DATES: Reply comments are due by October 10. 1995.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jennifer Gilsenan, International Bureau, Satellite and Radiocommunication Division, Satellite Policy Branch, (202) 739–0736, or Kathleen Campbell, International Bureau, Satellite and Radiocommunication Division, Satellite Policy Branch, (202) 739–0729.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Order

Adopted: September 22, 1995 Released: September 25, 1995

By the Chief, International Bureau:

- 1. By this Order, we grant an extension of time, until October 10, 1995, in which to file reply comments in response to the Third Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Supplemental Tentative Decision in CC Docket No. 92–297 (60 FR 43740, August 23, 1995). This action is taken in response to motions submitted by GE American Communications, Inc. ("GE Americom") and TRW Inc.
- 2. TRW indicates that an extension until October 10 would facilitate discussion and resolution of the specific details on inter-service sharing with other parties involved in this proceeding. GE argues that grant of an extension would allow adequate time to consider and respond to all issues and detailed technical exhibits submitted in the comments, as well as alleviate time pressures on personnel who are also preparing filings for Commission proceedings with contemporaneous deadlines.
- 3. We believe that an extension of time until October 10, 1995, is reasonable. This proceeding is of importance to all segments of the satellite and terrestrial communications industries that are seeking the allocation of spectrum in the 28 GHz band. Resolution of inter-service sharing issues would serve the public interest.
- 4. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 0.261 of the Commission's rules on delegation of authority, 47 CFR 0.261, GE American Communications, Inc.'s and TRW Inc.'s motions for extension of time for filing reply comments in the above-captioned proceeding are granted to the extent indicated. The date for filing comments is extended until October 10, 1995, the Tuesday following the Columbus Day federal holiday.

Federal Communications Commission. Scott Blake Harris,

Chief, International Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95–25817 Filed 10–17–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 95-156; RM-8701]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Shelton, WA

AGENCY: Federal Communications

Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Sound Broadcasting, Inc., proposing the allotment of Channel 233A at Shelton, Washington, as the community's first local FM transmission service. Channel 233A can be allotted to Shelton in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 6.6 kilometers (4.1 miles) northwest to avoid short-spacings to the licensed sites of Station KMPS-FM, Channel 231C, Seattle, Washington, and Station KUKN(FM), Channel 233A, Kelso, Washington. The coordinates for Channel 233A at Shelton are North Latitude 47-14-43 and West Longitude 123-10-25. Recognizing that the allotment of Channel 233A would be short-spaced to the proposed allotment of Channel 233C at Vancouver, British Columbia, we have determined that no potential interference would result from this allotment. Therefore, since the community is located within 320 kilometers (200 miles) of the U.S.-Canadian border, concurrence of the Canadian government has been requested for the allotment of Channel 233A at Shelton, Washington, as a specially-negotiated allotment. **DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before December 4, 1995 and reply comments on or before December 19,

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Mark N. Lipp, Esq., Mullin, Rhyne, Emmons and Topel, P.C., 1000 Connecticut Ave., NW., Suite 500, Washington, DC 20036 (Counsel for Petitioner).

1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharon P. McDonald, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's *Notice of*

Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 95–156, adopted October 3, 1995, and released October 12, 1995. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission. John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95–25761 Filed 10–17–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–F

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 87-268; DA 95-2137]

Advanced Television Systems

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of time for filing comments and reply comments.

SUMMARY: The Commission, pursuant to delegated authority, grants motions filed by the Advanced Television Committee of the Electronics Industries Association, the Information Technology Industry Council, and jointly by the Association of America's Public Television Stations and the Public Broadcasting Service, and extends the time for filing comments in response to the Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Third Notice of Inquiry issued in this proceeding. The intended effect is to allow industry groups to form consensus opinions for their comments.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before November 15, 1995, and reply comments must be filed on or before January 12, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roger Holberg, Mass Media Bureau, Policy and Rules Division, Legal Branch, (202) 776–1653.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. On July 28, 1995, the Commission, as part of its ongoing Advanced Television rulemaking proceeding, adopted a Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Third Notice of Inquiry ("Fourth Further Notice"), FCC 95–315, released August 9, 1995, 60 FR 42130 (August 15, 1995). Comments on the Fourth Further Notice were due on October 18, 1995, and reply comments on December 4, 1995.

2. On September 21, 1995, the Advanced Television Committee of the Electronic Industries Association ("Committee") filed a "Motion of the EIA/ATV Committee for Extension of Time." That Motion sought an extension of the comment and reply comment deadlines until November 1, 1995, and December 18, 1995, respectively. In support of that request, the Committee notes that, while it is sponsored by the Electronics Industries Association ("EIA"), its membership is not limited to EIA member companies. The current comment deadline, the Committee asserts, coincides with the EIA's annual conference, at which the Committee is next expected to meet. At this meeting, the Committee continues, it will finalize its position with respect to the issues raised in the Fourth Further Notice. The Committee does not believe that the brief extension it requests will prejudice any party; to the contrary, it believes that the Commission and the public will benefit "if the comments (it files) in this proceeding reflect the broad intra- and inter industry consensus which the EIA/ ATV Committee seeks to develop.

3. Subsequently, on October 4, 1995, the Information Technology Industry Council ("ITI") filed a request for an extension of the comment deadline until November 29, 1995. In support, it asserts that its membership is diverse, representing the computer, information technology, and consumer electronics industries, and the additional time will be necessary to determine whether a consensus exists among ITI members on some or all of the many complex issues raised in the *Fourth Further Notice*.

4. Also on October 4, 1995, the Association of America's Public Television Stations and the Public Broadcasting Service ("Public Television") jointly filed a request for an extension of the comment deadline until December 13, 1995. While it welcomes the Commission's attention to the issue of whether to adopt special measures to facilitate noncommercial broadcasters' conversion to ATV, Public Television notes that this matter is also under consideration by Congress. Public Television seeks an extended comment period to allow its comments to reflect Congressional action, which it expects by the middle of November.

5. We are mindful that Section 1.46 of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR § 1.46, articulates a Commission policy that extensions of time for filing comments in rulemaking proceedings are not to be routinely granted. Nevertheless, in the instant case, we find that good cause exists for extending the comment and reply comment deadlines. Allowing the various affected industry groups time to develop consensus opinions that they would submit in comments could be most helpful to us as we consider and resolve the many complicated issues raised in the Fourth Further Notice. In addition, there are benefits to be derived from affording other parties an adequate opportunity for reasoned replies to those comments. However, we hesitate to extend the comment date until December 13, 1995, as requested by Public Television, because we do not want to unnecessarily delay the conclusion of this lengthy proceeding. Parties can address any Congressional action that occurs after the comment date we are establishing in reply comments. If necessary, another Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making can be issued. We do not anticipate that it will be necessary to allow a further extension of the time to file comments or replies in response to the *Fourth* Further Notice. Accordingly, we will extend both the comment and reply comment deadlines for approximately one month.

6. Accordingly, it is ordered, that the Motion of the EIA/ATV Committee for Extension of Time relative to the Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Third Notice of Inquiry in MM Docket No. 87–268, is granted. It is further ordered, that the Motion of the Information Technology Industry Council and the Request by the Association of America's Public Television Stations and the Public Broadcasting Service for an Extension of Time are granted to the extent indicated herein and, in all other respects are denied.

7. It is further ordered, that the time for filing comments in the above-captioned proceeding is extended to November 15, 1995, and the time for

filing reply comments is extended to January 12, 1996.

8. This action is taken pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i) and 303(r), and Sections 0.204(b), 0.283 and 1.45 of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.204(b), 0.283 and 1.45.

Federal Communications Commission.

Roy J. Stewart,

Chief, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 95–25814 Filed 10–17–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–F

47 CFR Part 90

[PR Docket No. 92-235, DA 95-2090]

Examination of Exclusivity and Frequency Assignment Policies

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On June 15, 1995, the Commission adopted a Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making that seeks to introduce market forces into the private land mobile radio (PLMR) bands below 800 MHz (60 FR 37148, July 19, 1995). On September 12, 1995, the Commission granted a request from the American Public Transit Association and granted in part and denied in part a request from the Land Mobile Communications Council (LMCC) to extend the comment period in the above captioned proceeding. On September 20, 1995, LMCC Filed a petition for reconsideration of that extension order to extend the comment period to November 20, 1995 and the reply comment period to January 5, 1996. LMCC stated that the additional time is necessary to develop an organized and effective spectrum allocation plan. This order grants the requested extension of time in which commenters have to file comments and reply comments.

DATES: Comments are to be filed on or before November 20, 1995, and reply comments are to be filed on or before January 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ira Keltz of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau at (202)

418–0616.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Adopted: October 2, 1995. Released: October 3, 1995.

Order Extending Comment and Reply Comment Period

By the Chief, Private Wireless Division: