

interested parties may contact Mr. Lynch at the address and phone number above. For general information regarding the DOE NEPA process, please contact: Ms. Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance, EH-42, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., SW., Washington DC 20585, (202) 586-4600 or 1-800-472-2756

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: DOE prepared an EA for the "Proposed Interim Storage of Enriched Uranium Above the Maximum Historical Storage Level at the Y-12 Plant Oak Ridge, Tennessee" (DOE/EA-0929, September, 1994). The EA was prepared pursuant to NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), the Council on Environmental Quality NEPA regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508) and the DOE NEPA regulations (10 CFR Part 1021). The EA evaluates the environmental effects of transportation, prestorage processing, and interim storage of bounding quantities of enriched uranium over a ten-year period. The bounding quantities of enriched uranium analyzed in the EA include the transportation of up to 7,102.9 metric tons of LEU and about 100 metric tons of highly enriched uranium plus HEU shipments from the Pantex Plant near Amarillo, Texas. The bounding quantities of enriched uranium analyzed for interim storage include the existing inventories (approximately 3 metric tons LEU and 170 metric tons HEU) plus the bounding quantities that would be shipped. HEU placed in interim storage at the Y-12 Plant would not exceed 500 metric tons. Storage of HEU beyond this interim period is being addressed by the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Storage and Disposition of Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials (DOE/EIS-0229); the Stockpile Stewardship and Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-0236); and the Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposition of Surplus Highly Enriched Uranium (DOE/EIS-0240), all of which are currently in preparation.

A predecisional draft EA was first released to the State of Tennessee and the public in February 1994, followed by public meetings and workshops in March and April 1994. After careful consideration of all comments, DOE issued a revised pre-approval EA in September 1994 followed by additional public meetings in December 1994 and March 1995. Additional comments were received and were carefully considered by DOE. Because the preapproval EA analyzed bounding quantities of enriched uranium as discussed above,

an additional analysis was conducted in August 1995 to determine the potential impacts of the transportation of only three metric tons LEU. This analysis is attached to the FONSI, is incorporated into the EA, and refines the analysis in the pre-approval EA. As a result of this process, the Department decided that, in addition to its existing inventory (which includes approximately 3 metric tons LEU), DOE will receive an additional 3 metric tons of LEU and up to a total of 500 metric tons HEU for interim storage of up to 506 metric tons enriched uranium at the Y-12 Plant.

Based on the public participation process, the analyses in the EA, the attachment to the FONSI, and after careful consideration of all comments received, DOE has determined that transportation, prestorage processing and interim storage at the Y-12 Plant of up to 506 metric tons of enriched uranium, including up to 500 metric tons of HEU and 6 metric tons of LEU, does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, within the meaning of NEPA. Therefore, an EIS is not required and the DOE approved the EA, as modified, and issued a FONSI on September 14, 1995.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 12th day of October, 1995, for the United States Department of Energy.

Henry K. Garson,

Acting Associate Deputy Secretary for Facility Transition and Technical Support, Office of Defense Programs.

[FR Doc. 95-25949 Filed 10-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-1815-000, et al.]

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

October 12, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-1815-000]

Take notice that on September 21, 1995, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Niagara Mohawk) tendered for filing an agreement between Niagara Mohawk and Electric Clearinghouse Power Marketing (Electric Clearinghouse) dated September 19, 1995, providing for certain transmission services to Electric Clearinghouse.

Copies of this filing were served upon Electric Clearinghouse and the New York State Public Service Commission.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Central Vermont Public Service Corporation

[Docket Nos. ER95-679-000 and ER95-680-000]

Take notice that on September 13, 1995, Central Vermont Public Service Corporation tendered for filing an amendment in its open access transmission tariff in compliance with the Commission's order.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Central Illinois Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1845-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, Central Illinois Light Company (CILCO), 300 Liberty Street, Peoria, Illinois 61202, tendered for filing with the Commission two Transmission Tariffs, a network integration service tariff; and a point-to-point transmission service tariff (including firm and non-firm components). The proposed tariffs are based on the *pro forma* tariffs contained in RM95-8-000 and is being filed pursuant to the Commission's order on rehearing in American Electric Power Service Corp., 71 FERC ¶ 61,393 (1995). CILCO proposed that these tariffs become effective as of November 27, 1995.

Copies of the filing were served on the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Union Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-1846-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, Union Electric Company (UE) tendered for filing a Transmission Service Agreement dated September 29, 1995 between Heartland Energy Services, Inc. (Heartland) and UE. UE asserts that the purpose of the Agreement is to set out specific rates, terms, and conditions for transactions from UE to Heartland.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Madison Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-1848-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, Madison Gas and Electric Company (MGE), tendered for filing a service agreement with Catex Vitol Electric, LLC under MGE's Power Sales Tariff. MGE requests an effective date 60 days from the filing date.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Mid-Continent Area Power Pool

[Docket No. ER95-1849-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, Mid-Continent Area Power Pool (MAPP) tendered for filing amendments to the MAPP Agreement, on file with the Commission as MAPP Electric Rate Schedule FERC No. 1.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. St. Joseph Light & Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-1850-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, St. Joseph Light & Power Company tendered for filing revised copies of an addendum to its coordination rate schedules which provide for the recovery of the cost of emission allowances.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER95-1851-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO) tendered for filing a unit exchange agreement between NUSCO, on behalf of The Connecticut Light and Power Company and Western Massachusetts Electric Company, and Boston Edison Company (BE). NUSCO states that a copy of this filing has been mailed to BE. NUSCO requests that the Agreement become effective on November 1, 1995.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Central Vermont Public Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-1852-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, Central Vermont Public Service Corporation (Central Vermont) tendered for filing a Service Agreement with PECO Energy Company under its FERC Electric Tariff No. 5. The tariff provides for the sale by Central Vermont of power and energy at or below Central Vermont's fully allocated costs.

Central Vermont requests waiver of the Commission's Regulations to permit the service agreement to become effective on October 3, 1995.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. The Washington Water Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-1853-000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, The Washington Water Power Company (WWP) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission pursuant to 18 CFR 35.15, a Notice of Termination for WWP Rate Schedule FERC No. 157 a Transmission Service Agreement, dated June 1, 1988, between WWP and The Montana Power Company which has expired by its own terms effective September 30, 1995.

WWP requests that the requirement for 60 days notice between filing date and termination date be waived. If the 60 days notice is waived, there will be no effect upon purchases under other rate schedules.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Central Power and Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1854-000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, Central Power and Light Company (CPL), tendered for filing certain non-rate revisions to its currently effective Coordination Sales Tariff (CST-1 Tariff). The expanded and new provisions clarify certain matters under the CST-1 Tariff.

CPL has asked for waiver of the Commission's notice requirements to the extent necessary to permit an effective date of October 1, 1995. Copies of this filing were served on the Public Utility Commission of Texas and the customers for whom CPL has filed service agreements under the CST-1 Tariff.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. VTEC Energy, Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-1855-000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, VTEC Energy, Inc. (VTEC), tendered for filing pursuant to Rule 205, 18 CFR 385.205, a petition for waivers and blanket approvals under various regulations of the Commission and for an order accepting its FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 1 to be effective on the date of the order.

VTEC intends to engage in electric power energy transactions as a marketer and a broker in transactions where VTEC sells electric energy. It proposes to make such sales on rates, terms, and conditions to be mutually agreed to with the purchasing party. VTEC is not in the business of generating, transmitting, or distributing electric power.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. The Dayton Power and Light

[Docket No. ER95-1856-000]

Take notice that on September 29, 1995, The Dayton Power and Light Company (Dayton), tendered for filing, an executed Master Power Sales Tariff Service Agreement between Dayton and Central Illinois Public Service (CIPS).

Pursuant to the rate schedules as Exhibit B to the Agreement, Dayton will provide to DIPS power and/or energy for resale. Dayton and CIPS are currently parties to a Coordination Sales Tariff Service Agreement for the Sale of power and energy to Dayton from CIPS.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-25923 Filed 10-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. RP92-237-022]

Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Filing

October 13, 1995.

Take notice that on October 6, 1995, Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company (Alabama-Tennessee), filed the following Attachment 1 appended to its filing:

4th Sub. Fifth Revised Sheet No. 4
3rd Sub. Seventh Revised Sheet No. 4
3rd Sub. Eighth Revised Sheet No. 4
4th Sub. Eighth Revised Sheet No. 4
Sub. Tenth Revised Sheet No. 4

According to Alabama-Tennessee, it has conditionally submitted these tariff