RM95–16–000. For further information, contact Barry Smoler at (202) 208–1269. Lois D. Cashell.

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–27595 Filed 11–7–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

29 CFR Part 1926

Steel Erection Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice of committee meeting.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Steel Erection Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee (SENRAC). Notice is also given of the location of the meeting. This meeting will be open to the public.

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for November 27–December 1, 1995. The meeting will begin at 1:30 p.m. on November 27th.

ADDRESSES: U.S. Department of Labor, DOL Academy, Room C-5320, Seminar Room 6, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anne Cyr, Acting Director, Office of Information and Consumer Affairs, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3647, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210; telephone (202) 219–8151.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 11, 1994, OSHA announced that it had established the Steel Erection Negotiated Rulemaking Advisory Committee (SENRAC) (59 FR 24389) in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), the Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1990 (NRA) and section 7(b) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSH Act) to resolve issues associated with the development of a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Steel Erection. Appointees to the Committee include representatives from labor, industry, public interests and government agencies.

SENRAC began negotiations in mid June, 1994, and has met nine times since. Initial meetings dealt with procedural matters, including schedules, agendas and the establishment of workgroups. The Committee established workgroups to

address issues on Fall Protection, Construction Specifications and Scope. During subsequent meetings, foundations for negotiations were established and additional workgroups were formed to address more specific issues and develop a draft revision of subpart R.

The Committee last met on June 27-29, 1995, where consensus was expected to be reached on a proposed revision of subpart R. The Committee did reach agreement on major issues and most of the elements of the draft revision, however, after much deliberation, they could not come to an agreement on fall protection. OSHA determined that since the Committee made significant progress on the fall protection issue and agreed to other very important improvements to the existing standard, it would allow the Committee to convene a workgroup to resolve the fall protection issue. On July 26, 1995, a workgroup met in Philadelphia and developed recommended fall protection requirements for steel erection. Also, on September 19-21, 1995, workgroups met to address Slippery Surfaces, Scope, Training and Site-Specific Erection Plans. These workgroup recommendations will be presented to the full SENRAC Committee at the November meeting where it is expected that consensus will be reached on the complete draft proposal and an agreement in principle will be signed by each member. OSHA will then complete the preamble and prepare the document in the proper Federal Register format for publication as a proposed rule.

All interested parties are invited to attend the Committee meetings at the time and place indicated above. No advanced registration is required. Seating will be available to the public on a first-come, first-served basis. Persons with disabilities, who need special accommodations, should contact the Facilitator by November 20, 1995. During the meeting, members of the general public may informally request permission to address the Committee.

Minutes of the meetings and materials prepared for the Committee will be available for public inspection at the OSHA Docket Office, N–2625, 200 Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210; telephone (202) 219–7894. Copies of these materials may be obtained by sending a written request to the Facilitator.

The Facilitator, Philip J. Harter, can be reached at Suite 404, 2301 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20037; telephone (202) 887–1033, FAX (202) 887–1036.

For an electronic copy of this Federal Register notice, contact the Labor News Bulletin Board, (202) 219–4784 (callers must pay any toll-call charges. 300, 1200, 2400, 9600 or 14,400 BAUD; Parity: None; Data Bits=8; Stop Bit=1 Voice phone (202) 219–8831); or OSHA's Webpage on Internet at http://www.osha.gov/ and http://www.osha-slc.gov/. For news releases, fact sheets, and other documents, contact OSHA FAX at (900) 555–3400 at \$1.50 per minute.

Authority: This document was prepared under the direction of Joseph A. Dear, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210, pursuant to section 3 of the Negotiated Rulemaking Act of 1990, 104 Stat. 4969, Title 5 U.S.C. 561 *et seq.*; and Section 7(b) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, 84 Stat. 1597, Title 29 U.S.C. 656

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 2 day of November, 1995. Joseph A. Dear,

Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 95–27700 Filed 11–7–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IL113-1-6760b; FRL-5324-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: On May 5, 1995, and May 31, 1995, the State of Illinois submitted a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision request to the United States **Environmental Protection Agency** (USEPA) for lithographic printing as part of the State's 15 percent (%) Rate of Progress Plan control measures for Volatile Organic Matter (VOM) emissions. The USEPA proposes to approve Illinois' request. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the USEPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule