

very unpopular among lessees; many at the workshops suggested that the current oil RIK program be replaced with a program designed along the lines of the current gas RIK pilot. Note was taken of the fact that the latter step could only be taken if the Secretary of the Interior were to make a determination that small refineries in the selected area have access to adequate supplies of crude oil at "reasonable prices."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Hugh Hilliard, Minerals Management Service, Mail Stop 4013, 1849 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20240, telephone number (202) 208-3398; or contact Mr. James McNamee, Minerals Management Service, 12600 West Colfax, Lakewood, Colorado 80215, telephone number (303) 275-7126.

Date: October 25, 1995.

Lucy R. Querques,

Associate Director for Policy and Management Improvement.

[FR Doc. 95-27078 Filed 10-31-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-373]

Certain Low-Power Computer Hard Disk Drive Systems and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's (ALJ's) initial determination (ID) in the above-captioned investigation terminating the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Yaworski, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U. S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-3096.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 4, 1995, Conner Peripherals, Inc. of San Jose, California filed a complaint with the Commission alleging violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain low-power computer hard disk drive systems and products containing same that infringe

certain claims of a U.S. patent owned by complainant.

The Commission instituted an investigation of the complaint, and published a notice of investigation in the Federal Register on May 10, 1995. 60 FR 24885. The notice named International Business Machines Corporation of Armonk, New York as respondent.

On September 8, 1995, complainant and respondent filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a settlement agreement. The joint motion was supported by the Commission investigative attorney. On October 10, 1995, the presiding ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 9) granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of the settlement agreement. No petitions for review of the ID were received.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, and Commission rule 210.42, 19 C.F.R. 210.42.

Copies of the ALJ's ID, and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation, are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 25, 1995.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-27080 Filed 10-31-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

[Inv. No. 731-TA-724 (Final)]

In the Matter of: Manganese Metal From the People's Republic of China; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct a Portion of the Hearing in Camera

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Closure of a portion of a Commission hearing to the public.

SUMMARY: Upon request of petitioners Elkem Metals Co. and Kerr-McGee Chemical Corp. in the above-captioned final investigation, the Commission has unanimously determined to conduct a portion of its hearing scheduled for November 1, 1995, *in camera*. See

Commission rules 207.23(d), 201.13(m) and 201.35(b)(3) (19 CFR §§ 207.23(d), 201.13(m) and 201.35(b)(3)). The remainder of the hearing will be open to the public. The Commission unanimously has determined that the seven-day advance notice of the change to a meeting was not possible. See Commission rule 201.35 (a), (c)(1) (19 CFR § 201.35 (a), (c)(1)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marc A. Bernstein, Office of General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3087. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission believes that petitioners have justified the need for a closed session, but only with respect to discussion of information concerning the domestic industry. A full discussion of competition in the industry and the domestic industry's financial condition can only occur if a portion of the hearing is held *in camera*. Because certain information is not publicly available, any discussion of issues relating to this information will necessitate disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI). Thus, such discussions can only occur if a portion of the hearing is held *in camera*. In making this decision, the Commission nevertheless reaffirms its belief that whenever possible its business should be conducted in public.

The hearing will include the usual public presentations by petitioners and by respondents, with questions from the Commission. In addition, the hearing will include an *in camera* session for a presentation by petitioners that discusses BPI and for questions from the Commission relating to the BPI, followed by a similar *in camera* presentation by respondents. For any *in camera* session the room will be cleared of all persons except those who have been granted access to BPI under a Commission administrative protective order (APO) and are included on the Commission's APO service list in this investigation. See 19 CFR § 201.35(b) (1), (2). The time for the parties' presentations and rebuttals in the *in camera* session will be taken from their respective overall allotments for the hearing. All persons planning to attend the *in camera* portions of the hearing should be prepared to present proper identification.

Authority: The General Counsel has certified, pursuant to Commission Rule