

Environmental Coordinator at FERC,
(202) 219-2843.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-27420 Filed 11-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 10934-003, New Hampshire]

William B. Ruger, Jr.; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

October 31, 1995.

A draft environmental assessment (DEA) is available for public review. The DEA reviewed the application for amendment for the Sugar River II Project (FERC No. 10934). The application proposes to shorten the bypass reach of the Sugar River by 650 feet by relocating the proposed dam in a downstream direction and replacing an open canal with a seven-foot-diameter buried steel penstock. The DEA finds that approval of the amendment application would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Sugar River II Project is located on the Sugar River, in Sullivan County, in Newport, New Hampshire.

The DEA was prepared by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the DEA can be viewed at the Commission's Reference and Information Center, Room 2A, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20406. Copies can also be obtained by calling the project manager listed below.

Please submit any comments within 30 days from the date of this notice. Any comments, conclusions, or recommendations that draw upon studies, reports, or other working papers of substance should be supported by appropriate documentation.

Comments should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20406. Please denote "Comments: Project No. 10934-003" on all comments. For more information, please contact the project manager, Joseph C. Adamson, at (202) 219-1040.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-27382 Filed 11-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ES96-7-000]

Edison Sault Electric Company; Notice of Application

October 31, 1995.

Take notice that on October 24, 1995, Edison Sault Electric Company filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue unsecured short-term notes, from time to time, in an aggregate amount not more than \$10 million principal amount outstanding at any one time, during the period on or before December 31, 1997, with final maturities not later than December 31, 1998.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before November 24, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-27380 Filed 11-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ES96-8-000]

New York State Electric & Gas Corporation; Notice of Application

October 31, 1995.

Take notice that on October 27, 1995, New York State Electric & Gas Corporation filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue notes and commercial paper, from time to time, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$275 million outstanding at any one time, prior to January 1, 1998, with a maturity of one year or less.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before November 27, 1995. Protests will be

considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

FR Doc. 95-27381 Filed 11-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-36-000]

NorAm Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Application

October 31, 1995.

Take notice that on October 25, 1995, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NorAm), 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP96-36-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Regulations for permission and approval to retire and abandon one of five compressor units at its Hobbs Compressor Station, specifically the 340 Caterpillar powered engine (Hobbs #5), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

NorAm states that Hobbs #5 is located on Line B-55 in Sebastian County, Arkansas in a 19 foot by 25 foot building on a concrete foundation. NorAm asserts that the compressor cylinders, associated piping and the building will be junked at no value. NorAm claims Hobbs #5 has not operated since 1982 due to an internal mechanical failure; however, operation of Hobbs #5 in no longer necessary. NorAm notes that during the time this compressor has been shut down, the operation of the four remaining engines has effectively allowed NorAm to receive and transport the existing upstream production, and no production will be interrupted or abandoned as a result of the retirement of this compressor engine. NorAm states that the proposed abandonment will not adversely affect its ability to continue to render certificated transportation service to its customers. Additionally, NorAm does not foresee an increase in the current production from this field, nor a reason that would justify the cost to replace Hobbs #5.

NorAm asserts that the proposed abandonment does not involve a significant environmental impact and granting the requested authorization will not constitute a major federal action