to it are not designed to implement all of the provisions of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing, but are designed to assist only in the implementation of certain of their provisions.

Donald R. Foote.

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

October 25, 1995.

Commissioner of Customs, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on December 1, 1994, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton and manmade fiber textile products, produced or manufactured in El Salvador and exported during the twelve-month period beginning on January 1, 1995 and extending through December 31, 1995.

Effective on November 1, 1995, you are directed to increase the limit for Categories 340/640 to 984,431 dozen 1, as provided for under the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and the Uruguay Round Agreement on Textiles and Clothing.

The guaranteed access level remains unchanged.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that this action falls within the foreign affairs exception of the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Donald R. Foote,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. [FR Doc. 95-26924 Filed 10-30-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F

# Adjustment of Import Limits for Certain Cotton, Wool and Man-Made Fiber **Textile Products Produced or** Manufactured in the People's Republic of China

October 25, 1995.

**AGENCY:** Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

**ACTION:** Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs increasing limits.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 26, 1995. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Aldrich, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482–4212. For information on the

quota status of these limits, refer to the Quota Status Reports posted on the bulletin boards of each Customs port or call (202) 927-6703. For information on embargoes and quota re-openings, call (202) 482-3715.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: Executive Order 11651 of March 3. 1972, as amended: section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1854).

The current limits for certain categories are being increased for swing.

A description of the textile and apparel categories in terms of HTS numbers is available in the CORRELATION: Textile and Apparel Categories with the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (see Federal Register notice 59 FR 65531 published on December 20, 1994). Also see 59 FR 65760, published on December 21, 1994.

The letter to the Commissioner of Customs and the actions taken pursuant to it are not designed to implement all of the provisions of the bilateral agreement, but are designed to assist only in the implementation of certain of its provisions.

Donald R. Foote,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

October 25, 1995.

Commissioner of Customs,

Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on December 16, 1994, by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. That directive concerns imports of certain cotton, wool. man-made fiber, silk blend and other vegetable fiber textile products, produced or manufactured in the People's Republic of China and exported during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1995 and extends through December 31, 1995.

Effective on October 26, 1995, you are directed to amend further the directive dated December 16, 1994 to increase the limits for the following categories, as provided under the terms of the bilateral agreement between the Governments of the United States and the People's Republic of China:

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit 1
Sublevels in Group I 200237239239	668,463 kilograms. 1,795,714 dozen. 2,844,022 kilograms. 5,029,574 dozen pairs.
334	307,097 dozen. 826,879 dozen.

Category	Adjusted twelve-month limit <sup>1</sup>
341	680,124 dozen of which not more than 408,074 dozen shall be in Category 341– Y <sup>2</sup> .
359-V <sup>3</sup>	815,621 kilograms. 7,315,597 numbers of which not more than 4,989,943 numbers shall be in Category 360–P4.
435	24,954 dozen.
438	27,172 dozen.
440	38,819 dozen of which not more than 22,181 dozen shall be in Category 440– M <sup>5</sup> .
443	139,743 numbers.
445/446	298,259 dozen.
631	1,213,304 dozen pairs.
635	625,829 dozen.
641	1,351,919 dozen.
643	487,785 numbers.
645/646	836,959 dozen.
647	1,569,304 dozen.
648	1,121,257 dozen.
659–H <sup>6</sup>	2,755,625 kilograms.

<sup>1</sup>The limits have not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 1994.

<sup>2</sup> Category 341 6204.22.3060, 62 and 6211.42.0054. 341-Y: only HTS numbers 6206.30.3010, 6206.30.3030

<sup>3</sup> Category 6103.19.2030, 359-V: only HTS numbers 6103.19.9030, 6104.12.0040, 6104.19.8040, 6110.20.1022, 6110.20.1024, 6110.20.2030, 6110.20.2035, 6110.90.9044, 6110.90.9046, 6201.92.2010, 6202.92.2020, 6203.19.1030, 6203.19.9030, 6204.12.0040, 6204.19.8040, 6211.32.0070 6211.42.0070.

<sup>4</sup>Category 360–P: only HTS numbers 6302.21.3010, 6302.21.5010, 6302.21.7010, 6302.21.9010, 6302.31.3010, 6302.31.5010, 6302.31.3010, 6302.31.7010 and 6302.31.9010.

<sup>5</sup> Category 440-M: HTS numbers 6203.21.0030, 6205.10.2010, 6203.23.0030, 6205.10.1000, 6205.10.2020, 6205.30.1510, 6205.30.1520, 6205.90.3020, 6205.90.4020 and 6211.31.0030.

<sup>6</sup>Category 659–H: only HTS numbers 6502.00.9030, 6504.00.9015, 6504.00.9060, HTS numbers 6505.90.5090, 65 and 6505.90.8090. 6505.90.6090, 6505.90.7090

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Donald R. Foote,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. [FR Doc. 95-26925 Filed 10-30-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DR-E

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The limit has not been adjusted to account for any imports exported after December 31, 1994.

# **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Department of the Navy

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal and Reuse of the Department of Defense Housing Facility, Novato, CA

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), the Department of the Navy in coordination with the City of Novato, is preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed disposal and reuse of the Department of Defense Housing Facility (DODHF) property and structures at Novato, California. This proposed action is in accordance with the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 101–510) of 1990, as implemented by the 1993 Base Closure process, which directed the Navy to close DODHF.

DODHF is within the jurisdiction of the City of Novato, Marin County, California, approximately 20 miles north of San Francisco. DODHF is one of several facilities on a larger area formerly known as the Hamilton Air Force Base. DODHF consists of approximately 481 acres of Navy-owned land in two sites. The 383 acre primary DODHF facility includes military family housing, commissary, exchange, community services areas, bowling alley, officer's club, and recreational fields. An additional 98 acre Rafael Village military housing area is located 1 mile north of the main DODHF facility. Other portions of the former Hamilton Air Force Base adjacent to DODHF and not included with the disposal of the DODHF property or in this disposal and reuse EIS, include the 720 acre former Hamilton Army Air Field, which was closed under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100-526) of 1988, and a 415 acre site being developed under the New Hamilton Partnership Master Plan.

The EIS will address the potential impacts to the environment that may result from the disposal of the Navy's DODHF property and subsequent reuse. The Hamilton Army Air Field Reuse Plan, developed by the Hamilton Reuse Planning Authority, proposes 406 acres of housing with up to 1,490 total units, 51 acres of mixed use community support facilities, and 24 acres of recreational fields on the DODHF property.

The Reuse Plan will constitute the preferred alternative for the EIS. However, the EIS will also analyze alternatives to the Reuse Plan. The alternatives analyzed in the EIS will include a less intensive development of the DODHF property, still based in large part on the Reuse Plan, and a No Action Alternative. The No Action alternative would result in federal government retention of the DODHF property in an "inactive" status. Other alternatives may be evaluated if warranted.

Federal, state and local agencies, and interested individuals are encouraged to participate in the scoping process for the EIS to determine the range of issues and reuse alternatives to be addressed. A public scoping meeting to receive oral and written comments will be held on Thursday, November 16, 1995, at the Student Center, San Marin High School, 15 San Marin Drive, Novato, California at 7:00 p.m. In the interest of the available time, each speaker will be asked to limit their oral comments to five minutes.

In addition, written comments may be submitted no later than December 1, 1995 to Mr. Gary Munekawa, Environmental Planning Branch, Code 185GM, Engineering Field Activity West, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 900 Commodore Drive, San Bruno, California 94066-5006; telephone (415) 244-3022, fax (415) 244-3737. For further information regarding the Hamilton Army Air Field Reuse Plan which includes the reuse of the Navy's DODHF property, please contact Mr. K.H. Bell, Program Manager, Hamilton Reuse Planning Authority at (415) 457–5661, fax (714) 472–8122.

Dated: October 26, 1995.

M.A. Waters,

LCDR, JAGC, USN, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95–26926 Filed 10–30–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–M

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

# National Assessment Governing Board; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Assessment Governing Board, Education. **ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the National Assessment Governing Board. This notice also describes the functions of the Board. Notice of this meeting is required under Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. This document is intended to notify the

general public of their opportunity to attend.

DATES: November 16-18, 1995. Time: November 16, 1995—Achievement Levels Committee, 2:00-4:00 P.M., (open); and Subject Area Committee #1, 5:00 P.M.-6:00 P.M., open). November 17, 1995-Executive Committee, 7:30 A.M.-8:30 A.M. (closed), 8:30-9:00 A.M. (open); Full Board, 9:00 A.M.-10:00 A.M., (open); Design and Methodology Committee, Reporting and Dissemination Committee, and Subject Area Committee #2, 10:00 A.M.-12:00 Noon, (open); Full Board, 12:00 Noon-4:30 P.M. (open). November 18, 1995—Nominations Committee 8:00-9:00 A.M. (open); Full Board, 9:00 A.M. until adjournment, approximately 12:00 Noon (open).

Location: Four Seasons Olympic Hotel, 411 University Street, Seattle, Washington.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Ann Wilmer, Operations Officer, National Assessment Governing Board, Suite 825, 800 North Capitol Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20002, 4222

Suite 825, 800 North Capitol Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20002–4233, Telephone: (202) 357–6938.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Assessment Coverning Reasons.

National Assessment Governing Board is established under section 412 of the National Education Statistics Act of 1994 (Title IV of the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994) (Pub. L. 103–382).

The Board is established to formulate policy guidelines for the National Assessment of Education Progress. The Board is responsible for selecting subject areas to be assessed, developing assessment objectives, identifying appropriate achievement goals for each grade and subject tested, and establishing standards and procedures for interstate and national comparisons.

On November 16, the Achievement Levels Committee of the National Assessment Governing Board will meet in open session from 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. The Committee will meet to discuss control procedures for ensuring error-free NAEP data, and planning issues affecting the NAEP level-setting activities in the 1996 science assessment. Subject Area Committee #1 will meet in open session from 5:00 p.m.-6:00 p.m. to discuss the progress of the NAEP civics planning project.

On November 17, the Executive Committee will meet in closed session from 7:30 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. to continue discussion about the development of cost estimates for NAEP and future contract initiatives that were begun at the August 1995 meeting of the Board. Public disclosure of this information would likely have an adverse financial affect on the NAEP program. The discussion of this information would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency