

information or access, plus a cost ceiling, if any.

To request EQB information from the main or local Public Document Reading Rooms, follow the normal procedures for information requests described in 10 CFR Part 9. Be aware that the information at the Reading Rooms are many pages in length and in computer printout only.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank Collins, M/S O10-D22, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington D.C. 20555, Telephone (301) 415-3173.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of October, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Stuart A. Richards,
Chief, Operator Licensing Branch, Division of Reactor Controls and Human Factors, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
[FR Doc. 95-26143 Filed 10-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-245-OLA; ASLBP No. 96-711-011-OLA]

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the Federal Register, 37 F.R. 28710 (1972), and Section 2.105, 2.700, 2.702, 2.714, 2.714a, 2.717 and 2.721 of the Commission's Regulations, all as amended, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is being established in the following proceeding to rule on petitions for leave to intervene and/or requests for hearing and to preside over the proceeding in the event that a hearing is ordered.

Northeast Nuclear Energy Company
Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1

This Board is being established pursuant to a notice published by the Commission on August 30, 1995, in the Federal Register (60 F.R. 45180). The notice issued by the NRC staff was a no significant hazards determination with respect to a proposed license amendment request by Northeast Nuclear Energy Company that would change the Technical Specifications on refueling operation for Unit 1 of the Millstone plant. The petitioners, We The People, the Seacoast Anti-Pollution League, the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution and Donald Delcore, seek to intervene and request a hearing on the grounds that the change would present a significant increase in the risk probability of an accident.

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges:

Thomas S. Moore, Chairman, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Richard F. Cole, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Peter S. Lam, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

All correspondence, documents and other materials shall be filed with the Judges in accordance with 10 CFR 2.701.

Issued at Bethesda, Maryland, this 16th day of October 1995.

B. Paul Cotter, Jr.,
Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.
[FR Doc. 95-26144 Filed 10-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Circulars, etc.; A-76

AGENCY: Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President.

ACTION: Notice of request for comments on the proposed revision to the OMB Circular No. A-76 "Performance of Commercial Activities," *Revised Supplemental Handbook.*

SUMMARY: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is seeking agency and public comments on its proposed revision to the Supplemental Handbook issued as a part of its August 1983 OMB Circular No. A-76, "Performance of Commercial Activities." Circular No. A-76 was originally published in the August 16, 1983, Federal Register, at pages 37110-37116.

The proposed revision seeks the most cost-effective means of obtaining commercial support services and provides new administrative flexibility in the Government's make or buy decision process. The revision modifies and, in some cases, eliminates cost comparison requirements; reduces reporting and other administrative burdens; provides for enhanced employee participation and reviews; eases transition requirements to facilitate employee placement; maintains the level playing field for cost comparisons between Federal and private sector offers; and seeks to improve oversight to ensure that the

most cost effective decision is implemented. The proposed revision improves upon existing guidance by clarifying provisions that may have made the cost comparison process unnecessarily difficult or lead to less than optimal outcomes.

DATES: To ensure consideration of all comments on the proposal set forth by this notice, comments must be in writing and received not later than December 15, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to: Mr. David C. Childs, Program Examiner, NEOB Room 6104, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503.

AVAILABILITY: Copies of the proposed Revised Supplemental Handbook may be obtained by contacting the Office of Administration, Publications Office, Washington, D.C. 20503, at (202) 395-7332, or FAX (202) 395-6137. This document is also accessible on the U.S. Department of Commerce's FedWorld network under the "OMB Library of Files." The Telnet address for FedWorld via the Internet is *fedworld.gov*. The address (URL) for the World Wide Web is <http://www.fedworld.gov/ftp.htm#omb>. For ftp access, <ftp://fwux.fedworld.gov/pub/omb/omb.htm>. The telephone number for the FedWorld help desk is (703) 487-4608.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David C. Childs, Program Examiner, NEOB Room 6104, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503, Telephone Number: (202) 395-6104, FAX Number (202) 395-7230.

John Koskinen,
Deputy Director for Management.
[FR Doc. 95-26174 Filed 10-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-36375; File No. SR-CHX-95-22]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Stock Exchange, Incorporated Related to a Technical Correction to Rule 16 of Article XXXIV

October 16, 1995.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on September 28, 1995, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Incorporated ("CHX" or "Securities")

filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. On October 10, 1995 the Exchange submitted Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.¹ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange, pursuant to Rule 19b-4 of the Act, proposes to make a technical correction to Rule 16 of Article XXXIV of the CHX's rules relating to the utilization of exempt credit by market makers.

II. Self-regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed change is to make a technical change to Interpretations and Policies .02, Rule 16, Article XXXIV. Presently, Interpretations and Policies .02 to Rule 16 of Article XXXIV incorrectly indicates that the Best System is described in Rule 34 of Article XX.² The Best System is actually described in Rule 37 of Article XX. This proposed rule change corrects the incorrect cross-reference.

¹ See letter from David Rusoff, Foley & Lardner, to Glen Barrentine, Senior Counsel, SEC, dated October 3, 1995. Amendment No. 1 corrects the original filing by referencing Rule 16 of Article XXXIV as the rule being amended in the filing.

² The BEST System specifies certain conditions under which Exchange specialists are required to accept and guarantee executions of market and limit orders.

2. Statutory Basis

The proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act³ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose a burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

No comments were solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change constitutes a stated policy, practice or interpretation with respect to the meaning, administration or enforcement of an existing rule of the Exchange and therefore has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁴ and subparagraph (e) of Rule 29b-4 thereunder.⁵ At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purpose of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be

³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(e).

available for inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All submissions should refer to file No. SR-CHX-95-22 and should be submitted by November 13, 1995.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary

[FR Doc. 95-26183 Filed 10-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-36381; File No. SR-CBOE-95-38]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Listing and Trading of Warrants on the CBOE Technology 50 Index

October 17, 1995.

On August 1, 1995, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE" or "Exchange") submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to list and trade warrants based on the CBOE Technology 50 Index ("Tech 50 Index" or "Index"). The Exchange subsequently filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposal on August 2, 1995,³ Amendment No. 2 on August 3, 1995,⁴ and Amendment No. 3 on August 29, 1995.⁵

Notice of the proposed rule change and Amendment Nos. 1, 2, and 3 thereto were published for comment and appeared in the Federal Register on

¹ 15 U.S.C. § 78s(b)(1) (1988 & Supp. V 1993).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4 (1994).

³ As a result of the Commission's approval of the Exchange's Generic Warrant Listing Standards (as defined herein), Amendment No. 1 has been rendered moot.

⁴ In Amendment No. 2, as discussed herein, the CBOE amended certain of the objective standards set forth in the section of its proposal entitled "Classification of the Index as Broad-Based." See Letter from Timothy Thompson, CBOE, to Michael Walinskas, SEC, dated August 3, 1995 ("Amendment No. 2").

⁵ In Amendment No. 3, as discussed herein, the Exchange amended the composition of the Index to, in the Exchange's opinion, provide better balance between the technology industry subsectors represented in the Index. See Letter from William Speth, Jr., Senior Research Analyst, Research Department, CBOE, to Brad Ritter, Senior Counsel, SEC, dated August 29, 1995 ("Amendment No. 3").