

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food and Consumer Service

Food Stamp Program: Collection Requirements Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

AGENCY: Food and Consumer Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the requirements of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Food and Consumer Service (FCS), United States Department of Agriculture, is publishing for public comment this summary of proposed information collection. Responses will be either summarized or included in the request for OMB approval and will become a matter of public record.

DATES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection must be received by December 22, 1995 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Send comments and requests for copies of the information collection form and instructions to the Quality Control Policy Section; Quality Control Branch; Program Accountability Division; Food and Consumer Service, USDA; 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 904; Alexandria, Virginia, 22302.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Knaus, Chief; Quality Control Branch; Program Accountability Division; Food and Consumer Service, USDA; 3101 Park Center Drive, Room 904; Alexandria, Virginia, 22302; (703) 305-2474.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: *Type of Information Collection Request:* Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired; *Title of Information Collection:* Integrated Quality Control Review Schedule; *Form No.:* FCS-380-1; *Use:* The Integrated Review Schedule is jointly developed

and used by the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), and the Food and Consumer Service (FCS). It is designed to collect both quality control (QC) data and case characteristics and to serve as the comprehensive data entry form for all QC reviews in the Aid to Families With Dependent Children (AFDC), Food Stamp (FS) and Medicaid programs.

Frequency: On occasion;
Affected Public: Individual households, State and local government;
Number of Respondents: 63,419;
Total Annual Hours: 64,916.

Dated: October 6, 1995.
William E. Ludwig,
Administrator, Food and Consumer Service.
[FR Doc. 95-26096 Filed 10-20-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-30-U

Rural Utilities Service

Notice of Request for Reinstatement and Revision of an Information Collection

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, USDA.
ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Rural Utilities Service's (RUS) intention to request a reinstatement and revision of an information collection.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by December 22, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Walter L. Petty, Jr., Assistant Chief, Distance Learning Telemedicine Branch, Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 14th and Independence Avenue SW., AG Box 1701, Washington, DC 20250. Telephone: (202) 690-0419. Fax: (202) 720-2734.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Title: Distance Learning and Medical Link Grant Program.

OMB Number: 0572-0096.
Type of Request: Reinstatement and revision of an information collection.

Abstract: The Rural Utilities Service (RUS) implements a program that provides grants to rural community facilities, such as schools, hospitals, and medical centers, to encourage, improve, and make affordable the use of advanced telecommunications and

computer networks to provide educational and medical benefits to people living in rural areas and to improve rural access to reliable facsimile, document and data transmission, multi-frequency tone signaling services, 911 emergency service with automatic number identification, interactive audio and visual transmissions, voice mail services designed to record, store, and retrieve voice messages, and other advanced telecommunication services. RUS awards grants to projects that will improve the quality of life of people residing in rural areas by improving their access to improved educational, training, and medical services; and, their access to opportunities that rely on these advanced communication and information technologies to provide such services. RUS funds up to 80 percent of any project selected, and requires at least a 20 percent matching contribution from the applicant.

In order for the public to receive the benefits of this program, they need to submit an application and the supporting information for RUS to determine if they meet the eligibility requirements. The Distance Learning and Medical Link Grant Program regulation (7 CFR 1703 D, 58 FR 11507) establishes the method of selecting projects to receive grants, the method of allocating the available funds, the method of determining the beneficiaries of the program, the requirements for the application to be submitted to RUS, the method of notifying potential applicants of maximum and minimum amounts of grant funds that will be considered for a single application, and the requirements for qualifying for expedited telephone loan consideration and determination.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 27.25 hours per response.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit and non-profit institutions.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 150.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 1842.50.

Copies of this information collection, and related forms and instructions, can be obtained from Walter L. Petty, Jr., Distance Learning Telemedicine Branch, at (202) 690-0419.

Comments: Send comments regarding this burden estimate, including suggestions for reducing this burden through the use of automated collection techniques or other information technology, to:

Walter L. Petty, Jr., Assistant Chief, Distance Learning Telemedicine Branch, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Utilities Service, 14th and Independence Avenue SW., AG Box 1701, Washington, DC 20250. Fax: (202) 720-2734.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 13, 1995.

Wally Beyer,

Administrator, Rural Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 95-26102 Filed 10-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-614-801]

Fresh Kiwifruit From New Zealand; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request by the New Zealand Kiwifruit Marketing Board (NZKMB), the respondent in this case, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh kiwifruit from New Zealand. The review covers one exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994.

We preliminarily determine that sales have been made below the foreign market value (FMV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess antidumping duties equal to the difference between the United States price (USP) and the FMV. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 23, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz or Thomas F. Futtner, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4195 or 482-3814, respectively.

Applicable Statute

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 2, 1992, the Department published the antidumping duty order on fresh kiwifruit from New Zealand (57 FR 23203). On June 7, 1994, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of this antidumping duty order for the period June 1, 1993, through May 31, 1994 (59 FR 29411). We received a timely request for review by the respondent, NZKMB. On July 15, 1994, the Department initiated a review of NZKMB (59 FR 36160). The period of review (POR) is June 1, 1993 through May 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

The product covered by this review is fresh kiwifruit. Processed kiwifruit, including fruit jams, jellies, pastes, purees, mineral waters, or juices made from or containing kiwifruit, are not covered under the scope of this review. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under subheading 0810.90.20.60 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). Although the HTS number is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Verification

As provided in section 776(b) of the Tariff Act, we verified information provided by the respondent by using standard verification procedures, including onsite inspection of the grower's/seller's facilities, the examination of relevant sales and financial records, and selection of original documentation containing relevant information. Our verification results are outlined in the public versions of the verification reports.

United States Price

In calculating USP, the Department treated certain sales by the respondent as exporter's sales price (ESP) sales, as provided in section 772(c) of the Tariff Act. These sales to the United States by NZKMB were made to the first unrelated party in the United States after importation, and hence warranted ESP methodology.

We calculated ESP based on packed F.O.B. (ex-New Zealand coolstore), and packed F.O.B., freight-prepaid prices. We made deductions, where appropriate, for New Zealand inland freight (coolstore to port), loading charges in New Zealand, ocean freight, basic marine insurance, charter insurance, U.S. import duties, U.S. brokerage and handling, U.S. inland freight (decreased to account for prepaid freight where applicable), and price discounts (i.e., advertising allowances, special advertising allowances, market adjustment discounts, advertising rebates which actually constituted discounts, and discounts for quality problems). In accordance with sections 772(e)(1) and (2) of the Tariff Act, we made additional deductions, where appropriate, for agent commissions, broker commissions, credit, direct advertising, and indirect selling expenses. Indirect selling expenses included inventory carrying costs, repacking, U.S. primary and U.S. satellite coolstore charges, New Zealand and U.S. instore insurance, fire insurance, product liability and tamper insurance, earthquake insurance, indirect advertising, quality control expenses, miscellaneous selling-agent-related charges, other U.S.-incurred indirect expenses, and other New Zealand-incurred indirect selling expenses associated with selling in the United States. We increased the U.S. price to account for post sale price adjustments not reflected in the gross price.

As provided in section 772(b) of the Tariff Act, we used purchase price as the U.S. price for sales made directly by the NZKMB to unrelated customers in the United States prior to importation. Deductions were made, where appropriate, for ocean freight, foreign inland freight, and inland/marine insurance in accordance with section 772(d)(2) of the Tariff Act.

Foreign Market Value

In order to determine whether there were sufficient sales of kiwifruit in the home market to serve as a viable basis for calculating FMV, we compared the volume of home market sales of kiwifruit by NZKMB to its volume of