

involved in any way in determining the relative locations of specific properties with regard to the depicted noise contours, or in interpreting the noise exposure maps to resolve questions concerning, for example, which properties should be covered by the provisions of section 107 of the Act. These functions are inseparable from the ultimate land use control and planning responsibilities of local government. These local responsibilities are not changed in any way under Part 150 or through FAA's review of noise exposure maps. Therefore, the responsibility for the detailed overlaying of noise exposure contours onto the map depicting properties on the surface rests exclusively with the airport operator which submitted those maps, or with those public agencies and planning agencies with which consultation is required under, section 103 of the Act. The FAA has relied on the certification by the airport operator, under § 150.21 of FAR Part 150, that the statutorily required consultation has been accomplished.

Copies of the noise exposure maps and of the FAA's evaluation of the maps are available for examination at the following locations:

Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 617, Washington, DC 20591

Federal Aviation Administration, Airports District Office, 3851 Directors Cove, Suite #3, Memphis, TN 38131-0301

Mr. William F. Marrison, Director of Airport Operations, McGhee-Tyson Airport, P.O. Box 15600, Knoxville, Tennessee 37901

Questions may be directed to the individual named above under the heading, **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Issued in Memphis Airports District Office, October 12, 1995.

LaVerne F. Reid,
Manager.

[FR Doc. 95-27704 Filed 11-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

[Summary Notice No. PE-95-41]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application,

processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATE: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before November 28, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. _____, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: nprmcmts@mail.hq.faa.gov. The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. D. Michael Smith, Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-7470.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on November 2, 1995.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: 010SW.

Petitioner: McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Systems.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 27.1(a).

Description of Relief Sought: To allow an increase in the maximum gross weight of the McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Systems Model MD900 from 6,000 pounds to 7,000 pounds.

Docket No.: 28186.

Petitioner: MTU Maintenance GmbH.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR SFAR 36, section 3(a)(1).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit MTU Maintenance GmbH to develop and approve major repair data, as well as to inspect, to repair, to maintain, to overhaul, and to return into service aircraft engines, appliances, parts, and components, for installation on any U.S.-registered aircraft. These functions, if approved, would be permitted without geographical limitations and in accordance with MTU's ratings.

Docket No.: 28295.

Petitioner: Delta Engineering, L.P.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 21.439(a) (2) and (3).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Delta Engineering, L.P., to hold designated alteration station authorization for alterations on all aircraft type certificated under parts 23, 25, 27, 29, and their predecessor parts.

Docket No.: 28356.

Petitioner: Douglas Aircraft Company.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 21.325(b)(1).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit the Douglas Aircraft Company (DAC) to obtain export airworthiness approvals for new aircraft that have been assembled and flight-tested under DAC's extension of its Production Certificate No. 27 to the Shanghai Aviation Industrial Corporation located in the People's Republic of China.

Docket No.: 28359.

Petitioner: Aerospace Industries Association of America, Inc./General Aviation Manufacturers Association.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 21.325(b)(3).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit the issuance of export airworthiness approvals for Class II and Class III products manufactured under AIA member and GAMA member production approvals at member facilities located throughout the world.

Docket No.: 27301.

Petitioner: Skydive City, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 105.43(a).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5791, which permits Skydive City, Inc., (SCI) to allow nonstudent parachutists who are foreign nationals to participate in SCI-sponsored parachute jumping events without complying with the parachute equipment and packing requirements of the FAR. *Grant, September 29, 1995, Exemption No. 5791A*

Docket No.: 27674.

Petitioner: IBM Flight Operations.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 43.9(a), 43.11(a), 91.407(a)(2), and 145.57(a).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To allow IBM Flight Operations to use computerized personal identification codes in lieu of physical signatures required to issue airworthiness release and/or approval for return to service for the aircraft operated by IBM flight Operations and aeronautical products that it maintains for its repair station customers. *Grant, September 29, 1995, Exemption No. 6176*

Docket No.: 27903.

Petitioner: Dry Creek Aviation.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 45.25 and 45.29(b)(1)(i).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit continued display of small nationality and registration marks on its Great Lakes 2T1A, N3702F airplane. *Denial, October 4, 1995, Exemption No. 6813*

Docket No.: 28000.

Petitioner: Learjet, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 145.45(f).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To allow Learjet, Inc., to assign a copy of its repair station inspection procedures manual to key individuals within departments, rather than provide a copy of the manual to each supervisory and inspection personnel. *Grant, October 4, 1995, Exemption No. 6181.*

Docket No.: 6182.

Petitioner: Steven Eugene Walz.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 45.22(c) and 45.29(h).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit Mr. Walz to operate his aircraft (Registration No. N331KW) through Air Defense Identification Zones (ADIZ) and Defense Early Warning Identification Zones (DEWIZ), without displaying temporary or permanent nationality and registration marks on the aircraft that are at least 12 inches high. *Denial, October 4, 1995, Exemption No. 6182.*

Docket No.: 28320.

Petitioner: Learjet, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 145.45(f).

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To allow Learjet, Inc., to assign a copy of its repair station inspection procedures manual to key individuals within departments, rather than provide a copy of the manual to each supervisory and inspection personnel. *Grant, October 4, 1995, Exemption No. 6180.*

[FR Doc. 95-27702 Filed 11-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Notice of Intent to Rule on Application to Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Massena International Airport

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Rule on Application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Massena International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 8, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Mr. Philip Brito; Manager, New York Airports District Office; 600 Old Country Road, Suite 446; Garden City, New York, 11530.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Duane T. Hazelton, Town Supervisor, of the Town of Massena at the following address: Town Hall, Main Street; Massena, New York, 13662.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Town of Massena under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Philip Brito; Manager, New York Airport District Office; 600 Old Country Road, Suite 446; Garden City, New York, 11530; telephone number (516) 227-3803. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Massena International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On October 11, 1995, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Town of Massena was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or

disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 13, 1996.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00.

Proposed charge effective date: April 1, 1996.

Proposed charge expiration date: January 1, 2009.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$362,428.

Brief description of proposed project(s):

- Runway 5 Obstruction Removal
- General Aviation Apron
- Taxiway A Rehabilitation & Lighting
- Runway 23 Extension Environmental Assessment
- Parallel Taxiway A
- Runway 5 Visual Aids & Beacon
- Passenger Facility Charge Application
- Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
- Airport Pavement Management System
- Inadvertent Entry Fence
- Parallel Taxiway Phase II (Construction)
- Runway 23 Extension

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Not Applicable, all requested to collect PFCs.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** and at the FAA Regional Airports office located at: Fitzgerald Federal Building # 111; John F. Kennedy International Airport; Jamaica, New York, 11430.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Town of Massena.

Issued in Jamaica, New York State on October 26, 1995.

William J. DeGraaff,

Manager, Planning and Programming Branch, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc. 95-27706 Filed 11-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

[Treasury Directive Number 12-11]

Procurement Authority, Delegation of Authority

1. Delegations

a. Procurement Executive

The Director, Office of Procurement, Departmental Offices, is designated as Procurement Executive, in accordance