#### FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

### Ocean Freight Forwarder License Applicants

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission applications for licenses as ocean freight forwarders pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718 and 46 CFR 510).

Persons knowing of any reason why any of the following applicants should not receive a license are requested to contact the Office of Freight Forwarders, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573.

Anthem World Transport, Inc., Metro Office Park, ST. 1 #2, Ste. 304, Guaynabo, Puerto Rico 00968–1705, Officers: Anthony Emposimato, President, Leopoldo Melendez, Vice President

Caribwrap Inc. dba Five Star Forwarding, 8359 N.W. 68th Street, Miami, FL 33166–2663, Officer: George Carter Gaulding, President

Aerospan Cargo International, 3785 N.W. 82nd Ave., #403, Miami, FL 33166, Officers: Mordechai Boazia, President, Dennis Ryan, Vice President

Dated: October 31, 1995.

By the Federal Maritime Commission.

Joseph C. Polking,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–27376 Filed 11–3–95; 8:45 am]

### Security for the Protection of the Public Indemnification of Passengers for Nonperformance of Transportation; Notice of Issuance of Certificate (Performance)

Notice is hereby given that the following have been issued a Certificate of Final Responsibility for Indemnification of Passengers for Nonperformance of Transportation pursuant to the provisions of Section 3, Public Law 89–777 (46 U.S.C. 817(e)) and the Federal Maritime Commission's implementing regulations at 46 CFR Part 540, as amended:

Disney Cruise Line, Inc., 210 Celebration Place, Celebration, Florida 34747

Vessels: DISNEY VESSEL #1 and DISNEY VESSEL #2

Dated: October 31, 1995.

Joseph C. Polking,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–27375 Filed 11–3–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

# GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### Performance Review Boards for Small Client Agencies Serviced by the General Services Administration, Names of Members

Sec. 4314 (C) (1) through (5) of Title 5 U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Office of Personnel Management, one or more Performance Review Boards. The board shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal by the supervisor of a senior executive's performance, along with any recommendations to the appointing authority relative to the performance of the senior executive. The Performance Review Board also shall make recommendations as to whether the career executive should be recertified, conditionally recertified, or not recertified.

As provided under Section 601 of the Economy Act of 1932, amended 31 U.S.C. 1525, the General Services Administration through its Agency Liaison Division, provides various personnel management services to a number of diverse Presidential commissions, committees, boards and other agencies through reimbursable administrative support agreements. This notice is proceeded on behalf of the client agencies, and it supersedes all other notices in the Federal Register on the subject.

Because of their small size, a Performance Review Board register has been established in which SES members from the client agencies participate. The Board is composed of SES members from various agencies. From this register of names, the head of each client agency will appoint executives to a specific board to serve a particular client agency.

The members whose names appear on the Performance Review Board standing roster to serve client agencies are:

Barry M. Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Foundation

Gerald J. Smith, Executive Secretary

Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled

Beverly L. Milkman, Executive Director

Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board

David L. Black, Director of Accounting Stratos D. Valakis, Director of Contracts and Administration

John W. Witters, Director of Automated Systems

Alisone M. Clark, Director of Benefits and Program Analysis

Vera D. Charron, Director of Communications

Thomas J. Trabucco, Director of Internal Affairs

Peter B. Mackey, Director of Investments John J. Omeara, General Counsel James B. Petrick, Deputy General Counsel

Elizabeth S. Woodruff, Associate General Counsel

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

Kenneth M. Pusateria, General Manager Joseph R. Neubeiser, Deputy General Manager

Robert M. Anderson, General Counsel Richard A. Azzaro, Deputy General Counsel for Policy and Litigation George W. Cunningham, General

Engineer Joyce P. Davis, Chief, Health Physics Branch

Wallace R. Kornack, Assistant Director for Engineering

Steven L. Krahn, Assistant Director for Weapon Programs

Lester A. Ettlinger, Assistant Director for Standards

Harry S Truman Scholarship Foundation

Louis H. Blair, Executive Secretary

Japan-United States Friendship Commission

Eric J. Gangloff, Executive Director

# Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation

Christopher J. Bavasi, Executive Director Michael J. McAlister, Deputy Executive Director

Artic Research Commission

Garrett W. Brass, Executive Director

National Mediation Board

Ronald M. Etters, General Counsel Stephen E. Crable, Chief of Staff Calvin R. Snowden,

Director.

[FR Doc. 95-27415 Filed 11-3-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820-34-M

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Administration for Children and Families

Administration on Children, Youth and Families Statement of Organization, Function, and Delegations of Authority

This notice amends Part K of the Statement of Organization, Functions and Delegations of Authority of the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families (ACF) as follows: Chapter KB, Administration on Children, Youth and Families (ACYF) (60 FR 2766), as last amended January 11, 1995. This Notice announces the new organizational structure and assignment of responsibilities for the Children's Bureau. The Children's Bureau will be restructured with two divisions: the Policy Division and the Program Operations Division to administer the child welfare policy and operational functions presently administered within ACYF

Amend Chapter KB as follows: a. KB.10 Organization. Delete in its entirety and replace with the following:

KB.10 Organization. The Administration on Children, Youth and Families is headed by a Commissioner who reports directly to the Assistant Secretary for Children and Families and consists of:

Office of the Commissioner (KBA)
Division of Program Evaluation (KBB)
Head Start Bureau (KBC)
Program Operations Division (KBC 1)
Program Support Division (KBC 2)
Children's Bureau (KBD)
Policy Division (KBD 1)
Program Operations Division (KBD 2)
Family and Youth Services Bureau (KBE)

Program Operations Division (KBE 1) Program Support Division (KBE 2) National Center on Child Abuse and Neglect (KBF)

Program Policy and Planning Division (KBF 1)

Clearinghouse Division (KBF 2) Child Care Bureau (KBG) Program Operations Division (KBG 1) Policy Division (KBG 2)

b. Delete paragraph D in its entirety and replace with the following.

D. The Children's Bureau advises the Commissioner on child welfare, foster care, adoption, family preservation and family support matters. It recommends legislative and budgetary proposals, operational planning system objectives and initiatives, and projects and issue areas for evaluation, research and demonstration activities; represents ACYF in initiating and implementing inter-agency activities and projects affecting children; and provides leadership and coordination for the programs, activities, and subordinate units of the Bureau. The Bureau manages the Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) and the Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (SACWIS).

1. The Policy Division manages, coordinates and provides direction and

leadership in policy development and interpretation, and program development and innovation under titles IV-B and IV-E of the Social Security Act. It provides expert national leadership and direction on child welfare, foster care, adoption assistance, family preservation, family support, court improvement, and independent living matters, and direction and guidance on joint planning between regions and States. It administers the discretionary grant programs in adoption opportunities, abandoned infants, crisis nurseries, respite care, and child welfare research and demonstration

2. The Program Operations Division manages, coordinates and provides direction and leadership in the program operation, monitoring and compliance review activities included under titles IV-B and IV-E of the Social Security Act; the Division provides advice, guidance and assistance to Regions and States on the implementation, operation, and review of programs under titles IV-B and IV-E. It is responsible for the development, implementation and oversight of corrective action plans and the provision of training and technical assistance, either directly or through Resource Centers. The Division works with appropriate other agencies and organizations on the implementation and oversight of relevant sections of the Indian Child Welfare Act.

Dated: November 1, 1995.
Mary Jo Bane,
Assistant Secretary for Children and Families.
[FR Doc. 95–27439 Filed 11–3–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4184–01–M

## Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

# National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health; Meeting

The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) announces the following meeting:

*Name:* Setting a National Occupational Research Agenda.

*Time and Date:* 9:30 a.m.–5:00 p.m., November 30, 1995.

Place: Hubert H. Humphrey Building, Room 800, 200 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20201.

Status: Open to the public, limited only by the space available. The room accommodates

approximately 100 people.

Purpose: NĬOSH seeks input into the development of a national agenda for occupational safety and health research for the next decade. The agenda will assist

NIOSH and other organizations and individual scientists in the public and private sectors to coordinate research activities and target the highest scientific priorities for preventing work injuries and illnesses in the United States.

The tentative agenda of the meeting includes: (1) An initial discussion list of possible items for the national research agenda; (2) proposed criteria for establishing research priorities; and, (3) the proposed public process for developing the research agenda. The remainder of the meeting will provide interested parties with the opportunity to comment and make recommendations on research priorities, criteria, and the process. Research priorities for consideration include health effects, hazardous exposures, work environments, industries, occupations, and populations associated with significant occupational disease, injury, disability, fatalities, or topics of growing importance in the future.

Persons interested in presenting oral comments during the meeting will be limited to five minutes to allow a maximum number of presentations. Presenters are encouraged to provide written comments to accompany their oral presentations. Participants as well as persons who cannot attend are encouraged to send written comments as indicated below.

Matters to be Discussed: As the lead federal health agency for research into the causes and prevention of work injuries and diseases, NIOSH has a responsibility to continually assess the state of existing knowledge and define future research needs and priorities. The development of a national research agenda will assist NIOSH and the occupational safety and health research community in establishing priorities and targeting some of the scientific needs of the next decade that offer the greatest potential for advancing the safety and health of workers. Establishing these priorities is especially important in light of increasing fiscal constraints on occupational safety and health research in both the public and private sectors. The agenda is intended to serve decision-makers and scientists working throughout the field, employed in government, corporate, labor, university, and private research programs.

NIOSH has developed a discussion list of possible items for the national research agenda. A small group of scientists reviewed a wide array of information ranging from the scope of occupational safety and health problems to future employment projections. The results of a scientific agenda-setting process recently completed in the United Kingdom were also considered. In addition, the group agreed on the scope of agenda items it would propose. For example, it decided that a category such as "occupational lung diseases" would be too inclusive to serve as a research priority, that items of this breadth would result in an agenda encompassing the field rather than providing decision-makers and scientists with focussed direction to meet some of the greatest needs and opportunities for prevention. The group ultimately listed

approximately 50 items: