investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the 1940 Act.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-27652 Filed 11-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

[Public Notice No. 2274]

United States International Telecommunications Advisory Committee Telecommunications Development Sector (ITAC-D) Group; Meeting Notice

The Department of State announces that the United States International Telecommunications Advisory Committee Telecommunications Development Sector (ITAC–D) Group will meet on Friday, November 17, 1995, in Room 2533A from 10:00–12:00 noon at the U.S. Department of State, 2201 "C" Street, NW., Washington, DC 20520.

The agenda for the ITAC-D Group meeting will include preparations and review of U.S. contributions for the ITU-D Study Group 1 (Telecommunication Development Strategies and Policies) and Study Group 2 (Development, Harmonization, Management and Maintenance of Telecommunication Networks and Services, including Spectrum Management) meetings in Geneva. ITU-D Study Group 1 is scheduled for November 27–30. ITU-D Study Group 2 is scheduled for December 4–7.

Members of the General Public may attend the meetings and join in the discussions, subject to the instructions of the chair. Admittance of public members will be limited to the seating available. In this regard, entrance to the Department of State is controlled. If you wish to attend please call (202) 647–5233 no later than five (5) days before the scheduled meeting. Enter from the "C" Street Main Lobby. A picture ID will be required for admittance.

Dated: October 16, 1995.

Doreen F. McGirr,

Chair, U.S. ITAC for Telecommunication Development.

FR Doc. 95–27587 Filed 11–7–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-45-M

# Office of the Under Secretary for Economic and Agricultural Affairs

[Public Notice 2278]

Notice of Receipt of Application for a Permit for Pipeline Facilities To Be Constructed and Maintained on the Borders of the United States

**AGENCY:** Department of State.

The Department of State has received an application from Portal Pipeline Company for a permit, pursuant to Executive Order 11423 of August 16, 1968, as amended by Executive Order 12847 of May 17, 1993, to construct an extension of its existing pipeline at the U.S.-Canadian border near Burke County, North Dakota carrying crude oil. Portal Pipeline Company is incorporated in the State of Delaware, with its corporate offices located in Dallas, Texas. The proposed pipeline extension would be constructed to cross the United States-Canada border located in lot 1 Section 27, Township 154 North, Range 92 West, Burke County, North Dakota.

**DATES:** Interested parties are invited to submit, in duplicate, comments relative to this proposal on or before December 8, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rosa Whitaker, Office of International Energy Policy, Department of State, Washington, DC 20520. (202) 647–2857. Glen R. Rase,

Director, Int'l Energy and Commodities.
[FR Doc. 95–27584 Filed 11–7–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4710–07–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### Federal Aviation Administration

Noise Exposure Map Notice, McGhee-Tyson Airport, Knoxville, TN

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its determination that the noise exposure maps submitted by Metropolitan Knoxville Airport Authority for McGhee-Tyson Airport under the provisions of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96–193) and 14 CFR Part 150 are in compliance with applicable requirements.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The effective date of the FAA's determination on the noise exposure maps is October 12, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry O. Bowers, Airports District Office, 2851

Directors Cove, Suite #3, Memphis, TN 38131-0301, 901-544-3495.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice announces that the FAA finds that the noise exposure maps submitted for McGhee-Tyson Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements of Part 150, effective October 12, 1995.

Under section 103 of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"), an airport operator may submit to the FAA noise exposure maps which meet applicable regulations and which depict noncompatible land uses as of the date of submission of such maps, a description of projected aircraft operations, and the ways in which such operations will affect the maps. The Act requires such maps to be developed in consultation with interested and affected parties in the local community, government agencies, and persons using the airport.

An airport operator who has submitted noise exposure maps that are found by FAA to be in compliance with the requirements of Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 150, promulgated pursuant to Title I of the Act, may submit a noise compatibility program for FAA approval which sets forth the measures the operator has taken or proposes for the reduction of existing noncompatible uses and for the prevention of the introduction of additional noncompatible uses.

The FAA has completed its review of the noise exposure maps and related descriptions submitted by Metropolitan Knoxville Airport Authority. The specific maps under consideration are McGhee-Tyson Airport Existing (1995) Noise Exposure Map and Future (2000) Noise Exposure Maps submission. The FAA has determined that these maps for McGhee-Tyson Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements. This determination is effective on October 12, 1995. FAA's determination on an airport operator's noise exposure maps is limited to a finding that the maps were developed in accordance with the procedures contained in Appendix A of FAR Part 150. Such determination does not constitute approval of the applicant's data, information or plans, or a commitment to approve a noise compatibility program or to fund the implementation of that program.

If questions arise concerning the precise relationship of specific properties to noise exposure contours depicted on a noise exposure map submitted under section 103 of the Act, it should be noted that the FAA is not

involved in any way in determining the relative locations of specific properties with regard to the depicted noise contours, or in interpreting the noise exposure maps to resolve questions concerning, for example, which properties should be covered by the provisions of section 107 of the Act. These functions are inseparable from the ultimate land use control and planning responsibilities of local government. These local responsibilities are not changed in any way under Part 150 or through FAA's review of noise exposure maps. Therefore, the responsibility for the detailed overlaying of noise exposure contours onto the map depicting properties on the surface rests exclusively with the airport operator which submitted those maps, or with those public agencies and planning agencies with which consultation is required under, section 103 of the Act. The FAA has relied on the certification by the airport operator. under § 150.21 of FAR Part 150, that the statutorily required consultation has been accomplished.

Copies of the noise exposure maps and of the FAA's evaluation of the maps are available for examination at the following locations:

Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 617, Washington, DC 20591 Federal Aviation Administration, Airports District Office, 3851 Directors Cove, Suite #3, Memphis, TN 38131-0301

Mr. William F. Marrison, Director of Airport Operations, McGhee-Tyson Airport, P.O. Box 15600, Knoxville, Tennessee 37901

Questions may be directed to the individual named above under the heading, FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Issued in Memphis Airports District Office, October 12, 1995.

LaVerne F. Reid,

Manager.

[FR Doc. 95-27704 Filed 11-7-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

### [Summary Notice No. PE-95-41]

#### Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of **Petitions Issued**

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application,

processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition. **DATE:** Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket

number involved and must be received on or before November 28, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: nprmcmts@mail.hq.faa.gov. The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. D. Michael Smith, Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-7470.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on November 2, 1995.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Petitions for Exemption

Docket No.: 010SW.

Petitioner: McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Systems.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 27.1(a).

Description of Relief Sought: To allow an increase in the maximum gross weight of the McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Systems Model MD900 from 6,000 pounds to 7,000 pounds.

Docket No.: 28186.

Petitioner: MTU Maintenance GmbH.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR SFAR 36, section 3(a)(1).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit MTU Maintenance GmbH to develop and approve major repair data, as well as to inspect, to repair, to maintain, to overhaul, and to return into service aircraft engines, appliances, parts, and components, for installation on any U.S.-registered aircraft. These functions, if approved, would be permitted without geographical limitations and in accordance with MTU's ratings.

Docket No: 28295.

Petitioner: Delta Engineering, L.P. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 21.439(a) (2) and (3).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Delta Engineering, L.P., to hold designated alteration station authorization for alterations on all aircraft type certificated under parts 23, 25, 27, 29, and their predecessor parts.

Docket No.: 28356.

Petitioner: Douglas Aircraft Company. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 21.325(b)(1).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit the Douglas Aircraft Company (DAC) to obtain export airworthiness approvals for new aircraft that have been assembled and flight-tested under DAC's extension of its Production Certificate No. 27 to the Shanghai Aviation Industrial Corporation located in the People's Republic of China.

Docket No.: 28359.

Petitioner: Aerospace Industries Association of America, Inc./General Aviation Manufacturers Association.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 21.325(b)(3).

Description of Relief Sought: To permit the issuance of export airworthiness approvals for Class II and Class III products manufactured under AIA member and GAMA member production approvals at member facilities located throughout the world.

Docket No.: 27301. Petitioner: Skydive City, Inc. Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 105.43(a).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To extend Exemption No. 5791, which permits Skydive City, Inc., (SCI) to allow nonstudent parachutists who are foreign nationals to participate in SCI-sponsored parachute jumping events without complying with the parachute equipment and packing requirements of the FAR. Grant, September 29, 1995, Exemption No. 5791A

Docket No.: 27674.

Petitioner: IBM Flight Operations.