

comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal (including alternative routes), and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please follow the instructions below to ensure that your comments are received and properly recorded:

- Address your letter to: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., NE, Washington, DC 20426;
- Reference Docket No. CP95-700-000;
- Send a *copy* of your letter to: Ms. Jennifer Goggin, EA Project Manager, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., NE, Room 7312, Washington, DC 20426; and
- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, DC on or before November 24, 1995.

If you wish to receive a copy of the EA, you should request one from Ms. Goggin at the above address.

Becoming an Intervenor

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding or become an "intervenor". Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of case-related Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide copies of its filings to all other parties. If you want to become an intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) (see appendix 2).

The date for filing timely motions to intervene has passed. Parties seeking to file later interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for later intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your scoping comments considered.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Ms. Jennifer Goggin, EA Project Manager, at (202) 208-2226.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-26784 Filed 10-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ES93-43-007]

Citizens Utilities Company; Notice of Amended Application

October 24, 1995.

Take notice that on October 20, 1995, Citizens Utilities Company (Citizens Utilities) filed an amendment to its application in Docket No. ES93-43-000 *et al.*

By letter orders dated September 7, 1993 (64 FERC ¶ 62,167) and November 2, 1993 (65 FERC ¶ 62,111), Citizens Utilities was authorized, in Docket No. ES93-43-000 *et al.* to issue not more than:

- (a) \$1.25 billion principal amount of unsecured promissory notes outstanding at any one time;
- (b) \$750 million aggregate principal amount of longer term debt securities with a final maturity or maturities of not less than 9 months nor more than 50 years; and
- (c) 25 million shares of Common Stock of Citizens Utilities (subject to adjustment for stock splits, stock dividends, recapitalizations and similar changes after the date of the application, including an adjustment to 50 million shares of common stock as a result of an announced 2 for 1 stock split) and \$300 million liquidation value of preferred stock of Citizens Utilities, subject to an overall limitation of \$500 million for the aggregate of the proceeds of the issuance of Common and Preferred Stock.

The aggregate amount outstanding at any one time of the securities issued under (a), (b) and (c) was limited to \$1.25 billion.

Citizens Utilities requests that the authorization granted in Docket No. ES93-43-000 *et al.* be amended to authorize Citizens to issue up to \$800 million of debt securities in lieu of \$750 million without changing the aggregate \$1.25 billion authorization.

Citizens requests the amendment because it does not have sufficient unused borrowing authority to issue up to \$213 million of convertible debentures for which authorization is pending before the Commission in Docket No. ES93-43-006.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before October 31, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party

must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-26795 Filed 10-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ES85-5-002]

El Paso Electric Company; Notice of Amended Application

October 24, 1995.

Take notice that on October 20, 1995, El Paso Electric Company (El Paso) made a filing requesting that the Commission amend the authorization granted in Docket No. ES85-5-000.

By letter order dated November 27, 1984 (29 FERC ¶ 62,270), El Paso was authorized:

(A) To assume liability for the payment of not more than \$150 million of pollution control refunding bonds (PCRB) to be issued by the Maricopa County, Arizona Pollution Control Corporation (the "Authority") for the purpose of financing the costs to El Paso of the acquisition and construction of pollution control facilities at the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station in Maricopa, Arizona, including the refunding of outstanding short-term pollution control bonds theretofore issued on behalf of El Paso by the Authority;

(B) To issue second mortgage bonds in principal amount equal to the principal amount of pollution control bonds to be issued by the Authority, such second mortgage bonds to be issued as collateral security for El Paso's obligation of payment of such pollution control bonds; and

(C) To take all such action and execute and deliver all such instruments, documents, agreements and indentures as shall be necessary or appropriate in order to consummate the financing.

In original application contemplated that, as a condition to the issuance and sale of the PCRBs, a national banking association would be required to issue and deliver to the Trustee of the PCRBs, an irrevocable letter of credit as a financial support facility for El Paso's payment obligation under the PCRBs. Pursuant to the Commission's Order, Credit Suisse issued a letter of credit in support of the issuance of \$37,100,000 of the PCRBs. The letter of credit is due to expire on December 21, 1995.

In its October 20, 1995 amendment, El Paso requests authorization to enter into extensions of the existing letter of credit

issued by Credit Suisse, or to enter into replacement letters of credit with the same or different financial institutions, through the remaining term of the Maricopa County Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, 1985 Series E (\$37,100,000 principal amount), and to undertake any necessary and appropriate action in connection with any such extensions or replacements for the letter of credit. El Paso also requests that the amendment be exempted from the Commission's competitive bidding and negotiated placement requirements.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before October 31, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-26796 Filed 10-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-422-001]

Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership; Notice of Transporter's Use Compliance Filing

October 24, 1995.

Take notice that on September 21, 1995, Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership (Great Lakes), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an original and six copies of its detailed workpapers supporting its monthly Transporter's Use percentage for October, 1995, in compliance with the Commission's September 15, 1995, Order on Compliance Filing in Docket No. RP95-422-000.

Great Lakes stated in its filing that the rolling-in of the Transporter's Use requirements of incremental shippers with that of the non-incremental shippers did result in a substantial net reduction in the Transporter's Use requirements for non-incremental shippers. Great Lakes stated that the workpapers show that for the month of October, 1995, Great Lakes did reflect

the fuel savings resulting from the roll-in of the expansion facilities.

Great Lakes also noted in its filing that the maximum Transporter's Use percentages set out in the tariff sheets are not the percentages actually used for determining the monthly requirements of its shippers. The actual Transporter's Use percentage required to be provided by the shippers is determined in accordance with the percentages posted on the electronic bulletin board every month in accordance with Section 4.3 of the General Terms and Conditions. These amounts are within the maximum and minimum shown on the tariff sheets, thus no adjustment to the tariff sheet maximum percentages is required to roll-in these savings.

Great Lakes states that a copy of the filing and attached workpapers were served on all parties on the Official Service List maintained by the Secretary in these proceedings.

All parties to the proceedings in Docket No. RP95-422-000, *et al.* are automatically parties to this proceeding. Any other person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214. All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before November 1, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to this proceeding. Any persons wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file and available for public inspection in the public inspection room. Pursuant to Rule 602.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-26798 Filed 10-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. CP66-111-003 and CP96-26-000]

Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership; Notice of Application

October 24, 1995.

Take notice that, on October 17, 1995, Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership (Great Lakes), One Woodward Avenue, Suite 1600, Detroit, Michigan 48226, filed an application in Docket No. CP66-111-003, pursuant to § 153.10 through § 153.12 of the Commission's Regulations and

Executive Order No. 10485 (as amended by Executive Order No. 12038 and Secretary of Energy Delegation Order No. 0204-112), to amend its Presidential Permit, and in Docket No. CP96-26-000, for authorization under Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act to construct, connect, operate, and maintain 1,500 feet of 36-inch loop pipeline at the U.S.-Canadian international boundary adjacent to St. Clair County, Michigan, at an approximate cost of \$3.9 million, all as more fully set forth in the application that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Great Lakes' loop pipeline is proposed to begin at its milepost 972.92 and terminate at the U.S.-Canadian international boundary, at the midpoint of the St. Clair River, where Great Lakes' loop pipeline will interconnect with facilities to be owned and operated by TransCanada Pipeline Limited (TransCanada). Great Lakes also proposes a November 1, 1996 in-service date for its proposed loop pipeline.

Great Lakes states that the proposed facilities will be used to provide 50,000 Mcf/day of winter firm transportation service for TransCanada, pursuant to Great Lakes' Rate Schedule FT. Great Lakes further states that its proposed facilities, along with the additional facilities TransCanada will build on its own system, will provide TransCanada with additional reliability and security for its existing facilities crossing the St. Clair River.

Any person desiring to be heard, or to make any protest with reference to said application should, on or before November 14, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, 20426, a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or § 385.211) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 3 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the