Proposed Rules

Federal Register Vol. 60, No. 210 Tuesday, October 31, 1995

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 982

[Docket No. AO-205-A7; FV94-982-1]

Filberts/Hazelnuts Grown in Oregon and Washington; Secretary's Decision and Referendum Order on Proposed Further Amendment of Marketing Agreement and Order No. 982

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule and referendum order.

SUMMARY: This decision proposes amendments to Marketing Agreement and Order No. 982 (order). The agreement and order regulate the handling of filberts/hazelnuts grown in Oregon and Washington. The proposals would change order provisions regarding: Volume control; nomination and membership of the Filbert/Hazelnut Marketing Board (Board); assessment collections; and the administration and operation of the program. The proposed amendments were submitted by the Board to make the order more consistent with current industry conditions and needs. The Fruit and Vegetable Division (Division), Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS), is proposing conforming and other necessary changes. These proposed amendments are designed to improve order operations.

DATES: A referendum shall be conducted from November 27 through December 15, 1995. The representative period for the purpose of the referendum herein ordered is July 1, 1994, through June 30, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Teresa Hutchinson, Marketing Specialist, Northwest Marketing Field Office, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA, 1220 SW Third Ave., room 369, Portland, OR 97204; telephone (503) 326–2724, FAX (503) 326–7440; or Tom Tichenor, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Division, AMS, USDA, room 2523–S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, D.C. 20090–6456; telephone: 202–720– 6862; FAX 202–720–5698.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Prior documents in this proceeding: Notice of Public Hearing issued on February 24, 1994, and published in the February 28, 1994, issue of the Federal Register (59 FR 9425). Recommended Decision and Opportunity to File Written Exceptions issued on May 24, 1995, and published in the Federal Register on June 7, 1995 (60 FR 30170).

This administrative action is governed by the provisions of sections 556 and 557 of title 5 of the United States Code, and, therefore, is excluded from the requirements of Executive Order 12866.

Preliminary Statement

The proposed amendments were formulated on the record of a public hearing held in Newberg, Oregon, on March 8, 1994, to consider the proposed further amendment of the Marketing Agreement and Order No. 984, regulating the handling of hazelnuts grown in Oregon and Washington, hereinafter referred to collectively as the "order." The hearing was held pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), hereinafter referred to as the "Act", and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR part 900). The Notice of Hearing contained several amendment proposals submitted by the Board established under the order to assist in local administration of the program.

The proposals would: (1) Change the name of the commodity covered under the order from "filberts" to "hazelnuts;" (2) for purposes of volume regulation, establish the trade demand area as the continental United States and allow the Board, with the Secretary's approval, to make changes in the inshell trade acquisition distribution area; (3) change the length of Board members' terms of office and the number of consecutive terms that may be held, make changes in the criteria used for nominating handler members and for weighting handler votes when electing handler nominees, and change the voting procedures used for nominating

members; (4) allow Board telephone votes to remain unconfirmed until the next public Board meeting; (5) remove the "verbatim" reporting requirement on Board marketing policy meetings; (6) provide the Board with some flexibility in recommending final free and restricted percentages; (7) authorize different identification standards for inspected and certified hazelnuts; (8) correct current language that specifies handler credit for ungraded hazelnuts; (9) change the procedures for establishing bonding requirements for deferred restricted obligations and allow the Board to purchase excess restricted credits from handlers; (10) clarify that mail order sales outside the production area are not exempt from order requirements; (11) allow the Board to accept advance assessment payments, provide discounts for such payments, and accept voluntary contributions; and (12) make such changes as are necessary to conform with any amendment that may result from the hearing.

Upon the basis of evidence introduced at the hearing and the record therof, the Administrator of the Africultural Marketing Service (AMS) on June 7, 1995, filed with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, a Recommended Decision and Opportunity to File Written Exceptions thereto by July 7, 1995. None were filed.

Small Business Considerations

In accordance with the provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Administrator of the AMS has determined that this action would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small agricultural service firms, which include handlers regulated under this order, have been defined by the Small Business Administration (SBA) (13 CFR 121.601) as those having annual receipts for the last three years of less than \$5,000,000. Small agricultural producers are defined as those having annual receipts of less than \$500,000.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. Interested persons were invited to present evidence at the hearing on the probable impact that the proposed amendments to the order would have on small businesses. The record indicates that handlers would not be unduly burdened by any additional regulatory requirements, including those pertaining to reporting and recordkeeping, that might result from this proceeding. The record also indicates that a majority of handlers and producers would meet the SBA definitions of small agricultural service firms and small agricultural producers, respectively.

During the 1993–94 marketing year, approximately 25 handlers were regulated under the order. In addition, there were approximately 950 producers of hazelnuts in the production area. The Act requires the application of uniform rules on regulated handlers. Since handlers covered under the order are predominantly small businesses, the order itself is tailored to the size and nature of small businesses. Marketing orders and amendments thereto, are unique in that they are normally brought about through group action of essentially small entities for their own benefit. Thus, both the RFA and the Act are compatible with respect to small entities.

For discussion of the anticipated impact on small businesses, the proposed amendments have been grouped into program categories. Amendments concerning the order's marketing and volume control programs would: Change the name of the commodity to "hazelnuts" (§ 982.4 and every other place it appears in part 982); establish the trade demand area as the continental United States and allow the Board to make changes in the shell trade acquisition area, with approval of the Secretary (§982.16); provide the Board the flexibility to release up to 15 percent of the average three year inshell trade acquisitions for desirable carryout (§ 982.40); correct the current language that determines handler credit for ungraded hazelnuts (§982.51); establish the bonding rate for deferred restricted obligations at the estimated value of restricted credits for the current marketing year and allow the Board to use defaulted bond payments to purchase excess restricted credits (§ 982.54); and clarify that mail order sales are not exempt from order requirements (§982.57). These proposed amendments are designed to assist the Board in its domestic and export marketing efforts. The amendments would allow the Board to make program and management decisions that are more consistent with changing market conditions and better respond to changing marketing needs. Because the Board acts in the best interests of the industry, increased Board decisionmaking flexibility should benefit the

industry and, thus, small businesses in the industry.

Regarding nomination and Board membership, the proposed amendments would: Change from one to two years the length of Board member and alternate member terms of office (§982.33); limit the number of consecutive terms members and alternate members may hold to three two-year terms (§982.33); and make conforming changes and a correction in the qualifications for nominating members (§§ 982.30 and 982.32). The amendments are proposed to ease the burden of conducting nomination meetings every year and enhance the Board's efficiency. The amendments are administrative in nature and would not impose additional costs on small businesses.

Other recommended amendments to the order's administrative procedures and operations would: Allow Board telephone votes to remain unconfirmed in writing until the next public Board meeting (§ 982.37); remove the "verbatim" reporting requirement on Board marketing policy meetings (§ 982.39); allow the Board to accept advance assessment payments and provide discounts for such payments (§ 982.61); and allow the Board to accept voluntary contributions (new §982.63). These proposed amendments are intended to improve the operations of the Board, lessen the administrative burden on Board members and staff, and improve management of the order's financial resources. As such, the proposed changes would have negligible, if any, economic impact on small entities.

Finally, one amendment would provide the Board with the authority to establish more up-to-date identification standards (§ 982.46), which would make order identification and certification provisions consistent with current industry practices and enable handlers more flexibility in meeting identification requirements.

All of these changes are designed to enhance the administration and functioning of the order and benefit the entire industry. Any added costs are not expected to be significant because the benefits of the proposed amendments are expected to outweigh the costs. Finally, the proposed amendments would have no significant impact or burden on small businesses' recordkeeping and reporting requirements.

The amendments proposed herein have been reviewed under Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform and are not intended to have retroactive effect. If adopted, the proposed amendments would not preempt any State or local laws, regulations, or policies, unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with the amendments.

The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 608c(15)(A) of the Act, any handler subject to an order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with law and requesting a modification of the order or to be exempted therefrom. A handler is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After the hearing the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the handler is an inhabitant, or has his or her principal place of business, has jurisdiction in equity to review the Secretary's ruling on the petition, provided a bill in equity is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), any additional reporting and recordkeeping requirements that might result from the proposed amendments would be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The provisions would not be effective until after receiving OMB approval.

Findings and Conclusions and Rulings on Exceptions

The material issues, findings and conclusions, rulings, and general findings and determinations included in the Recommended Decision set forth in the June 7, 1995, issue of the Federal Register (60 FR 30170) are hereby approved and adopted without change.

Marketing Agreement and Order

Annexed hereto and made a part hereof is the document entitled "Order Amending the Order Regulating the Handling of Hazelnuts Grown in Oregon and Washington". This document has been decided upon as the detailed and appropriate means of effectuating the foregoing findings and conclusions.

It is hereby ordered, That this entire decision be published in the Federal Register.

Referendum Order

It is hereby directed that a referendum be conducted in accordance with the procedure for the conduct of referenda (7 CFR part 900.400 *et seq.*) to determine whether the issuance of the annexed order amending the order regulating the handling of hazelnuts grown in Oregon and Washington, is approved or favored by producers, as defined under the terms of the order, who during the representive period were engaged in the production for market of hazelnuts grown in Oregon and Washington.

The representative period for the conduct of such referendum is hereby determined to be July 1, 1994, through June 30, 1995.

The agents of the Secretary to conduct such referendum are hereby designated to be Gary D. Olson and Teresa L. Hutchinson, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Northwest Marketing Field Office, 1220 S.W. Third Avenue, Room 369, Portland, Oregon 97204, telephone 503–326–2724; or Tom Tichenor, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Division, AMS, USDA, room 2523–S, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, D.C. 20090–6456; telephone: 202–720– 6862; FAX 202–720–5698.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 982

Filberts, Hazelnuts, Marketing Agreements, Nuts, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: October 23, 1995.

Shirley R. Watkins,

Acting Assistant Secretary, Marketing and Regulatory Programs.

Order Amending the Order Regulating the Handling of Hazelnuts Grown in Oregon and Washington¹

Findings and Determinations

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the marketing agreement and order and each previously issued amendment thereto. All of the said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) Findings and Determinations Upon the Basis of the Hearing Record. Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure effective thereunder (7 CFR part 900), a public hearing was held upon the proposed amendments to Marketing Agreement and Order No. 982 (7 CFR part 982), regulating the handling of hazelnuts grown in Oregon and Washington.

Upon the basis of the evidence introduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The marketing agreement and order, as amended, and hereby proposed to be further amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(2) The marketing agreement and order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, regulate the handling of hazelnuts grown in the production area in the same manner as, and are applicable only to, persons in the respective classes of commercial and industrial activity specified in the marketing agreement and order upon which a hearing has been held;

(3) The marketing agreement and order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, are limited in their application to the smallest regional production area which is practicable, consistent with carrying out the declared policy of the Act, and the issuance of several orders applicable to subdivisions of the production area would not effectively carry out the declared policy of the Act; and

(4) All handling of hazelnuts grown in the production area as defined in the marketing agreement and order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be further amended, is in the current of interstate or foreign commerce or directly burdens, obstructs or affects such commerce.

Marketing Agreement and Order

Annexed hereto and made a part hereto is the document entitled "Order Amending the Order Regulating the Handling of Hazelnuts Grown in Oregon and Washington". This document has been decided upon as the detailed and appropriate means of effectuating the foregoing findings and conclusions.

It is hereby ordered, That this entire decision be published in the Federal Register.

Order Relative to Handling

It is therefore ordered, That on and after the effective date hereof, all handling of hazelnuts grown in Oregon and Washington, shall be in conformity to, and in compliance with, the terms and conditions of the said order as hereby proposed to be amended as follows:

The provisions of the proposed marketing agreement and the order amending order contained in the Recommended Decision issued by the Administrator on May 24, 1995, and published in the Federal Register on June 7, 1995, shall be and are the terms and provisions of this order amending the order and are set forth in full herein.

PART 982—HAZELNUTS GROWN IN OREGON AND WASHINGTON

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 982 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

2. In part 982 all references to "filbert", "filberts", "filbert/hazelnut", "filberts/hazelnuts" are revised to read as "hazelnut", "hazelnuts", "hazelnut", and "hazelnuts", respectively.

3. Section 982.4 is revised to read as follows:

§982.4 Hazelnuts.

Hazelnuts means hazelnuts or filberts produced in the States of Oregon and Washington from trees of the genus Corylus.

4. Section 982.16 is revised to read as follows:

§982.16 Inshell trade acquisitions.

Inshell trade acquisitions means the quantity of inshell hazelnuts acquired by the trade from all handlers during a marketing year for distribution in the continental United States and such other distribution areas as may be recommended by the Board and established by the Secretary.

5. Section 982.30, is amended by revising paragraphs (a), (b)(1), (b)(2), and (b)(3) to read as follows:

§982.30 Establishment and membership.

(a) There is hereby established a Hazelnut Marketing Board consisting of 10 members, each of whom shall have an alternate member, to administer the terms and provisions of this part. Each member and alternate shall meet the same eligibility qualifications. The 10 member positions shall be allocated as follows:

(b) * * *

(1) One member shall be nominated by the handler who handled the largest volume of hazelnuts during the two marketing years preceding the marketing year in which nominations are made;

(2) One member shall be nominated by the handler who handled the second largest volume of hazelnuts during the two marketing years preceding the marketing year in which nominations are made;

(3) One member shall be nominated by the handler who handled the third largest volume of hazelnuts during the two marketing years preceding the

¹This order shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders have been met.

marketing year in which nominations are made;

6. In § 982.32, paragraphs (a), (b), (c) and (f) are revised to read as follows:

§982.32 Initial members and nomination of successor members.

(a) Members and alternate members of the Board serving immediately prior to the effective date of this amended subpart shall continue to serve on the Board until their respective successors have been selected.

(b) Nominations for successor handler members and alternate members specified in §982.30(b)(1) through (3) shall be made by the largest, second largest, and third largest handler determined according to the tonnage of certified merchantable hazelnuts and, when shelled hazelnut grade and size regulations are in effect, the inshell equivalent of certified shelled hazelnuts (computed to the nearest whole ton) recorded by the Board as handled by each such handler during the two marketing years preceding the marketing year in which nominations are made.

(c) Nominations for successor handler member and alternate handler member positions specified in § 982.30(b)(4) shall be made by the handlers in that category by mail ballot. All votes cast shall be weighted according to the tonnage of certified merchantable hazelnuts and, when shelled hazelnut grade and size regulations are in effect, the inshell equivalent of certified shelled hazelnuts (computed to the nearest whole ton) recorded by the Board as handled by each handler during the two marketing years preceding the marketing year in which nominations are made. If less than one ton is recorded for any such handler, the vote shall be weighted as one ton. Voting will be by position, and each eligible handler can vote for a member and an alternate member. The person receiving the highest number of weighted votes for each position shall be the nominee for that respective position.

(f) Nominations received in the foregoing manner by the Board for all handler and grower member and alternate member positions shall be certified and sent to the Secretary at least 60 days prior to the beginning of each two-year term of office, together with all necessary data and other information deemed by the Board to be pertinent or requested by the Secretary. If nominations are not made within the time and manner specified in this subpart, the Secretary may, without

regard to nominations, select the Board members and alternates on the basis of the representation provided for in this subpart.

7. In §982.33, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§982.33 Selection and term of office. *

*

*

(b) Term of office. The term of office of Board members and their alternates shall be for two years beginning on July 1 and ending on June 30, but they shall serve until their respective successors are selected and have qualified: *Provided*, That beginning with the 1996-97 marketing year, no member shall serve more than three consecutive two-year terms as member and no alternate member shall serve more than three consecutive two-year terms as alternate unless specifically exempted by the Secretary. Nomination elections for all Board grower and handler member and alternate positions shall be held every two years. *

8. In §982.37, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

*

§982.37 Procedure. *

*

(b) The Board may vote by mail, telephone, telegraph, or other means of communication: Provided, That any votes (except mail votes) so cast shall be confirmed at the next regularly scheduled meeting. When any proposition is submitted for voting by any such method, its adoption shall require 10 concurring votes.

9. In §982.39, paragraph (i) is revised to read as follows:

*

§982.39 Duties. *

*

*

*

(i) To furnish to the Secretary a report of the proceedings of each meeting of the Board held for the purpose of making marketing policy recommendations.

§982.40 [Amended]

*

*

10. In § 982.40, paragraph (c)(2) introductory text is amended by removing the word "shall" in the third sentence and adding in its place the word "may"

11. Section 982.46 is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 982.46 Inspection and certification. *

(b) All hazelnuts so inspected and certified shall be identified as prescribed by the Board. Such identification shall be affixed to the

hazelnut containers by the handler under direction and supervision of the Board or the Federal-State Inspection Service, and shall not be removed or altered by any person except as directed by the Board.

§982.51 [Amended]

*

12. In § 982.51, paragraph (a) is amended by removing the word "percent" at the end of the first sentence.

13. Section 982.52 is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§982.52 Disposition of restricted hazelnuts.

(b) Export. Sales of certified merchantable restricted hazelnuts for shipment to destinations outside the continental United States and such other distribution areas as may be recommended by the Board and established by the Secretary shall be made only by the Board. Any handler desiring to export any part or all of that handler's certified merchantable restricted hazelnuts shall deliver to the Board the certified merchantable restricted hazelnuts to be exported, but the Board shall be obligated to sell in export only such quantities for which it may be able to find satisfactory export outlets. Any hazelnuts so delivered for export which the Board is unable to export shall be returned to the handler delivering them. Sales for export shall be made by the Board only on execution of an agreement to prevent exportation into the area designated in §982.16. A handler may be permitted to act as an agent of the Board, upon such terms and conditions as the Board may specify, in negotiating export sales, and when so acting shall be entitled to receive a selling commission as authorized by the Board. The proceeds of all export sales, after deducting all expenses actually and necessarily incurred, shall be paid to the handler whose certified merchantable restricted hazelnuts are so sold by the Board.

* * 14. Section 982.54 is amended by revising paragraphs (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) to read as follows:

§ 982.54 Deferment of restricted obligation.

(b) Bonding requirement. Such bond or bonds shall, at all times during their effective period, be in such amounts that the aggregate thereof shall be no less than the total bonding value of the handler's deferred restricted obligation. The bonding value shall be the deferred restricted obligation poundage multiplied by the applicable bonding rate. The cost of such bond or bonds shall be borne by the handler filing same.

(c) *Bonding rate.* Said bonding rate shall be an amount per pound as established by the Board. Such bonding rate shall be based on the estimated value of restricted credits for the current marketing year. Until bonding rates for a marketing year are fixed, the rates in effect for the preceding marketing year shall continue in effect. The Board should make any necessary adjustments once such new rates are fixed.

(d) *Restricted credit purchases.* Any sums collected through default of a handler on the handler's bond shall be used by the Board to purchase restricted credits from handlers, who have such restricted credits in excess of their needs, and are willing to part with them. The Board shall at all times purchase the lowest priced restricted credits offered, and the purchases shall be made from the various handlers as nearly as practicable in proportion to the quantity of their respective offerings of the restricted credits to be purchased.

(e) Unexpended sums. Any unexpended sums which have been collected by the Board through default of a handler on the handler's bond, remaining in the possession of the Board at the end of a marketing year, shall be used to reimburse the Board for its expenses, including administrative and other costs incurred in the collection of such sums, and in the purchase of restricted credits as provided in paragraph (d) of this section.

(f) *Transfer of restricted credit purchases.* Restricted credits purchased as provided for in this section shall be turned over to those handlers who have defaulted on their bonds for liquidation of their restricted obligation. The quantity delivered to each handler shall be that quantity represented by sums collected through default.

15. In § 982.57, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§982.57 Exemptions.

(b) Sales by growers direct to consumers. Any hazelnut grower may sell hazelnuts of such grower's own production free of the regulatory and assessment provisions of this part if such grower sells such hazelnuts in the area of production directly to end users at such grower's ranch or orchard or at roadside stands and farmers' markets. The Board, with the approval of the Secretary, may establish such rules, regulations, and safeguards and require such reports, certifications, and other conditions, as are necessary to ensure that such hazelnuts are disposed of only as authorized. Mail order sales are not exempt sales under this part.

16. Section 982.58 is amended by revising the last sentence of paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 982.58 Research, promotion, and market development.

(a) * * * The expenses of such projects shall be paid from funds collected pursuant to § 982.61, § 982.63, or credited pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section.

17. Section 982.61 is amended by designating the current text as paragraph (a) and by adding a new paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§982.61 Assessments.

(a) * * *

(b) In order to provide funds for the administration of the provisions of this part during the first part of a fiscal period before sufficient operating income is available from assessments on the current year's shipments, the Board may accept the payment of assessments in advance, and may also borrow money for such purpose. Further, payment discounts may be authorized by the Board upon the approval of the Secretary to handlers making such advance assessment payments.

18. A new § 982.63 is added to read as follows:

§982.63 Contributions.

The Board may accept voluntary contributions but these shall only be used to pay expenses incurred pursuant to § 982.58. Furthermore, such contributions shall be free from any encumbrances by the donor and the Board shall retain complete control of their use.

[FR Doc. 95–26788 Filed 10–30–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 73

Meeting Regarding Access Authorization Program Issues

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) will conduct an open meeting to discuss access

authorization program issues with representatives of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI). The NEI requested the meeting to discuss program issues related to licensee implementation of 10 CFR 73.56, "Personnel access authorization requirements for nuclear power plants," and 10 CFR 73.57, 'Requirements for criminal history checks of individuals granted unescorted access to a nuclear power facility or access to Safeguards Information by power reactor licensees." A summary of the meeting will be prepared and will be available upon request.

DATES: The meeting will be held at 10:00 a.m. on November 8, 1995, at NRC Headquarters.

ADDRESSES: One White Flint North, Room 1 F–5, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy E. Ervin, United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Washington, DC 20555–0001,

Telephone (301) 415–2946.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of October, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Loren L. Bush, Jr.,

Senior Program Manager, Safeguards Branch, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 95–26938 Filed 10–30–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-ANE-45]

Airworthiness Directives; Pratt & Whitney JT3D Series Turbofan Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to Pratt & Whitney (PW) JT3D series turbofan engines. This proposal would require inspection of steel high pressure compressor (HPC) disks for corrosion, recoating or replating those disks, or replacing those disks as necessary. This proposal is prompted by reports of a failure of a PW JT8D steel HPC disk, which is similar in design to the PW JT3D steel HPC disks. The actions specified by the proposed AD are