

days for interventions and protests from the day noticed, with those filings due to DLD's hands the same day filed. Responses to interventions or protests. DLD seeks an effective date of November 8, 1995 for FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 1, but not to exceed 60 days from October 17, 1995.

DLD was formed by Duke Energy Marketing Corp., a third-tier subsidiary of Duke Power Company, and Louis Dreyfus Electric Power Inc.

Comment date: November 13, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Duke Energy Marketing Corp.

[Docket No. ER96-109-000]

Take notice that on October 17, 1995, Duke Energy Marketing Corp. (DEMC) refiled its FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 1 to be effective November 8, 1995 and requested that the Commission waive certain of its regulations and grant blanket approval with respect to the issuance of securities and assumption of liabilities. DEMC is a subsidiary of Duke Power Company. DEMC seeks an effective date of November 8, 1995 for FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 1, but not to exceed 60 days from October 17, 1995.

Copies of this filing were served on the parties of record in Docket No. ER95-755-000 by hand-delivery and/or overnight delivery.

Comment date: November 13, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-27421 Filed 11-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 11512-000]

John H. Bigelow; Notice of Intent to Conduct Environmental Scoping Meetings and Site Visit

October 31, 1995.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) has received an application for a license for the existing operating McKenzie Project, Project No. 11512-000. The McKenzie Project is located on the McKenzie River in Lane County, Oregon.

The FERC staff intends to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) on this hydroelectric project in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act.

In the EA, we will consider both site-specific and cumulative environmental impacts of the project and reasonable alternatives, and will include an economic and engineering analysis.

The draft EA will be issued and circulated for review by all interested parties. All comments filed on the draft EA will be analyzed by the staff and considered in a final EA. The staff's conclusions and recommendations will then be presented for the consideration by the Commission in reaching its final licensing decision.

Scoping Meeting

Staff will hold a scoping meeting on Tuesday, November 28, 1995, at 1:00 PM, at the U.S. Forest Service, McKenzie Bridge Ranger District, Fire Ready Room, 57600 McKenzie Highway, McKenzie Bridge, Oregon.

Interested individuals, organizations, and agencies are invited to attend the meeting and assist the staff in identifying the scope of environmental issues that should be analyzed in the EA.

To help focus discussions at the meeting, a scoping document outlining subject areas to be addressed in the EA will be mailed to agencies and interested individuals on the FERC mailing list. Copies of the scoping document will also be available at the scoping meeting.

Objectives

At the scoping meeting the FERC staff will: (1) Identify preliminary environmental issues related to the proposed project; (2) identify preliminary resource issues that are not important and do not require detailed analysis; (3) identify reasonable alternatives to be addressed in the EA; (4) solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially quantified data, on the resource issues; and (5) encourage statements from experts and the public on issues that

should be analyzed in the EA, including points of view in opposition to, or in support of, the staff's preliminary views.

Procedures

The scoping meeting will be recorded by a court reporter and all statements (oral and written) will become part of the formal record of the Commission proceedings on the McKenzie Project. Individuals presenting statements at the meeting will be asked to clearly identify themselves for the record.

Individuals, organizations, and agencies with environmental expertise and concerns are encouraged to attend the meeting and assist the staff in defining and clarifying the issues to be addressed in the EA.

Persons choosing not to speak at the meeting, but who have views on the issues or information relevant to the issues, may submit written statements for inclusion in the public record at the meetings. In addition, written scoping comments (original and 8 copies) may be submitted with the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Room 1A, Washington, DC 20426, by December 28, 1995.

All written correspondence should clearly show the following caption on the first page: McKenzie Project, FERC Project No. 11512-000.

Intervenors—those on the Commission's service list for this proceeding (parties)—are reminded of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, requiring parties filing documents with the Commission, to serve a copy of the document on each person whose name appears on the official service list. Further, if a party or interceder files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency.

Site Visit

A site visit to the McKenzie Project is planned for November 28, 1995. Those who wish to attend should plan to meet at the U.S. Forest Service, McKenzie Bridge Ranger District, Fire Ready Room, 57600 McKenzie Highway, McKenzie Bridge, Oregon at 9:00 AM, and shortly thereafter, leave for the project site located about 5 miles away. For more details, contact Mr. Phil Raab at (503) 822-338.

Any questions regarding this notice may be directed to Héctor M. Pérez,

Environmental Coordinator at FERC,
(202) 219-2843.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-27420 Filed 11-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 10934-003, New Hampshire]

William B. Ruger, Jr.; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

October 31, 1995.

A draft environmental assessment (DEA) is available for public review. The DEA reviewed the application for amendment for the Sugar River II Project (FERC No. 10934). The application proposes to shorten the bypass reach of the Sugar River by 650 feet by relocating the proposed dam in a downstream direction and replacing an open canal with a seven-foot-diameter buried steel penstock. The DEA finds that approval of the amendment application would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Sugar River II Project is located on the Sugar River, in Sullivan County, in Newport, New Hampshire.

The DEA was prepared by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the DEA can be viewed at the Commission's Reference and Information Center, Room 2A, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20406. Copies can also be obtained by calling the project manager listed below.

Please submit any comments within 30 days from the date of this notice. Any comments, conclusions, or recommendations that draw upon studies, reports, or other working papers of substance should be supported by appropriate documentation.

Comments should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20406. Please denote "Comments: Project No. 10934-003" on all comments. For more information, please contact the project manager, Joseph C. Adamson, at (202) 219-1040.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-27382 Filed 11-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ES96-7-000]

Edison Sault Electric Company; Notice of Application

October 31, 1995.

Take notice that on October 24, 1995, Edison Sault Electric Company filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue unsecured short-term notes, from time to time, in an aggregate amount not more than \$10 million principal amount outstanding at any one time, during the period on or before December 31, 1997, with final maturities not later than December 31, 1998.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before November 24, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-27380 Filed 11-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ES96-8-000]

New York State Electric & Gas Corporation; Notice of Application

October 31, 1995.

Take notice that on October 27, 1995, New York State Electric & Gas Corporation filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue notes and commercial paper, from time to time, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$275 million outstanding at any one time, prior to January 1, 1998, with a maturity of one year or less.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before November 27, 1995. Protests will be

considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

FR Doc. 95-27381 Filed 11-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-36-000]

NorAm Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Application

October 31, 1995.

Take notice that on October 25, 1995, NorAm Gas Transmission Company (NorAm), 1600 Smith Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed in Docket No. CP96-36-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Regulations for permission and approval to retire and abandon one of five compressor units at its Hobbs Compressor Station, specifically the 340 Caterpillar powered engine (Hobbs #5), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

NorAm states that Hobbs #5 is located on Line B-55 in Sebastian County, Arkansas in a 19 foot by 25 foot building on a concrete foundation. NorAm asserts that the compressor cylinders, associated piping and the building will be junked at no value. NorAm claims Hobbs #5 has not operated since 1982 due to an internal mechanical failure; however, operation of Hobbs #5 in no longer necessary. NorAm notes that during the time this compressor has been shut down, the operation of the four remaining engines has effectively allowed NorAm to receive and transport the existing upstream production, and no production will be interrupted or abandoned as a result of the retirement of this compressor engine. NorAm states that the proposed abandonment will not adversely affect its ability to continue to render certificated transportation service to its customers. Additionally, NorAm does not foresee an increase in the current production from this field, nor a reason that would justify the cost to replace Hobbs #5.

NorAm asserts that the proposed abandonment does not involve a significant environmental impact and granting the requested authorization will not constitute a major federal action