

# Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 60, No. 215

Tuesday, November 7, 1995

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

---

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Food and Consumer Service

#### Collection Requirements Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations: Evaluation of the Team Nutrition Pilot Implementation Communities

**AGENCY:** Food and Consumer Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Food and Consumer Service's (FCS) intention to request OMB review of the Evaluation of the Team Nutrition Pilot Implementation Communities.

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received by January 8, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate, ways to minimize the burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, or any other aspect of this collection of information to: Michael E. Fishman, Acting Director, Office of Analysis and Evaluation, Food and Consumer Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 3103 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael E. Fishman, (703) 305-2117.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Evaluation of the Team Nutrition Pilot Implementation Communities.

*OMB Number:* Not yet assigned.

*Expiration Date:* N/A.

*Type of Request:* New collection of information.

*Abstract:* Team Nutrition is a multi-dimensional nutrition education

program delivered through the media, homes, schools and other community partners. It also includes training and technical assistance to support school efforts to implement the Dietary Guidelines for Americans in their food services. The major objectives of this study are to (1) describe and compare school and community strategies to implement the Team Nutrition approach to improving children's food choices, and (2) assess the outcomes of Team Nutrition activities on students, their parents, teachers, school staff and administrators, as well as school food service practices.

The evaluation will focus on seven volunteer school districts in which 30 elementary and seven middle schools will implement Team Nutrition activities during the 1996 Spring and 1996 Fall semesters. Four of these districts have also volunteered to participate in the outcome evaluation. In these districts, 24 elementary and 12 middle schools will serve as treatment or comparison sites over the same time period.

The evaluation includes seven data collection protocols: (1) Activity logs maintained by teachers, staff and administrators describing nutrition promotion events; (2) a classroom survey of all students, in two different grades, at treatment and comparison schools; (3) observations of food choice and plate waste behavior in school cafeterias among subsamples of the same students; (4) in person interviews with subsamples of surveyed students; (5) a telephone survey with a parent of each student surveyed; (6) a self-administered survey of teachers who deliver nutrition education; (7) personal interviews with key administrators and staff who make and implement food service policy.

*Estimate of Burden:* The public reporting burden associated with one application of each protocol described above is estimated to average 2 minutes for each activity log entry, 15 minutes for the classroom survey of students, 0 burden for cafeteria observations, 30 minutes for the student interviews, 25 minutes for the parent survey, 20 minutes for the teacher survey, and 35 minutes for administrator and staff interviews.

*Respondents:* The kind of respondents associated with each data collection protocol is described above.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* Surveys will be conducted with approximately 7800 students. Cafeteria observations will be made of about 5150 of these same students. 600 of these students will also participate in interviews. Approximately 7800 parents will be surveyed. 145 teachers will complete self-administered questionnaires, and 150 school administrators and food service staff will participate in interviews. The same teachers, administrators and staff will maintain activity logs of nutrition promotion events.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* Most data collection protocols will be administered twice per respondent, before and after treatment in both school semesters referenced above. The only exception is for teachers, food service staff and administrators from participating elementary schools who will respond to appropriate protocol—questionnaires for teachers and interviews for others—a total of four times (twice in each of two semesters). Administrators, teachers and staff are expected make an average of 200 entries on the activity logs.

*Estimated Total Burden on Respondents:* 13,365 hours. Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Carol Olander, Office of Analysis and Evaluation, Food and Consumer Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302.

Dated: November 1, 1995.

William E. Ludwig,

Administrator, Food and Consumer Service.

[FR Doc. 95-27573 Filed 11-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-30-M

---

#### Collection Requirements Submitted for Public Comment: Nutrition Education and Training Program: Program Funding

**AGENCY:** Food and Consumer Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 this proposed notice is intended to elicit public comment on our request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval of information collection for the Nutrition Education

and Training Program. This notice seeks to renew approval previously granted for collection of information via the FCS-665, Supplement to financial Status Report, Nutrition Education and Training Program.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before January 8, 1996, in order to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate, ways to minimize the burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, or any other aspect of this collection information to: Lou Pastura, Acting Director, Grants Management Division, Food and Consumer Service, 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302. All written comments will be open to public inspection during regular business hours (8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday) at 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA, Room 412.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Questions concerning this proposed notice should be addressed to Mr. Pastura at the above address or by telephone at (703) 305-2048.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* FCS-665, Supplement to Financial Status Report, Nutrition Education and Training Program.

*OMB Number:* 0584-0383.

*Expiration Date of Approval:* October 31, 1995.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved information collection.

*Abstract:* The primary objective of The Nutrition Education and Training (NET) Program is to encourage effective dissemination of scientifically valid information to children participating in the school lunch and related child nutrition programs by establishing a system of grants to State educational agencies for the development of comprehensive nutrition information and education programs. The NET Program currently has 53 State agencies participating. Since section 19 of the Child Nutrition Act (42 U.S.C. 1788) since establishes two statutory conditions relating to the use of these funds, certain reporting requirements must be in place. The two conditions are: (1) No more than 15 percent of the NET grant may be used for administrative purposes; and (2) The State must match each Federal dollar so applied with one dollar from State

sources. To ensure compliance with these conditions, it is necessary to identify the amount of both Federal grant funds and State matching funds that the State agency has applied to NET Program administrative costs. While each State agency uses the SF-269 for total program outlays, this form does not provide a means for capturing subdivisions of total program outlays. Thus, form FCS-665 has been developed to serve that purpose with respect to the NET Program.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response.

*Respondents:* State governments.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 53.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 5.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 66.25 hours.

Dated: October 30, 1995.

William E. Ludwig,

*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 95-27499 Filed 11-6-95; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-30-M**

**Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration**

**Designation of Quanta for the South Texas Area**

**AGENCY:** Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** GIPSA announces the designation of Quanta Lab (Quanta), main office located in Selma, Texas, to provide official inspection services under the United States Grain Standards Act, as amended (Act), for 1 year. Initially, Quanta will be providing aflatoxin testing services. Quanta will phase in other official services as soon as they are ready.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 1, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Janet M. Hart, Chief, Review Branch, Compliance Division, GIPSA, USDA, Room 1647 South Building, P.O. Box 96454, Washington, DC 20090-6454.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Janet M. Hart, telephone 202-720-8525.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

This action has been reviewed and determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12866 and Departmental Regulation 1512-1; therefore, the Executive Order and Departmental Regulation do not apply to this action.

In the March 3, 1995, Federal Register (60 FR 11952), GIPSA asked persons

interested in providing official services in South Texas under a pilot program allowing more than one official agency to provide service in a single geographic area to submit an application for designation. There were two applicants: Quanta, main office located in Selma, Texas; and Saybolt-South Texas Inspection Service, Inc. (Saybolt), main office located in Galena Park, Texas. Quanta applied for the Texas Counties of: Atascosa, Bexar, Dimmit, Duval, Frio, Kinney, La Salle, Maverick, McMullen, Medina, Uvalde, Val Verde, Webb, and Zavala. Quanta subsequently amended their application to include all the counties announced in the March 3, 1995, Federal Register. Saybolt applied for all Counties announced in the March 3, 1995, Federal Register.

GIPSA, in the March 3, 1995, Federal Register, also asked for comments on the need for official services in the South Texas region. Comments were due by March 21, 1995. GIPSA received 10 comments by the deadline. All but one of the comments indicated that there is no need for an official inspection service in South Texas because of the service provided by the Corpus Christi Grain Exchange. The Corpus Christi Grain Exchange is an unofficial agency not designated by GIPSA under authority of the Act. The other comment was of the view that the proposal was unworkable due to competitive factors, the level of demand for official services in the pilot area, and the size of the pilot area.

GIPSA requested comments on the applicants in the June 1, 1995, Federal Register (60 FR 28572). Comments were due by July 15, 1995. GIPSA received no comments by the deadline.

GIPSA visited both applicants and attended a trade association meeting in South Texas. Based on information from these and other sources, GIPSA believes there is sufficient need for official service.

GIPSA evaluated all available information regarding the designation criteria in Section 7(f)(1)(A) of the Act, and determined that Saybolt is not qualified due to its providing unofficial inspection services resulting in a conflict of interest. GIPSA also evaluated all available information regarding the designation criteria in Section 7(f)(1)(A) of the Act; and according to Section 7(f)(1)(B), determined that Quanta is able to provide official services in the South Texas area. Since there is only one qualified applicant, GIPSA can not run a pilot program in South Texas. Since Quanta is able, GIPSA is designating Quanta to provide official services in South Texas effective January 1, 1996.