

workers at Hanover Shoe Company located in Marlinton, West Virginia. The notice was published in the Federal Register on March 10, 1995 (60 FR 13177).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New findings show that worker separations have occurred at the subject firm's production facility in Hanover, Pennsylvania. The workers produce men's shoes.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Hanover Shoe adversely affected by imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-30,715 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Hanover Shoe Company, Marlinton, West Virginia (TA-W-30,715) and Hanover Shoe Company, Hanover, Pennsylvania (TA-W-30,715A) engaged in employment related to the production of men's shoes who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 25, 1994 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 20th day of October 1995.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-27093 Filed 10-31-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

[TA-W-30,823; TA-W-30,823A]

The Leslie Fay Companies, Inc. New York, New York; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The Leslie Fay Company, Incorporated dress division which includes Andy Fashions; Downing Garment; Glen Lyon Garment; Kingston Fashions; Pittston Fashions; Throop Fashions; and Ricky Fashions—at Route 315, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on April 14, 1995, applicable to all workers at the Leslie Fay Company, Incorporated operating various dress manufacturing facilities in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania. The notice was published in the Federal Register on May 9, 1995 (60 FR 24653).

At the request of the company, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The findings show that workers of the Leslie Fay Companies, Inc., located in New

York, New York, were inadvertently omitted from the certification.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Leslie Fay adversely affected by imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-30,823 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers and former workers of The Leslie Fay Dress Division in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania which includes: Andy Fashions; Downing Garment; Glen Lyon Garment; Kingston Fashions; Pittston Fashions; Throop Fashions; and Ricky Fashions (TA-W-30,823); and The Leslie Fay Companies, Inc., New York, New York (TA-W-30,823A) who were engaged in employment related to the production of ladies' dresses and became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 1, 1994 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC this 20th day of October 1995.

Russell T. Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-27095 Filed 10-31-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

Federal-State Unemployment Compensation Program: Unemployment Insurance Program Letters Interpreting Federal Unemployment Insurance Law

The Employment and Training Administration interprets Federal law requirements pertaining to unemployment compensation (UC) as part of its role in the administration of the Federal-State UC program. These interpretations are issued in Unemployment Insurance Program Letters (UIPLs) to the State Employment Security Agencies (SESAs). The UIPs described below are published in the Federal Register in order to inform the public.

UIPL 29-83 Change 2

Secondary adjustments are a part of many State experience rating plans. This UIP provides States with additional guidance concerning those secondary adjustments which may be used in determining reduced rates for employers.

UIPL 22-87, Change 1

UIPL 22-87, issued in 1987, consolidated several issuances concerning the treatment of pensions received by claimants for UC. This Change 1 to UIP 22-87 provides further guidance on the subject.

Specifically, it deals with the requirements concerning pensions when amounts are rolled over into eligible retirement plans. It was issued in response to numerous questions on the subject which were raised by States trying to determine how to deal with rollovers.

UIPL 17-95, Change 1

Public Law 103-465, commonly known as the legislation on "GATT"—The General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, included a provision that, effective with weeks beginning after January 1, 1997, requires States to deduct and withhold Federal income tax from UC if the individual so elects. UIP 17-95 explained the change in UC law, discussed its effective date and provided model language for States to use in amending State UC law. Change 1 to UIP 17-95 advised States of the Department of Labor's position concerning priorities when a claimant subject to withholding required under State law also requests the withholding of income tax.

UIPL 35-95

As a result of the increased use of telephone or other electronic methods of UC tax collection and benefit claimstaking, the Department has found it necessary to issue this UIP in order to ensure that States are aware of the Department's position concerning the use of the new technology as it relates to the UC program. This UIP sets forth the Department's position on the various issues involved and interprets the relevant law and regulation.

UIPL No. 1-96

The Department issues several types of directives in order to set forth official agency policy concerning the programs administered by the Department. Questions have been raised by several groups regarding what weight these directives carry as interpretations of Federal law. As a result, this directive was issued to clarify the status of these directives.

UIPL 2-96

It came to the Department's attention that several States restrict the approval of training to that which is provided within the State. Since 1974, it has been the express position of the Department that such restrictions are contrary to the requirements of the Federal Unemployment Tax Act. This directive was issued to restate and reinforce that position.