to zircaloy or ZIRLO.

Since 10 CFR 50.46 and Appendix K to 10 CFR Part 50 identify requirements for calculating emergency core cooling system (ECCS) performance for reactors containing fuel with zircaloy or ZIRLO cladding, and 10 CFR 50.44 relates to the generation of hydrogen gas from a metal-water reactor with reactor fuel having zircaloy or ZIRLO cladding, an exemption is required to place the two demonstration assemblies containing fuel rods with advanced zirconium based cladding in the core.

III

Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations at 50.12(a)(2)(ii) enables the Commission to grant an exemption from the requirements of Part 50 when special circumstances are present such that application of the regulation in the particular circumstances would not serve the underlying purpose of the rule, or is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule. The underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.46 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K is to establish requirements for the calculation of ECCS performance. The licensee has performed a calculation demonstrating adequate ECCS performance for TMI-1 and has shown that the two demonstration assemblies do not have a significant impact on that previous calculation. As such, the licensee has achieved the underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.46 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K. The underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.44 is to ensure that means are provided for the control of hydrogen gas that may be generated following a postulated loss-of-coolant accident. The licensee has provided means for controlling hydrogen gas and has previously considered the potential for hydrogen gas generation stemming from a metal-water reaction. The small number of fuel rods in the two demonstration assemblies containing advanced zirconium-based claddings in conjunction with the chemical similarity of the advanced claddings to zircaloy and ZIRLO ensures that previous calculations of hydrogen production resulting from a metal-water reactor would not be significantly changed. As such, the licensee has achieved the underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.44.

The two demonstration assemblies that will be placed in the TMI-1 reactor during Cycles 11, 12, and 13 meet the same design bases as the fuel in the reactor during previous cycles. No safety limits or setpoints have been altered as result of the use of the two demonstration assemblies. The demonstration assemblies will be placed in core locations that will not experience limiting power peaking during Cycles 11, 12, or 13. The advanced claddings have been tested for corrosion resistance, tensile and burst strength, and creep characteristics. The results indicate that the advanced claddings are safe for reactor service.

IV

For the foregoing reasons, the NRC staff has concluded that the use of the two demonstration assemblies in the TMI-1 reactor during Cycles 11, 12, and 13 will not present an undue risk to public health and safety and is consistent with the common defense and security. The NRC staff has determined that there are special circumstances present as specified in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii) such that application of 10 CFR 50.46, 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, and 10 CFR 50.44 to explicitly consider the advanced clad fuel rods present within the two demonstration assemblies is not necessary in order to achieve the underlying purpose of these regulations.

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, an exemption is authorized by law and will not endanger life or property or common defense and security and is otherwise in the public interest, and hereby grants GPU Nuclear Corporation an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.44, 10 CFR 50.46, and Appendix K to 10 CFR Part 50 in that explicit consideration of the advanced zirconium-based clad fuel present within the two demonstration assemblies is not required in order to be in compliance with these regulations. This exemption applies only to the two demonstration assemblies for the time period (Cycles 11, 12, and 13) for which these assemblies will be in the TMI-1 reactor core.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this exemption will have no significant impact on the quality of the human environment (60 FR 34559).

This exemption is effective upon issuance.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 12th day of October 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Steven A. Varga, Director, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 95–25804 Filed 10–17–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

PRESIDENT'S COUNCIL ON SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

The Tenth Meeting of the President's Council on Sustainable Development (PCSD) in Washington, DC

Summary: The President's Council on Sustainable Development, a partnership of industry, government, and environmental, labor, and Native American organizations, will convene its tenth meeting in Washington, DC.

The President's Council on Sustainable Development will review the final draft of the report to President Clinton. The report will encompass goals for achieving a sustainable future, indicators of progress, and policy recommendations for how to achieve sustainability. The Council will also discuss a recommended strategy for implementing sustainable development policy options and practices.

Dates/Times: Wednesday, 1 November 1995–3:00–5:00 p.m.

Place: U.S. Chamber of Commerce, 1615 H Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Status: Open to the Public/Public comments are welcome.

Contact: 202-408-5296.

Molly Harriss Olson,

Executive Director, President's Council on Sustainable Development. [FR Doc. 95–25758 Filed 10–17–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-10-M

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION [Order No. 1083; Docket No. A96–1]

In the Matter of Burr, Nebraska, 68324– 0128 (Robert Brandt, et al., Petitioners); Notice and Order Accepting Appeal and Establishing Procedural Schedule Under 39 U.S.C. § 404(b)(5)

Issued October 13, 1995.

Before Commissioners: Edward J. Gleiman, Chairman; W.H. "Trey" LeBlanc III, Vice-Chairman; George W. Haley; H. Edward Quick, Jr.; Wayne A. Schley. Appendix Docket Number: A96–1 Name of Affected Post Office: Burr, Nebraska 68324–0128.

Name(s) of Petitioner(s): Robert Brandt, *et al.*

Type of Determination: Consolidation. Date of Filing of Appeal Papers:

October 5, 1995.

Categories of Issues Apparently Raised:

1. Effect on postal services [39 U.S.C. § 404(b)(2)(C)].

2. Effect on the community [39 U.S.C. § 404(b)(2)(A)].

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth above. Or, the Commission may find that the Postal Service's determination disposes of one or more of those issues.

The Postal Reorganization Act requires that the Commission issue its decision within 120 days from the date this appeal was filed (39 U.S.C. §404(b)(5)). In the interest of expedition, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service to submit memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. If requested, such memoranda will be due 20 days from the issuance of the request and the Postal Service shall serve a copy of its memoranda on the petitioners. The Postal Service may incorporate by reference in its briefs or motions, any arguments presented in memoranda it previously filed in this docket. If necessary, the Commission also may ask petitioners or the Postal Service for more information.

The Commission orders:

(a) The Postal Service shall file the record in this appeal by October 20, 1995.

(b) The Secretary of the Postal Rate Commission shall publish this Notice and Order and Procedural Schedule in the Federal Register.

By the Commission. Margaret P. Crenshaw, Secretary.

October 5, 1995Filing of Appeal letter.October 13, 1995Commission Notice and Order of Filing of Appeal.October 30, 1995Last day of filing of petitions to intervene [see 39 CFR
§ 3001.1116b].November 9, 1995Petitioners' Participant Statement or Initial Brief [see 39 CFR
3001.115(a) and (b)].November 29, 1995Postal Service's Answering Brief [see 39 CFR 3001.115(c)].Petitioners' Reply Brief should Petitioner choose to file one [see 39
CFR 3001.115(d)].