

Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506, or call 202/682-5433.

Dated: October 27, 1995.

Yvonne M. Sabine,

Director, Office of Council and Panel Operations, National Endowment for the Arts.
[FR Doc. 95-27162 Filed 11-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-M

National Endowment for the Arts; Visual Arts Advisory Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), as amended, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Visual Arts Advisory Panel (Artistis' Communities/ Visual Arts Organizations Section) to the National Council on the Arts will be held on November 13-17, 1995. This meeting will meet from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on November 13-16 and from 9:00 to 5:00 p.m. on November 17. The panel will be held in Room 716, at the Nancy Hanks Center, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20506.

A portion of this meeting will be open to the public from 3:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. on November 17, for a policy and guidelines discussion.

The remaining portions of this meeting from 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on November 13 to 16 and from 9:00 to 3:00 p.m. on November 17 are for the purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman of June 22, 1995, these sessions will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c) (4), (6), and (9)(B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

Any person may observe meetings, or portions thereof, of advisory panels which are open to the public, and may be permitted to participate in the panel's discussions at the discretion of the panel chairman and with the approval of the full-time Federal employees in attendance.

If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact the Office of AccessAbility National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20606, 202/682-5532, TDY-TDD 202/682-5496, at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Ms. Yvonne Sabine, Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the

Arts, Washington, DC 20506, or call 202/682-5433.

Dated: October 27, 1995.

Yvonne M. Sabine,

Director, Office of Council and Panel Operations, National Endowment for the Arts.
[FR Doc. 95-27164 Filed 11-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-344]

Portland General Electric Company; Trojan Nuclear Plant; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 140.11(a)(4) to Facility Operating License No. NPF-1 issued to Portland General Electric (PGE or the licensee) for the Trojan Nuclear Plant (TNP) located at the licensee's site in Columbia County, Oregon. The exemption would be effective on November 9, 1995, 3 years from the date of final shutdown of the reactor.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would grant an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 140.11(a)(4) reducing the primary financial protection that shall be maintained by the licensee for the facility from \$200 million to \$100 million. Exemption will be granted from participation in the industry retrospective rating plan (secondary level financial protection) for TNP. The licensee requested the exemption in a letter dated April 6, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

TNP was permanently shut down on November 9, 1992. In a license amendment dated May 5, 1993, the NRC modified Facility Operating License No. NPF-1 to a possession-only license (POL). The license is conditioned so that PGE is not authorized to operate or place fuel in the reactor vessel, thus formalizing the licensee's commitment to permanently cease power operations. The plant will have been shut down for 3 years at the time the exemption becomes effective, and radioactive decay will have significantly reduced the radionuclide inventory and decay heat of the spent fuel. Because sufficient spent fuel cooling period of 3 years has elapsed, the potential for significant offsite consequences no longer exists at

TNP. Therefore, the requested exemption addresses two areas for relief in financial protection requirements: (1) A reduction in the primary financial protection coverage requirements from \$200 million to \$100 million and (2) withdrawal from participation in the industry retrospective rating plan. Because TNP no longer contributes as great a risk to the industry retrospective rating plan participants as an operating plant, this reduction in risk should be reflected in the indemnification requirements to which the licensee is subject. Approval of this request would allow a more equitable allocation of financial risk.

Environmental Impact of the Proposed Action

The proposed action does not involve any environmental impacts. The proposed exemption involves changes in insurance and/or indemnity requirements, for which the Commission in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(10) has determined that a license amendment would meet the criteria for categorical exclusion from the need for either an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement. Therefore, the Commission has determined that this exemption will have no significant impact on the environment.

Because the proposed action does not involve a change in plant operation or configuration, there is reasonable assurance that the proposed action would not increase the probability or the consequences of an accident or reduce the margin of safety, no changes would be made in the types or quantities of effluents that may be released offsite, and there would be no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative radiation exposure.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that this proposed action would result in no significant radiological environmental impact.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Because the Commission has concluded that there are no measurable environmental impacts associated with the proposed action, any alternative with equal or greater environmental impacts need not be evaluated.