Comments may also address the adequacy of the Draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.8 in addressing these points.

In addition, Federal court decisions have established that reviewers of Draft EIS statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and concerns. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553, (1978). Environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft state may be waived if not raised until after completion of the Final EIS. City of Angoon v. Hodel, Harris, (9th Circuit, 1986), Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). The reason for this is to ensure that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the Final EIS.

(2) Permits: Permits required for implementation include the following:

1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

- —Approval of the discharge of dredged or fill materials in waters of the United States under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act
- —Approval of the construction of structures or work in navigable waters of the United States under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899

2. Environmental Protection Agency

- —National Pollutant Discharge Elimination (402) Permit
- -Review Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan

3. State of Alaska, Department of Natural Resources

—Tideland Permit and Lease or Easement

4. State of Alaska, Department of Environmental Conservation

- -Solid Waste Disposal Permit
- —Certification of Ċompliance with Alaska Water Quality Standards (401 Certification)

*Responsible Official:* Bradley E. Powell, Forest Supervisor, Ketchikan Area, Tongass National Forest, Federal Building, Ketchikan, Alaska 99901, is the responsible official. The responsible official will consider the comments, responses, disclosure of environmental consequences, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies in making the decision and stating the rationale in the Record of Decision.

Dated: October 20, 1995. Bradley E. Powell, *Forest Supervisor.* [FR Doc. 95–27244 Filed 11–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

## Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration

## Designation of the Michigan (MI) Agency for the Northern Michigan Region

**AGENCY:** Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA). **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** GIPSA announces the designation of Michigan Grain Inspection Services, Inc. (Michigan), to provide official services under the United States Grain Standards Act, as amended (Act).

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** December 1, 1995. **ADDRESSES:** Janet M. Hart, Chief, Review Branch, Compliance Division, GIPSA, USDA, Room 1647 South Building, P.O. Box 96454, Washington, DC 20090–6454.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet M. Hart, telephone 202–720–8525. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

This action has been reviewed and determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12866 and Departmental Regulation 1512–1; therefore, the Executive Order and Departmental Regulation do not apply to this action.

In the June 1, 1995, Federal Register (60 FR 28570), GIPSA asked persons interested in providing official services in the Northern Michigan Region to submit an application for designation. Applications were due by June 30, 1995. Michigan, a currently designated official agency and the only applicant, applied for designation to provide official inspection services in the entire Northern Michigan Region.

GIPSA requested comments on the applicant in the August 1, 1995, Federal Register (60 FR 39148). Comments were due by August 30, 1995. GIPSA received no comments by the deadline.

GIPSA evaluated all available information regarding the designation criteria in Section 7(f)(l)(A) of the Act; and according to Section 7(f)(l)(B), determined that Michigan is able to provide official services in the geographic area for which they applied. Effective December 1, 1995, and ending April 30, 1998, concurrent with the end of their current designation, Michigan is designated to provide official inspection services in the geographic area specified in the June 1, 1995, Federal Register, in addition to the area they are already designated to serve.

Interested persons may obtain official services by contacting Michigan at 616–781–2711.

AUTHORITY: Pub. L. 94–582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*)

Dated: October 26, 1995

Neil E. Porter

Director, Compliance Division [FR Doc. 95–27167 Filed 11–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–EN–F

## Designation for the Amarillo (TX), Schaal (IA), and Wisconsin Areas

**AGENCY:** Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA). **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** GIPSA announces the designation of Amarillo Grain Exchange, Inc. (Amarillo), D. R. Schaal Agency, Inc. (Schaal), and Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (Wisconsin) to provide official services under the United States Grain Standards Act, as amended (Act).

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** December 1, 1995. **ADDRESSES:** Janet M. Hart, Chief, Review Branch, Compliance Division, GIPSA, USDA, Room 1647 South Building, P.O. Box 96454, Washington, DC 20090–6454.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet M. Hart, telephone 202–720–8525.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

This action has been reviewed and determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12866 and Departmental Regulation 1512–1; therefore, the Executive Order and Departmental Regulation do not apply to this action.

In the June 1, 1995, Federal Register (60 FR 28570 and 28572), GIPSA asked persons interested in providing official services in the geographic areas assigned to Amarillo, Schaal, and Wisconsin to submit an application for designation. Applications were due by June 30, 1995. There were four applicants: Amarillo and Schaal applied for designation to provide official inspection services in the entire areas currently assigned to them; A.V. Tischer and Son, Inc., applied for designation to serve a portion of the Schaal area; and Wisconsin applied for designation to provide official inspection and Class X