

regulation and water quality considerations of Blue Marsh Reservoir.

The subjects of the hearing will be as follows:

Current Expense and Capital Budgets. A proposed current expense budget for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1996, in the aggregate amount of \$3,294,500 and a capital budget for the same period in the amount of \$2,147,500 in revenue and \$1,500,500 in expenditures. Copies of the current expense and capital budget are available from the Commission on request by contacting Richard C. Gore.

A Proposal to Adopt the 1995-1996 Water Resources Program. A proposal that the 1995-1996 Water Resources Program and the activities, programs, initiatives, concerns, projections and proposals identified and set forth therein be accepted and adopted, in accordance with the requirements of Section 13.2 of the Delaware River Basin Compact.

Applications for Approval of the Following Projects Pursuant to Article 10.3, Article 11 and/or Section 3.8 of the Compact:

1. *Holdover Project: C S Water & Sewer Associates D-76-21 (Revised).* An application to revise DRBC Docket No. D-76-21 to approve an existing discharge from a 0.1 million gallons per day (mgd) sewage treatment plant (STP) to an unnamed tributary of the Delaware River; the applicant also proposes to modify the plant by adding an equalization tank. The STP was originally approved predicated upon a discharge directly to the Delaware River. The project STP is located in Lackawaxen Township, Pike County, Pennsylvania. The STP will continue to serve the Masthope Mountain Community residential/resort development. This hearing continues that of September 27, 1995.

2. *Holdover Project: Borough of Dublin D-95-25 CP.* An application for approval of a ground water withdrawal project to supply water to the applicant's distribution system from existing Well Nos. 1, 2 and 3 and new Well No. 5, and to retain the existing withdrawal limit from all wells to 4.36 million gallons (mg)/30 days. Well No. 5 is being developed as an alternate public water supply in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency record of decision for the Dublin TCE Superfund site. The project is located in the Borough of Dublin, Bucks County, Pennsylvania in the Southeastern Pennsylvania Ground Water Protected Area. This hearing continues that of September 27, 1995.

3. *U.S. Department of Justice D-94-11 CP.* An application to expand the applicant's Otisville Federal Correctional Institute STP from 0.2 mgd to 0.5 mgd. The expanded STP will provide a new advanced secondary biological treatment system and continue to provide tertiary filtration and ultraviolet disinfection prior to discharge to an unnamed intermittent stream of Basher Kill, a Neversink River tributary. The project is located approximately 1.5 miles north of Otisville in the Town of Mount Hope, Orange County, New York.

4. *Beacon Hill at Upper Freehold D-94-64 CP.* An application for approval of a ground water withdrawal project to supply up to 5.2 mg/30 days of water to the applicant's proposed residential development from new Wells Nos. 1 and 2B, and to limit the withdrawal from all wells to 5.2 mg/30 days. The project is located in Upper Freehold Township, Monmouth County, New Jersey.

5. *Buckingham Township D-95-43 CP.* A project to expand the applicant's Fieldstone STP from 0.022 mgd to 0.061 mgd. The expanded STP will serve growth in the existing residential development of Fieldstone and the proposed residential development of Sylvan Glen, both located in Buckingham Township, Bucks County, Pennsylvania. The STP will continue to provide secondary biological treatment utilizing extended aeration lagoons and, after disinfection, will discharge the increased flow to new spray irrigation fields located at the intersection of Cold Spring Creamery Road and Church School Lane in Buckingham Township. The Fieldstone spray fields, located near the existing STP on the east side of Church School Lane, will be expanded and redesigned to include a new treatment lagoon. The proposed Sylvan Glen spray fields will be in the Pine Run watershed.

Documents relating to these items may be examined at the Commission's offices. Preliminary dockets are available in single copies upon request. Please contact George C. Elias concerning docket-related questions. Persons wishing to testify at this hearing are requested to register with the Secretary prior to the hearing.

Dated: October 10, 1995.

Susan M. Weisman,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-25883 Filed 10-18-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6360-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for the Proposed Interim Storage of Enriched Uranium at the Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, TN

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of availability—Finding of No Significant Impact.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) announces the availability of the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Environmental Assessment (EA), "Proposed Interim Storage of Enriched Uranium Above the Maximum Historical Storage Level at the Y-12 Plant Oak Ridge, Tennessee" (DOE/EA-0929), as modified in September 1995. After careful consideration of all comments received, and after consideration of the impact of transporting only three metric tons of low enriched uranium (LEU) as analyzed in the modification to the EA, the Department has determined that the receipt, prestorage processing, and interim storage at the Y-12 Plant of up to 506 metric tons of enriched uranium, including storage of up to 500 metric tons of highly enriched uranium (HEU) and 6 metric tons of LEU (3 metric tons more than is currently stored at the Y-12 Plant), does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required and the Department has issued a FONSI.

DATES: The EA (DOE/EA-0929), as modified, and FONSI were approved by DOE on September 14, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Questions regarding the EA and FONSI should be addressed to: Mr. William R. Lynch, U.S. Department of Energy, DP-24, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, MD 20585, (301) 903-3011.

Copies of the EA and FONSI are available for public review at the following Department of Energy reading rooms:

U.S. Department of Energy, Freedom of Information Reading Room, Forrestal Building, Room 1E-190, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20585, (202) 586-6020

U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Public Reading Room, 55 Jefferson Avenue, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830, (615) 241-4780

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information on the Y-12 project,

interested parties may contact Mr. Lynch at the address and phone number above. For general information regarding the DOE NEPA process, please contact: Ms. Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance, EH-42, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., SW., Washington DC 20585, (202) 586-4600 or 1-800-472-2756

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: DOE prepared an EA for the "Proposed Interim Storage of Enriched Uranium Above the Maximum Historical Storage Level at the Y-12 Plant Oak Ridge, Tennessee" (DOE/EA-0929, September, 1994). The EA was prepared pursuant to NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), the Council on Environmental Quality NEPA regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508) and the DOE NEPA regulations (10 CFR Part 1021). The EA evaluates the environmental effects of transportation, prestorage processing, and interim storage of bounding quantities of enriched uranium over a ten-year period. The bounding quantities of enriched uranium analyzed in the EA include the transportation of up to 7,102.9 metric tons of LEU and about 100 metric tons of highly enriched uranium plus HEU shipments from the Pantex Plant near Amarillo, Texas. The bounding quantities of enriched uranium analyzed for interim storage include the existing inventories (approximately 3 metric tons LEU and 170 metric tons HEU) plus the bounding quantities that would be shipped. HEU placed in interim storage at the Y-12 Plant would not exceed 500 metric tons. Storage of HEU beyond this interim period is being addressed by the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Storage and Disposition of Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials (DOE/EIS-0229); the Stockpile Stewardship and Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-0236); and the Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposition of Surplus Highly Enriched Uranium (DOE/EIS-0240), all of which are currently in preparation.

A predecisional draft EA was first released to the State of Tennessee and the public in February 1994, followed by public meetings and workshops in March and April 1994. After careful consideration of all comments, DOE issued a revised pre-approval EA in September 1994 followed by additional public meetings in December 1994 and March 1995. Additional comments were received and were carefully considered by DOE. Because the preapproval EA analyzed bounding quantities of enriched uranium as discussed above,

an additional analysis was conducted in August 1995 to determine the potential impacts of the transportation of only three metric tons LEU. This analysis is attached to the FONSI, is incorporated into the EA, and refines the analysis in the pre-approval EA. As a result of this process, the Department decided that, in addition to its existing inventory (which includes approximately 3 metric tons LEU), DOE will receive an additional 3 metric tons of LEU and up to a total of 500 metric tons HEU for interim storage of up to 506 metric tons enriched uranium at the Y-12 Plant.

Based on the public participation process, the analyses in the EA, the attachment to the FONSI, and after careful consideration of all comments received, DOE has determined that transportation, prestorage processing and interim storage at the Y-12 Plant of up to 506 metric tons of enriched uranium, including up to 500 metric tons of HEU and 6 metric tons of LEU, does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, within the meaning of NEPA. Therefore, an EIS is not required and the DOE approved the EA, as modified, and issued a FONSI on September 14, 1995.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 12th day of October, 1995, for the United States Department of Energy.

Henry K. Garson,

Acting Associate Deputy Secretary for Facility Transition and Technical Support, Office of Defense Programs.

[FR Doc. 95-25949 Filed 10-18-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER95-1815-000, et al.]

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

October 12, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-1815-000]

Take notice that on September 21, 1995, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Niagara Mohawk) tendered for filing an agreement between Niagara Mohawk and Electric Clearinghouse Power Marketing (Electric Clearinghouse) dated September 19, 1995, providing for certain transmission services to Electric Clearinghouse.

Copies of this filing were served upon Electric Clearinghouse and the New York State Public Service Commission.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Central Vermont Public Service Corporation

[Docket Nos. ER95-679-000 and ER95-680-000]

Take notice that on September 13, 1995, Central Vermont Public Service Corporation tendered for filing an amendment in its open access transmission tariff in compliance with the Commission's order.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Central Illinois Light Company

[Docket No. ER95-1845-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, Central Illinois Light Company (CILCO), 300 Liberty Street, Peoria, Illinois 61202, tendered for filing with the Commission two Transmission Tariffs, a network integration service tariff; and a point-to-point transmission service tariff (including firm and non-firm components). The proposed tariffs are based on the *pro forma* tariffs contained in RM95-8-000 and is being filed pursuant to the Commission's order on rehearing in American Electric Power Service Corp., 71 FERC ¶ 61,393 (1995). CILCO proposed that these tariffs become effective as of November 27, 1995.

Copies of the filing were served on the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Union Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-1846-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, Union Electric Company (UE) tendered for filing a Transmission Service Agreement dated September 29, 1995 between Heartland Energy Services, Inc. (Heartland) and UE. UE asserts that the purpose of the Agreement is to set out specific rates, terms, and conditions for transactions from UE to Heartland.

Comment date: October 26, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Madison Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-1848-000]

Take notice that on September 28, 1995, Madison Gas and Electric Company (MGE), tendered for filing a service agreement with Catex Vitol Electric, LLC under MGE's Power Sales Tariff. MGE requests an effective date 60 days from the filing date.