

calculation of factory overhead was based on statistics provided in the 1994 *RBI* data. Inasmuch as the Department determined that the drawer slides industry is not an energy-intensive industry, the Department included a value for energy in its calculation of the percentage of factory overhead.

The petitioner contends that the respondents failed to provide any support for their conclusion that the energy factor could not reflect a non-energy intensive industry.

DOC Position. We agree with the respondents. The *RBI* statistics on which we relied at the preliminary determination pertain to a broad category of industries, some of which are considered to be energy-intensive (e.g., automobiles, and other transport equipment). In our final determination, we used the actual verified energy consumption figures provided by the respondents, which are specific to the drawer slides industry and more appropriate than energy consumption figures for a more general industry group. To value electricity, we used the average Indian state electricity rates, as published in the June 1994, edition of *Current Energy Scene in India* by the Centre for Monitoring the Indian Economy, Pvt. Ltd. (See, also, concurrence memorandum of October 18, 1995.)

Comment 6: Foreign Inland Freight Expenses for SHEEM

The respondent requests the Department to revise its calculation of foreign inland freight. SHEEM contends that the Department incorrectly calculated foreign inland freight in the preliminary determination by computing a cost based on an amount of actual inland freight paid on a single shipment. SHEEM argues that instead of using the cost of a single shipment, the Department should use either SHEEM's actual freight expenses as reported to the Department or a surrogate country cost to value foreign inland freight.

DOC Position. We agree with the respondent. For all shipments made during the POI, SHEEM used one freight forwarding company to handle both the shipment from the factory to the Guangzhou port and the shipment from the Guangzhou port to Hong Kong. Because the transportation services were sourced from a company which is located in China and is a joint venture company between a Chinese company and a Hong Kong company, we conclude that the inland transportation charges SHEEM paid do not reflect a market economy based price (see, *Ferrovandium from Russia*). Therefore, in our final determination, we applied

a surrogate country cost to value foreign inland freight for all U.S. sales made during the POI.

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d)(1) and 735(c)(4)(B) of the Act, we are directing the Customs Service to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of drawer slides from the PRC that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, on or after June 5, 1995, which is the date of publication of our notice of preliminary determination in the Federal Register. The imports of subject merchandise that are sold by Taiming, SHEEM, and GDMC and manufactured by producers whose factors formed the basis for the zero margin will be excluded from an antidumping duty order should one be issued. Under the Department's NME methodology, the zero rate for each exporter is based on a comparison of the exporter's U.S. price and FMV based on the factors of production of a specific producer (which may be a different party). The exclusion, therefore, applies only to subject merchandise sold by the exporter and manufactured by that specific producer. Merchandise that is sold by the exporter but manufactured by other producers will be subject to the order, if one is issued. The Customs Service shall require a cash deposit or posting of a bond equal to the estimated amount by which the FMV exceeds the USP as shown below. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

The weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/manufacturer	Weighted-average margin percentage
Taiming/Taiming	0.00
Taiming/Any other manufacturer	55.69
SHEEM/SHEEM	0.00
SHEEM/Any other manufacturer	55.69
GDMC/Second Experimental Workshop	0.00
GDMC/Any other manufacturer	55.69
"PRC-Wide" Rate	55.69

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the ITC of our determination. As our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will determine whether these imports are causing material injury, or threat of material injury, to the U.S. industry within 45 days. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material

injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or cancelled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing Customs officials to assess antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

This determination is published pursuant to section 735(d) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.20(a)(4).

Dated: October 18, 1995.
 Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
 [FR Doc. 95-26328 Filed 10-23-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Modernization Transition Committee (MTC)

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting.

TIME AND DATE: October 30, 1995 from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

PLACE: This meeting will take place at the DoubleTree Hotel, 1750 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD, 20852.

STATUS: The meeting will be open to the public. There will be a public comment period from 2:30-3:30 p.m. Seating is available for approximately 50 people.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: This meeting will cover: the Secretary of Commerce's Team Report on Adequacy of NEXRAD Coverage and Degradation of Weather Services under the National Weather Service Modernization for 32 Areas of Concern.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Ms. Julie Scanlon, National Weather Service, Modernization Staff, 1325 East-West Highway, SSMC2 #9332, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910. Telephone: (301) 713-1413.

Dated: October 19, 1995.
 Nicholas R. Scheller,
Manager, National Implementation Staff.
 [FR Doc. 95-26349 Filed 10-23-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-12-M

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Amendment Export Visa Requirements for Certain Silk Apparel and Cotton, Wool, Man-Made Fiber, Silk Blend and Other Vegetable Fiber Textiles and Textile Products Produced or Manufactured in the People's Republic of China

October 18, 1995.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs providing for the use of export licenses/commercial invoices printed on light green guilloche paper.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Aldrich, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-4212.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended; section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854).

The Governments of the United States and the People's Republic of China have agreed to amend the existing export visa requirements to provide for the use of export licenses/commercial invoices, issued by the Government of the People's Republic of China, for shipments of goods produced or manufactured in China and exported from China on and after January 1, 1996, which are printed on light green guilloche patterned background paper. The light green form replaces the purple export license/commercial invoice currently in use. The visa stamp is not being changed at this time. The Chinese Embassy in Washington, DC, will continue to issue the white pre-printed replacement visa now in use.

Shipments of textile and apparel products which are produced or manufactured in China and exported from China during the period January 1, 1996 through February 28, 1996 may be accompanied by a visa printed on either the purple or light green background paper.

See 59 FR 35324, published on July 11, 1994; and 60 FR 22567, published on May 8, 1995.

D. Michael Hutchinson,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

October 18, 1995.

Commissioner of Customs,
Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directives issued to you on July 5, 1994 and May 3, 1995, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. Those directives establish export visa arrangements for certain silk apparel and cotton, wool, man-made fiber, silk blend, and other vegetable fiber textiles and textile products, produced or manufactured in the People's Republic of China.

Effective on January 1, 1996, you are directed to amend the July 5, 1994 and May 3, 1995 directives to provide for the use of export licenses/commercial invoices issued by the Government of the People's Republic of China which are printed on light green guilloche patterned background paper. The light green form will replace the purple form currently being used. The Chinese Embassy in Washington, DC, will continue to issue the white pre-printed replacement visa now in use.

To facilitate implementation of this amendment to the export licensing system, I request that you permit entry of textile products, produced or manufactured in China and exported from China during the period January 1, 1996 through February 28, 1996, for which the Government of the People's Republic of China has issued either a purple or light green export license/commercial invoice.

Goods exported on and after March 1, 1996 must be accompanied by an export visa issued by the Government of the People's Republic of China on the light green license/invoice form only.

Shipments entered or withdrawn from warehouse according to this directive which are not accompanied by an appropriate export visa shall be denied entry and a new visa must be obtained.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that this action falls within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

D. Michael Hutchinson,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 95-26272 Filed 10-23-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Carbon Monoxide Detectors; Public Hearing

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The Commission will conduct a public hearing on January 23 and 24, 1996, to receive scientific, medical, and other technical information about carbon monoxide (CO) detectors and a voluntary standard for CO detectors. The Commission seeks written submissions and oral presentations from individuals, associations, or firms with substantiated information or technical comments on these topics. The Commission will use the information obtained from this hearing to evaluate an existing voluntary standard for CO detectors and to develop information for consumers about the reliability of CO detectors.

DATES: The hearing will begin at 9:30 a.m. on January 23, 1996, and will conclude on January 24, 1996. Written comments and requests to make oral presentations must be received by the Office of the Secretary not later than January 9, 1996. Persons desiring to make oral presentations at this hearing must submit a written abstract of their presentations not later than January 9, 1996. The Commission reserves the right to limit the number of persons who testify and the duration of their testimony.

ADDRESSES: The hearing will be in room 420 of the East-West Towers Building, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland. Written comments, requests to make oral presentations, and abstracts of oral presentations should be captioned "Carbon Monoxide Detectors" and mailed to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, D.C. 20207, or delivered to that office, room 502, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about the purpose or subject matter of the hearing, call or write Elizabeth Leland, Directorate for Economic Analysis, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, D.C. 20207; telephone (301) 504-0962, extension 1321. For information about the schedule for submission of written comments, requests to make oral presentations, and submission of abstracts of oral presentations, call or write Rockelle Hammond, Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, D.C. 20207;