# 3. Administrative Capability

- a. The managerial expertise of the applicant as evidenced by the variety and complexity of programs it has administered over the past five years.
- b. The experience of the applicant in administering Federal and/or State grants.
- d. The completeness of the application, including budget detail, narrative and workplans.

## 4. Budget

- a. The reasonableness of the budget in relation to the proposed study.
- b. The compliance of the budget, with Federal cost principles contained in applicable OMB Circulars.

# Availability of Funds

There is approximately \$500,000 available for this grant which will be awarded for a six-month period.

#### Notification of Selection

Following review and evaluation, an organization will be selected and will be notified by a representative of the Assistant Secretary. Any applicant whose proposal is not selected will be notified in writing to that effect. Notice of selection as a potential grant recipient will not constitute approval of the grant application as submitted. Prior to the actual grant award, representatives of the potential grant recipient and OSHA will enter into negotiations concerning such items as review guidelines, final funding levels, and administrative systems. If negotiations do not result in an acceptable submittal, the Assistant Secretary reserves the right to terminate the negotiation and decline to fund the proposal.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of October, 1995.

Joseph A. Dear,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-26685 Filed 10-27-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-26-M

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Notice of Pending Submittal to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review

**AGENCY:** U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review or continued approval of information collection under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

- The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Parts 20 Standards for Protection Against Radiation.
- 2. Current OMB approval number: 3150–0014.
- 3. How often is the collection required: Annually.
- 4. Who is required or asked to report: NRC licensees.
  - 5. The number of respondents: 773.
- 6. The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 209,605.
- 7. Abstract: 10 CFR Part 20 establishes standards for protection against ionizing radiation resulting from activities conducted under licenses issued by the NRC. These standards in part require the establishment of radiation protection programs, the maintenance of radiation records, the recording of radiation received by workers, the reporting of incidents which could cause exposure to radiation and the submittal of an annual report to NRC of the results of individual monitoring. These mandatory requirements are needed to protect occupationally exposed individuals from undue risks of excessive exposure to ionizing radiation and to protect the health and safety of the public.

Submit, by December 29, 1995, comments that address the following questions:

- 1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
  - 2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
- 3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
- 4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555–0001. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC area can access this document via modem on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advanced Copy Document Library) NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703–321–3339. Members of the public located outside of the Washington, DC area can dial

FedWorld, 1–800–303–9672, or use the FedWorld internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). This document will be available on the bulletin board for 30 days after the signature date of this notice. If assistance is needed in accessing the document, please contact the FedWorld help desk at 703–487–4608.

Comments and questions can be directed the NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda J. Shelton, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, T–6 F33, Washington, DC, 20555–0001 or by telephone at (301) 415–7233, or by Internet electronic mail at BJS1@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of October, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gerald F. Cranford,

Designated Senior Official, for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 95–26806 Filed 10–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

#### [Docket No. 50-213]

# Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company (the licensee) to withdraw its August 3, 1995, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-61 for the Haddam Neck Plant, located in Middlesex County, Connecticut.

The proposed amendment would have revised the facility technical specifications pertaining to the water temperature of the ultimate heat sink.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the Federal Register on August 30, 1995 (60 FR 45179). However, by letter dated October 12, 1995, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated August 3, 1995, and the licensee's letter dated October 12, 1995, which withdrew the application for license amendment. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Russell Library, 123 Broad Street, Middletown, CT 06457.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of October 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Alan B. Wang,

Project Manager, Project Directorate I-3, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–26804 Filed 10–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## **Atomic Safety and Licensing Board**

[Docket No. 50–160–Ren ASLBP No. 95– 704–01–Ren; Docket No. 50–160–OM ASLBP No. 95–710–01–OM]

Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA; Georgia Tech Research Reactor; Renewal of Facility License No. R–97 and Order Modifying Facility Operating License No. R–97

October 24, 1995.

Before Administrative Judges: Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman, Dr. Jerry R. Kline, Dr. Peter S. Lam.

#### **Notice of Prehearing Conferences**

Notice is hereby given that prehearing conferences will be held in each of these proceedings, on Wednesday, November 15, 1995, beginning at 9:30 a.m., at the Richard B. Russell Federal Building, Room 224, 75 Spring St., Atlanta, Georgia.

The conference in the license-renewal proceeding will amount to a status conference, authorized by 10 CFR 2.752, following issuance by the Commission on October 12, 1995 of CLI-95-12, which upheld our earlier decision in LBP-95-6 that Georgians Against Nuclear Energy (GANE) has standing to participate in this proceeding and that its contention 9 is admissible. (Contention 5 has been remanded to us and we are preparing a decision on the mootness of that contention.) Matters to be discussed will include discovery matters, including several motions as to which we deferred decision pending the Commission's resolution of the appeals before it, identification of potential witnesses, and future schedules.

The matters to be discussed in the fuel proceeding (which is an enforcement proceeding governed by 10 CFR 2.200 et seq.) are similar to those normally discussed at an initial prehearing conference authorized by 10 CFR 2.751a for licensing proceedings, including GANE's standing, the technical bases for its single proposed contention and other matters pertinent to the proceeding.

Members of the public are invited to attend the conferences but may not otherwise participate in the proceeding.

Dated: October 24, 1995.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Boards.

Charles Bechhoefer,

Chairman, Administrative Judge.

[FR Doc. 95–26808 Filed 10–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

#### [Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301]

## Wisconsin Electric Power Company; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 Correction to Notice of Exemption

On July 24, 1995, the Federal Register published the Notice of Exemption from certain technical requirements of Appendix R to 10 CFR Part 50 for Point Beach Nuclear Power, Units 1 and 2. On page 37909, the fifth sentence in the third full paragraph in the third column incorrectly refers to cable tray GCO1-O2 instead of cable tray GGO1-O4. On page 37910, the second sentence in the second full paragraph in the second column incorrectly states the separation between the steam-driven auxiliary feedwater pumps as 29 feet instead of 44 feet. These corrections do not impact the findings providing the basis for granting the exemption.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of October 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Allen G. Hansen.

Project Manager, Project Directorate III-3, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95–26807 Filed 10–27–95; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 7590-01-P$ 

#### **POSTAL RATE COMMISSION**

[Order No. 1086; Docket No. A96-2]

Kinross, Iowa 52250 (Kathleen Enz Allison, et al., Petitioners); Notice and Order Accepting Appeal and Establishing Procedural Schedule Under 39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)

Issued October 25, 1995.

Docket Number: A96–2

Name of Affected Post Office: Kinross, Iowa 52250

Name(s) of Petitioner(s): Kathleen Enz Allison, et al.

Type of Determination: Closing Date of Filing of Appeal Papers: October 20, 1995

Categories of Issues Apparently Raised:

- 1. Effect on postal services [39 U.S.C. 404(b)(2)(C)].
- 2. Effect on the community [39 U.S.C. 404(b)(2)(A)].

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission

may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth above. Or, the Commission may find that the Postal Service's determination disposes of one or more of those issues.

The Postal Reorganization Act requires that the Commission issue its decision within 120 days from the date this appeal was filed (39 U.S.C. 404 (b)(5)). In the interest of expedition, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service to submit memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. If requested, such memoranda will be due 20 days from the issuance of the request and the Postal Service shall serve a copy of its memoranda on the petitioners. The Postal Service may incorporate by reference in its briefs or motions, any arguments presented in memoranda it previously filed in this docket. If necessary, the Commission also may ask petitioners or the Postal Service for more information.

#### The Commission Orders

- (a) The Postal Service shall file the record in this appeal by November 3, 1995.
- (b) The Secretary of the Postal Rate Commission shall publish this Notice and Order and Procedural Schedule in the Federal Register.

By the Commission. Margaret P. Crenshaw, Secretary.

Appendix

October 20, 1995

Filing of Appeal letter

October 25, 1995

Commission Notice and Order of Filing of Appeal

November 14, 1995

Last day of filing of petitions to intervene [see 39 CFR 3001.111(b)]

November 24, 1995

Petitioners' Participant Statement or Initial Brief [see 39 CFR 3001.115 (a) and (b)] December 14, 1995

Postal Service's Answering Brief [see 39 CFR 3001.115(c)]

December 29, 1995

Petitioners' Reply Brief should Petitioner choose to file one [see 39 CFR 3001.115(d)]

January 5, 1996

Deadline for motions by any party requesting oral argument. The Commission will schedule oral argument only when it is a necessary addition to the written filings [see 39 CFR 3001.116] February 17, 1996

Expiration of the Commission's 120-day decisional schedule [see 39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)]

[FR Doc. 95–26878 Filed 10–27–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P