

(1) A completed SF 1411, Contract Pricing Proposal Cover Sheet (Cost or Pricing Data Required), and

(2) At the time of agreement on price*, or on another date agreed upon between the parties, a signed Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

PART 253—FORMS

25. Section 253.204-70 is amended by revising paragraph (c)(4)(xi) (A), (B) and (C) to read as follows:

253.204-70 DD Form 350, Individual Contracting Action Report.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(4) * * *

(xi) *Block C11, Cost or Pricing Data.*

* * *

(A) *Code Y—Yes—Obtained.* Enter code Y when cost or pricing data were obtained for the contracting action (see FAR 15.804-2).

(B) *Code N—No—Not Obtained.* Enter code N when cost or pricing data were not obtained because data were not required (see FAR 15.804-2) or an exception was granted (see FAR 15.804-1).

(C) *Code W—Not Obtained—Waived.* Enter Code W when cost or pricing data were not obtained because the requirement was waived (see FAR 15.804-1(a)(3) and 215.804-1(b)(5)).

* * * * *

Appendix C—Contractor Purchasing System Reviews

26. In Appendix C to Chapter 2, Section C-208.3 is amended in the second sentence of paragraph (a) by revising the reference "FAR 15.804-3(b)" to read "FAR 15.804-1(b)".

[FR Doc. 95-26159 Filed 10-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Programs Administration

49 CFR Part 195

[Docket No. PS-121; Amdt. 195-51B]

RIN 2137-AB 46

Pressure Testing Older Hazardous Liquid and Carbon Dioxide Pipelines

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; Extension of time for compliance.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to extend the time for compliance with the requirements to plan and schedule

pressure testing of older hazardous liquid and carbon dioxide pipelines. Plans are now required by December 7, 1995. This extension of time for compliance is in response to a petition from the American Petroleum Institute (API) to apply a risk-based alternative to the required pressure testing of older pipelines. The extension of time will allow RSPA time to consider the petition.

DATES: The deadline that establishes regulations for planning and scheduling pressure testing is proposed to be extended to December 7, 1996. All other deadlines remain intact. Comments on this notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) must be received on or before November 22, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments must be submitted in duplicate and mailed or hand-delivered to the Dockets Unit, room 8421, U.S. Department of Transportation, Research and Special Programs Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Identify the docket and notice numbers stated in the heading of this notice. All comments and materials cited in this document will be available for inspection and copying in room 8421 between 8:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. each business day. Non-federal employee visitors are admitted to the DOT headquarters building through the southwest quadrant at Seventh and E Streets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mike Israni, (202) 366-4571, regarding the subject matter of this document, or the Dockets Unit (202) 366-4453, for copies of this document or other information in the docket.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a petition dated June 23, 1995, API submitted a risk-based alternative to the pressure testing rule and requested that RSPA delay implementation of the rule until the API proposal has been given full consideration. A copy of the API proposal is available in the docket. API urged that the rule on pressure testing older hazardous liquid and carbon dioxide pipelines presents an opportunity to apply a risk-based approach to pressure testing, and proposed a risk-based alternative to the final rule issued on June 7, 1994. API argued that its proposal would allow operators to focus resources and effect a greater reduction in the overall risk from pipeline accidents. API has requested a high priority be placed on reviewing their proposal because of the compliance dates for the pressure testing rule. In addition, RSPA has received a few phone calls and requests

of waiver of compliance with the June 7, 1994 final rule.

Because RSPA has been working actively with the pipeline industry to develop a risk management framework for pipeline regulations, RSPA wants to evaluate the API proposal carefully. RSPA realizes that substantial planning is required before pressure testing older pipelines. Operators will need time to prepare pipeline systems for testing and to arrange for personnel and equipment to conduct the tests. System changes and actual testing must be coordinated with operations to minimize the impact on refineries, distributors, and users of the transported products. Also, operators need time to assure that testing is done safely, with the least environmental risk, and in accordance with applicable Federal and State regulations.

Thus, RSPA is proposing to extend the time for compliance to allow evaluation of the API petition. Although the comment period on this proposed extension is limited to thirty days, RSPA recognizes that a final rule cannot be published well in advance of the current compliance date of December 7, 1995. Thus, in order to prevent imposing an undue burden on operators of pipelines which would have to prepare the plans anyway because of late issuance of the final rule, RSPA announces that it will not enforce the December 7, 1995, compliance date prior to a final rule on this notice. RSPA is issuing this NPRM with less than 60 days notice because of the limited time available between this date and December 7, 1995.

Impact Assessment

This notice proposes to extend the time for compliance of the final rule establishing regulations for pressure testing older hazardous liquid and carbon dioxide pipelines published on June 7, 1994, for one year, so there is no additional cost to comply with these rules. This proposed rule is considered to be non-significant under Executive Order 12286, and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). This extension does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation. Also, based on the facts available concerning the impact of this proposed rule, I certify under section 606 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act that it does not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. This action has been analyzed under the criteria of Executive Order 12612 (52 FR 41685) and found not to warrant preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 195

Anhydrous ammonia, Carbon dioxide, Petroleum, Pipeline safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

In consideration of the foregoing, RSPA proposes to amend part 195 of title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 195—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 195 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 60102, 60104, 60108, 60109; and 49 CFR 1.53.

2. Section 195.302 (c)(1) is revised to read as follows:

§ 195.302 General requirements.

* * * * *

(c) Except for onshore pipelines that transport HVL, the following compliance deadlines apply to pipelines under paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2)(i) of this section that have not been pressure tested under this subpart:

(1) Before December 7, 1996, for each pipeline each operator shall—

(i) Plan and schedule testing according to this paragraph; or

(ii) Establish the pipeline's maximum operating pressure under § 195.406(a)(5).

* * * * *

Issued in Washington, D.C. on October 17, 1995.

Richard B. Felder,

Associate Administrator for Pipeline Safety.

[FR Doc. 95-26050 Filed 10-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration****50 CFR Part 646**

[I.D. 101095B]

Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic; Public Scoping Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Public scoping meetings.

SUMMARY: The South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) is holding two public scoping meetings to solicit comments on the sale of fish (all species) caught under the recreational bag limits established by the Council's fishery management plans (FMPs) and on the issue of recreational catch and the commercial bycatch of wreckfish

under the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic (Snapper-Grouper FMP).

DATES: The public scoping meetings are scheduled to begin at 6:30 p.m. on Monday, October 23, 1995, in Wilmington, NC 28401, and will end when all business is completed. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for additional information on the scoping meetings.

ADDRESSES: The public scoping meetings will be held in conjunction with the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council public meetings to be held October 22-27, 1995, at the Coast Line Inn, 503 Nutt Street, Wilmington, NC 28401; telephone: (800) 763-2800.

Requests for copies of public scoping documents should be sent to the Council at the following address: South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, One Southpark Circle, Suite 306, Charleston, SC 29407-4699.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert K. Mahood, Council Executive Director; telephone: (803) 571-4366; fax: (803) 769-4520.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: At the first scoping meeting, comments will be solicited on the sale of fish caught under the recreational bag limits for all species as established by the Council's FMPs. The Council has considered this issue on numerous occasions over the past several years, and both commercial and recreational fishermen have expressed concern about this matter. Currently, all of the Council's FMPs allow for the sale of fish taken in a legal bag limit. The issue regarding the sale of fish caught under bag limits involves several considerations including: (1) The definitions of recreational and commercial fishermen, (2) the ethical question of a "recreational" fisherman selling his catch, and (3) the impacts of selling fish caught under an FMP-established bag limit on an FMP-established commercial quota for the same species. The Council will consider prohibiting the sale of fish caught by recreational anglers. The Council is inviting, and will consider, the views of recreational and commercial fishermen and other interested persons on this matter prior to taking any formal and final action. The Council is particularly interested in hearing about the possible impacts of prohibiting the sale of recreationally-caught fish.

At the second scoping meeting, which will follow the first meeting, comments will be solicited on wreckfish caught by recreational fishermen and on the commercial bycatch of wreckfish outside of the Blake Plateau.

Amendments 3 and 4 to the Snapper Grouper FMP established a management program for wreckfish in the South Atlantic region. A regulatory adjustment framework measure was also included in the Snapper-Grouper FMP allowing the Council to set total allowable catch each year and at the same time consider other possible management options. Amendment 5 to the Snapper Grouper FMP established an individual transferrable quota (ITQ) system in the wreckfish fishery that allows only ITQ shareholders to land and sell wreckfish, and allows only permitted dealers to handle wreckfish and to buy wreckfish from ITQ shareholders.

Recent reports have indicated that wreckfish are being caught by recreational fishermen fishing primarily for red grouper off Key West, FL, and that commercial snapper-grouper fishermen, especially off south Florida, are observing an occasional wreckfish bycatch in their fishery. These reports do not indicate the catch frequency or poundage, catch disposition, nor the number of fishermen targeting wreckfish.

The Council is considering the following management options for regulating this fishery: (1) No action (i.e., continue to prohibit the taking or landing of wreckfish in the South Atlantic region except by ITQ shareholders; (2) set a recreational bag limit of one or two fish per fisherman per trip; (3) set a recreational bag limit of one or two fish per boat per trip; (4) set a recreational bag limit of one or two fish per boat per day; (5) set an undetermined recreational bag limit; (6) set a bag limit of one or two fish per boat per trip for commercial fishermen in the South Atlantic region who are not wreckfish ITQ shareholders; (7) set a bag limit of one or two fish per boat per day for commercial fishermen in the South Atlantic region who are not wreckfish ITQ shareholders; (8) set a bag limit of one or two fish per boat per trip for commercial fishermen in the south Florida area who are not wreckfish ITQ shareholders; (9) set a bag limit of one to two fish per boat per day for commercial fishermen in the south Florida area who are not wreckfish ITQ shareholders; (10) allow for an undetermined commercial bag limit in the South Atlantic region; and (11) allow for an undetermined commercial bag limit only in the South Florida area.

Written public comments on the subjects of the scoping meetings, as well as any Council scoping documents made available to the public, may be submitted to the Council from the time of the scoping meetings until such time as the Council has prepared appropriate