inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-27270 Filed 11-2-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

### [Docket Nos. CP88-391-018 and RP93-162-004]

### Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Annual Cash-Out Reporting

October 30, 1995.

Take notice that on October 13, 1995, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) filed its report of cash-out purchases for the annual period August 1, 1994 through July 31, 1995. Transco states that the report is being filed in accordance with the Commission's June 19, 1991 "Order Approving Settlements as Modified and Issuing Certificates" in Docket No. CP88–391–004, et al., and the cash-out provisions in Section 15 of the General Terms and Conditions of Transco's FERC Gas Tariff.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Commission's order issued December 3, 1993 in Docket No. RP93–162–002, Transco also submitted a summary of activity showing the volumes and amounts paid under each Pipeline Interconnect Balancing Agreement (PIBA) during the above period.

In addition Transco filed a report comparing Transco's cash-out and PIBA revenues received with costs incurred for the same period. Transco states that the report shows that for the annual period ended July 31, 1995, Transco incurred costs of \$3,081,390 in excess of revenues received. Transco states that in accordance with Section 15, it will carry forward such net underrecovery to offset any net overrecovery that may occur in future cash-out periods.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Ssection 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests should be filed on or before November 6, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make any protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission

and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–27266 Filed 11–2–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

#### [Docket No. CP96-32-000]

### Viking Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Application

October 30, 1995.

Take notice that on October 24, 1995, Viking Gas Transmission Company (Applicant), 825 Rice Street, St. Paul Minnesota 55117–5485 has filed under Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), and Section 157.7 of the Commission's Regulations for a certification of Public Convenience and Necessity authorizing the following:

- (1) Construct, own, and operate 9.1 miles of 24-inch pipeline loop, in Kittson County, Minnesota, extending from milepost 2201 2 + 0.00, to milepost 2201 2 + 9.08;
- (2) Construct own and operate 4.4 miles of 24-inch pipeline loop, in Norman County, Minnesota, extending from milepost 2207 2 + 0.00, to milepost 2207 2 + 4.43;

Applicant also requests that an Order contain the following statements by the Commission:

- (3) that the proposed facilities will be eligible for rolled-in treatment at the time Applicant files its next Section 4 general rate case;
- (4) that the proposed facilities will not be subject to an at-risk certificate condition; and
- (5) that the Commission will not suspend the effective date of the limited Section 4 filing the Applicant will make to establish rates for the proposed expansion service.

The proposed looping would be used to provide additional firm transportation capacity from the Emerson Interconnection for the following shippers:

Customer	Delivery point	Dth/Day
City of Perham, Minnesota.	Perham	250
American Crys- tal Sugar.	E Grand Forks, MN.	4,680
•	Crookston, MN .	3,120
	Moorhead, MN .	3,120
City of Randall, Minnesota.		507
ProGold LLC	Fergus Falls, MN.	7,500
Unsubscribed		243
Total		19,420

Applicant holds precedent agreements with each of these prospective shippers. Applicant states that this project will also provide greater reliability and additional operating flexibility for existing system customers. The estimated cost of the facilities is \$8.4 million.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with regard to this application should on or before November 20, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–27267 Filed 11–2–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

## Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation Policy

#### **Proposed Subsequent Arrangement**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Correction.

**SUMMARY:** In document #95–26592, published on October 17, 1995, page 53770, third column, replace the first sentence with the following:

Pursuant to Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160), notice is hereby given of a proposed "subsequent arrangement" under the Additional Agreement for Cooperation between the Government of the United States of America and the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM) concerning Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, as amended, and the Agreement for Cooperation between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Republic of Indonesia concerning Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on October 30, 1995.

Edward T. Fei,

Deputy Director, International Policy and Analysis Division, Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation.

[FR Doc. 95–27328 Filed 11–2–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5230-4]

# Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared October 16, 1995 Through October 20, 1995 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal activities at (202) 260–5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19047).

#### Draft EISs

ERP No. D-SCS-K36113-HI Rating EO2, Lower Hamakua Ditch Watershed, Agricultural Water Management Plan, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Hawaii County, HI.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objection with the project's potential impacts to groundwater and fish and wildlife. EPA requested that these issues be more fully discussed in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-TVA-E09801-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS—Energy Vision 2020, Integrated Resource Plan, Implementation of Long-Term Plan and

Short-Term Action, TN, AL, KY, GA, MS, NC and VA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern regarding potential long term impacts because of the uncertainty of predicting energy sources and impacts through the year 2020 and has requested some additional information.

ERP No. D-USN-K11064-CA Rating EC2, Mare Island Naval Shipyard Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, City of Valley, Solano County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding wetlands dredging, submerged hazardous waste, air quality information, and cumulative impacts.

ERP No. DS-COE-K36100-CA Rating EU2, American River Watershed Flood Plain Protection Project, Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Updated and Additional Information, Sacramento, Placer and Sutter Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA believed that the Dry Detention Dam alternative is environmentally unsatisfactory due to significant unacceptable impacts to water quality and unique natural resources. The other alternatives are less damaging and appear to be practicable. While these two alternatives may cause degradation to sensitive habitats and fisheries, mitigation measures are available.

ERP No. DS-NIH-D81023-MD Rating LO, National Institutes of Health Bethesda Main Campus Comprehensive Master Plan, Implementation, Montgomery County, MD.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the action as proposed.

### Final EISs

ERP No. F-COE-K36111-CA Santa Paula Creek Flood Control Project, Improvements, Ventura County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-DOE-E22000-SC Savannah River Site Waste Management Facilities, Implementation, Aiken, Allendale and Barnwell Counties, SC.

Summary: EPA comments on the draft EIS were adequately addressed in the final document.

ERP No. F-USN-K11028-CA Long Beach Naval Hospital Base Disposal and Reuse, Implementation and NPDES Permit, City of Long Beach, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern over the selection of the Retail Alternative due to its implications on the South Coast Air Quality Management District's SIP attainment.

Dated: October 30, 1995
B. Katherine Biggs,
Associate Director, NEPA Compliance
Division, Office of Federal Activities.
[FR Doc. 95–27352 Filed 11–2–95; 8:45 am]

### [ER-FRL-5230-3]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

### **Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability**

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260–5076 or (202) 260–5075.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed October 23, 1995 Through October 27, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 950490, Final EIS, FHW, WI-13 Marshfield Regional Mobility Study for Transportation Improvements, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, City of Marshfield, Wood and Marathon Counties, WI, Due: December 8, 1995, Contact: Richard C. Madrzak (608) 264–5968.

EIS No. 950491, Draft EIS, AFS, ID, Beaver/Cedar Land Change Project, Implementation, Clearwater National Forest, North Fork and Palause Ranger Districts, Clearwater and Latah Counties, ID, Due: December 18, 1995, Contact: Bill Jones (208) 476–4541.

EIS No. 950492, Final EIS, FHW, VA, Madison Heights Bypass/US 29 Construction, US 50 south of the City of Lynchburg to US 29 south of the Town of Amherst, Funding and COE Permit, Amherst and Campbell Counties, VA, Due: December 4, 1995, Contact: Roberto Fonseca-Martinez (804) 281–5100.

EIS No. 950493, Draft EIS, USN, CA, Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Air Stations (MCAS) Tustin and EL Toro Marine Corps Base (MCB) Realignment, Implementation, COE Section 404 Permit, San Diego County, CA, Due: December 18, 1995, Contact: Harry Roberts (714) 726– 3383.

EIS No. 950494, Draft EIS, FHW, UT, US 89 Corridor Transportation Improvements, I–15/Farmington to Harrison Boulevard/South Ogden, Funding, COE Section 404 and NPDES Permits, Davis, Weber, Morgan and Salt Lake Counties, UT, Due: December 19, 1995, Contact: William R. Gedris (801) 963–0183.

EIS No. 950495, Final EIS, AFS, AZ, NM, Southwestern Region Amendment of Forest Plans, Implementation, Standard and Guidelines for Northern Goshawk and