

rehabilitation, effects of the proposal on riparian areas, impacts to fish species, snag management, visual quality of the area, travel corridors/linkages and effects on threatened, endangered and sensitive species. This list will be verified, expanded and/or modified based on the public scoping for this proposal.

The Draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and available for public review in March 1996. At that time, the EPA will publish a Notice of Availability of the Draft EIS in the Federal Register. The comment period on the Draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the Federal Register. It is very important that those interested in management of the Fern Star area participate at that time. To be most helpful, comments on the Draft EIS should be as site-specific as possible. The Final EIS is scheduled to be completed by September 1996.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing

the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

I am the responsible official for this environmental impact statement. My address is Clearwater National Forest, 12730 Highway 12, Orofino, ID 83544.

Dated: October 13, 1995.

James L. Caswell,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 95-26818 Filed 10-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-809]

Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges From India; Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration/
International Trade Administration/
Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of new shipper antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request to conduct a new shipper administrative review of an antidumping duty order with a February anniversary date. In accordance with the Department's Interim Regulations, we are initiating this administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 30, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Holly A. Kuga, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4737.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 25, 1995, the Department received a request, in accordance with section 353.22(h)(3)(i) of the Department's interim regulations, for a new shipper review of an antidumping duty order with a February anniversary date.

Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 353.22(h) of the Department's interim regulations, we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain forged stainless steel flanges from India. We intend to issue the final results of this review not later than 270

days from the date of publication of this notice.

Antidumping duty proceeding	Period to be reviewed
India: Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges A-533- 809 Viraj	03/01/95-08/31/95

We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise in accordance with section 353.22(h)(4) of the Department's interim regulations.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(b).

This initiation and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and section 353.22(h) of the Department's interim regulations.

Dated: October 25, 1995.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 95-26876 Filed 10-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-U

[A-821-803]

Titanium Sponge From Russia; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from two U.S. producers, Oregon Metallurgical Corporation (OREMET) and Titanium Metals Corporation (TIMET), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping finding on titanium sponge from Russia. The review covers four manufacturers/exporters, VILS-All Union Institute of Light Alloys (VILS), Verkhnyaya Salda Metallurgical Production Organization (VSMPO), V/O Techsnabexport (TENEX), and the Berezniki Titanium-Magnesium Works (AVISMA), and exports of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period August 1, 1992 through July 31, 1993.

We have preliminarily determined that respondents did not export